

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**A model for Improvement of Water Heating Heat Exchanger Designs  
for Residential Heat Pump Water Heaters**

A thesis presented in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of  
Master of Engineering at Massey University  
Palmerston North, New Zealand

Weerawoot Arunwattana

B.Sc. in Applied Physics  
M.Sc. in Thermal Technology  
2010

## ABSTRACT

Heat pump water heaters are a promising technology to reduce energy use and greenhouse gas emissions. A key component is the water heating heat exchanger. Two multi-zone models of the double-wall counter-current flow heat exchanger (condenser and gas cooler models) for residential air-source heat pump water heaters were developed. These models were validated against available data in the open literature. They predicted heat exchanger size within -0.8% for a HFC-134a (with oil) condenser and within -14% for a CO<sub>2</sub> gas cooler. The multi-zone model was significantly more accurate than one and three zone models. The models for a R410A subcritical heat pump and a CO<sub>2</sub> transcritical heat pump were used to investigate the effect of key design parameters by varying water or refrigerant flow channel size for three water heating heat exchanger configurations: circular tube-in-tube, flat tube-on-tube, and twisted tube-in-tube. For the circular tube-in-tube configuration, refrigerant flow in the annulus (case *B*) performed better than refrigerant flow in the inner tube. The optimal flow channels for the circular tube-in-tube configuration case *B* with 0.1 mm thick air gap in the double wall were found to be  $d_i$  (inside diameter of the 1<sup>st</sup> tube) of 8 mm and annulus [ $D_i$  (inside diameter of the 3<sup>rd</sup> tube) -  $d_2$  (outside diameter of the 2<sup>nd</sup> tube)] of 1.5 mm for R410A and  $d_i$  of 7 mm and  $D_i - d_2$  of 1.0 mm for R744. The optimal flow channels for the flat tube-on-tube configuration with  $b_{1i}$  (major length of the refrigerant flow channel) and  $b_{2i}$  (major length of the water flow channel) both of 9 mm were found to be  $a_{1i}$  (minor length of the refrigerant flow channel) and  $a_{2i}$  (minor length of the water flow channel) of 1.5 mm for R410A and  $a_{1i}$  of 1 mm and  $a_{2i}$  of 1.5 mm for R744. The optimal flow channels for the twisted tube-in-tube configuration were found to be  $d_i$  of 7.94 mm and  $d_1$  (original inside diameter of twisted tube) of 12.7 mm for R410A and  $d_i$  of 6.35 mm and  $d_1$  of 9.525 mm for R744. At the optimal flow channel size in each configuration, heat exchanger weight of the flat tube-on-tube was lower than the circular tube-in-tube by about 34.4% for R410A and by about 66.6% for R744. This was mainly due to elimination of the air gap resistance with the tube-on-tube configuration. Heat exchanger length, weight, and pumping power of the twisted tube-in-tube with 94% contact were significantly lower than the flat tube-on-tube by about 85%, 62%, and 97% respectively for R410A and by about 65%, 35.7%, and 98% respectively for R744. Overall, the flat tube-on-tube and the twisted tube-in-tube configurations are most promising for the water heating heat exchanger in terms of the lowest investment and running costs respectively.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

Thanks in particular to my Supervisor Prof. Don Cleland and Dr. Jianfeng Wang (School of Engineering and Advanced Technology (SEAT) Massey University, NZ) for guiding the project.

Thanks to Ministry of Science and Technology, Thailand for supporting a scholarship.

Thanks to Prof. Eckhard A. Groll and Prof. Somchai Wongwises for helping on experimental data.

# CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	ii
CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF FIGURES	vi
LIST OF TABLES	x
1 INTRODUCTION	1
2 LITURATURE REVIEW	3
2.1 Hot Water Heating	3
2.1.1 Requirements for hot water	3
2.1.2 Energy consumption	4
2.1.3 Hot water supply systems	7
2.2 The Type of Domestic Hot Water Heaters	8
2.2.1 Conventional storage hot water heaters	8
2.2.2 Alternative hot water heaters	10
2.3 Heat Pump System	11
2.3.1 Air-source heat pump systems	12
2.3.2 Ground-source heat pump systems	12
2.3.3 Water-source heat pump systems	14
2.3.4 Heat pump efficiency	15
2.4 The Types of Air-Source Heat Pump Water Heaters	16
2.4.1 Integral unit	16
2.4.2 Standalone unit	16
2.5 Vapour Compression Cycles	18
2.5.1 Subcritical cycles	18
2.5.2 Transcritical vapour compression cycles	20
2.6 Other Heat Pump Cycles	23
2.7 Standards	25
2.7.1 Standard for the air-source heat pump water heaters	25
2.7.2 Standard for the heat exchangers	26
2.8 Refrigerants	26
2.9 Heat Exchangers for Domestic Hot Water Heating	29
2.10 Flow Passage	32
2.11 Heat Exchanger Optimization	32
2.12 Prediction of Heat Transfer Coefficients and Pressure Drops	34
2.12.1 Subcritical cooling processes	34
2.12.1.1 Single-phase flow regions	34
2.12.1.1.1 Conventional channels with/without fin	34
2.12.1.1.2 Micro channels	36
2.12.1.1.3 Curved tubes	37
2.12.1.2 Condensation (Two phase) flow regions	42
2.12.1.2.1 Flow maps	42
2.12.1.2.2 Heat transfer and pressure drop correlations without oil	44
2.12.1.2.2.1 Conventional channels	45
2.12.1.2.2.2 Micro-fin channels	46

	2.12.1.2.2.3 Micro channels	48
	2.12.1.2.3 Effect of lubricating oil	49
	2.12.2 Transcritical cooling processes	57
	2.12.2.1 CO <sub>2</sub> cooling processes without oil	57
	2.12.2.2 Effect of lubricating oil	58
	2.13 Conclusions	62
3	OBJECTIVES	65
4	MODELING	66
4.1	Multi-Zone Model of Water Heating Heat Exchangers	66
4.1.1	General zone model	66
4.1.2	Boundary zones	70
4.1.3	Prediction of heat transfer coefficients and pressure drops of fluid flow without oil in or on smooth tubes	70
4.1.3.1	Refrigerant side	70
4.1.3.1.1	Subcritical process	70
4.1.3.1.1.1	De-superheating region	70
4.1.3.1.1.2	Transition between de-superheating and condensing	72
4.1.3.1.1.3	Condensing region	73
4.1.3.1.1.4	Transition between condensing and sub-cooling	76
4.1.3.1.1.5	Sub-cooling region	76
4.1.3.1.2	Supercritical cooling process	76
4.1.3.2	Water side	78
4.1.4	Prediction of heat transfer coefficients and pressure drops of fluid flow without oil in or on enhanced tubes	80
4.1.5	Effect of oil	81
4.1.6	Configurations of double wall heat exchanger	81
4.1.6.1	Configuration <i>I</i> : Smooth circular tube-in-tube with small air gap	81
4.1.6.2	Configuration <i>II</i> : Flat tube-on-tube	82
4.1.6.3	Configuration <i>III</i> : Twisted tube-in-tube with small air gap	83
4.2	Vapour Compression Heat Pump Cycle Model	88
4.2.1	Compressor model	88
4.2.2	Discharge line model	89
4.2.3	Expansion model	90
4.2.4	Evaporator model	90
4.2.5	Suction line mode	91
4.2.6	Coefficient of performance and pumping power	92
4.2.7	Water pump effect	92
4.3	Calculation Procedure	93
4.4	Three-Zone Model of Condenser	95
4.5	One-Zone model of Gas Cooler	98
4.6	Number of Zones and Accuracy	100

5	MODEL VALIDATION	102
5.1	Introduction	102
5.2	Condensation Flow in Horizontal Smooth Tube of Pure Alternative Refrigerants	107
5.2.1	Heat transfer correlation validation	107
5.2.2	Pressure drop correlation validation	109
5.3	Supercritical Flow in Horizontal Smooth Tube for CO <sub>2</sub>	109
5.3.1	Heat transfer correlation validation	110
5.3.2	Pressure drop correlation validation	111
5.4	Validation of Water Heating Heat Exchanger Models	112
5.4.1	Available data	112
5.4.2	Condenser model validation	114
5.4.3	Gas cooler model validation	115
6	HEAT EXCHANGER DESIGN USING THE MODEL	117
6.1	Design Conditions	117
6.2	Criteria for Comparison of Heat Exchanger Design	117
6.3	Configuration <i>I</i> Design	118
6.3.1	Effects of flow channel dimensions	118
6.3.1.1	Configuration <i>I</i> design for case <i>A</i>	118
6.3.1.1.1	Water flow channel dimensions	118
6.3.1.1.2	Refrigerant flow channel dimensions	120
6.3.1.2	Configuration <i>I</i> design for case <i>B</i>	125
6.3.1.2.1	Water flow channel dimensions	125
6.3.1.2.2	Refrigerant flow channel dimensions	127
6.4	Configuration <i>II</i> Design	132
6.4.1	Effects of flow channel dimensions	132
6.4.1.1	Water flow channel dimensions	132
6.4.1.2	Refrigerant flow channel dimensions	134
6.5	Configuration <i>III</i> Design	138
6.5.1	R-410A design	138
6.5.2	R-744 design	142
6.6	Summary	145
7	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	146
	REFERENCES	148
	NOMENCLATURE	158
	APPENDIX	162
A1	Software Program for Condenser Design in <i>EES</i>	162
A2	Software Program for Gas cooler Design in <i>EES</i>	173

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1: Map of hot water consumption by household by country	3
Figure 2.2: Global primary energy consumption	4
Figure 2.3: Map of global primary energy supply	4
Figure 2.4: The map of the annual CO <sub>2</sub> emissions in 2006	5
Figure 2.5: CO <sub>2</sub> emissions by sector	5
Figure 2.6: The electricity energy use in New Zealand in 2006	6
Figure 2.7: Energy use in New Zealand households	6
Figure 2.8: Diagram of hot water supply systems	7
Figure 2.9: Sankey diagram for energy loss in hot water supply system	8
Figure 2.10: An electrical storage hot water heater	9
Figure 2.11: A gas storage hot water heater	9
Figure 2.12: The principle of the heat pump system	12
Figure 2.13: A classical air-source vapor compression heat pump system	13
Figure 2.14: A ground-source vapor compression heat pump system	14
Figure 2.15: A classical water-source vapor compression heat pump system	15
Figure 2.16: Schematic diagram of multi-pass heat pump water heating system	17
Figure 2.17: Schematic diagram of one-pass heat pump water heating system	17
Figure 2.18: Subcritical vapour compression cycle on mollier diagram	18
Figure 2.19: Temperature difference profile in a condenser of a subcritical cycle	19
Figure 2.20: Trnascritical cycle on moliar diagram	21
Figure 2.21: Temperature difference profile of gascooler	21
Figure 2.22: An absorption system	23
Figure 2.23: Simplified layout of the Brayton heat pump system	24
Figure 2.24: Ozone depletion potential (ODP) contrasted to global warming potential (GWP) for key single-compound refrigerants	28
Figure 2.25: A tube-in-tube double wall heat exchanger	30
Figure 2.26: A tube-on-tube double wall heat exchanger	30
Figure 2.27: Temperature difference between the refrigerant and the water in the condenser for countercurrent and concurrent configurations	31
Figure 2.28: Temperature difference between the refrigerant and the water in the gascooler for countercurrent and concurrent configurations	31
Figure 2.29: Methodology for heat exchanger optimization	33
Figure 2.30: Flow regimes typically encountered in condensation processes	43
Figure 2.31: The flow regime map of Mandhane et al. (1974)	43
Figure 2.32: Flow regime map of Taitel-Dukler (1976) for horizontal flow with both phases flowing turbulently	44
Figure 4.1: A general zone in the multi-zone model of a double wall heat exchanger	66
Figure 4.2: Circular tube-in-tube with small air gap	81
Figure 4.3: Flat oval tube-on-tube	82
Figure 4.4: Twisted tube-in-tube with small air gap	83
Figure 4.5: Possible twisted tube if small difference in size between the 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> tubes	84
Figure 4.6: Possible twisted tube if big difference in size between the 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> tubes	85
Figure 4.7: Dimension of twisted tube ( $d = d_1$ )	85
Figure 4.8: Dimension of twisted tube with 100% contact	86



Figure 4.9: Relationship between inside radius of twisted tube and %contact	87
Figure 4.10: Dimension of twisted tube for outside wall	87
Figure 4.11: Vapor compression heat pump cycle model	88
Figure 4.12: Three zone model of condenser	95
Figure 4.13: One zone model of gas cooler	98
Figure 4.14: The systematic accuracy of calculation	100
Figure 4.15: Accuracy and calculation time for the subcritical cooling model as a function of number of zones	100
Figure 4.16: Accuracy and calculation time for the super-critical cooling model as a function of number of zones	101
Figure 5.1: Predicted heat transfer coefficients against with the experimental data at $G = 200 \text{ kg/m}^2\text{s}$	108
Figure 5.2: Predicted heat transfer coefficients against with the experimental data at $G = 400 \text{ kg/m}^2\text{s}$	108
Figure 5.3: Predicted heat transfer coefficients against with the experimental data at $G = 750 \text{ kg/m}^2\text{s}$	108
Figure 5.4: Predicted pressure gradient by Zhang and Webb's correlation against with the experimental data	109
Figure 5.5: Predicted heat transfer coefficient against with the experimental data at $G = 200 \text{ kg/m}^2 \text{ s}$ , $P = 8 \text{ MPa}$ , $d_i = 6 \text{ mm}$	110
Figure 5.6: Predicted heat transfer coefficient against with the experimental data at $G = 400 \text{ kg/m}^2 \text{ s}$ , $P = 8 \text{ MPa}$ , $d_i = 6 \text{ mm}$	110
Figure 5.7: Predicted heat transfer coefficient against with the experimental data at $G = 800 \text{ kg/m}^2 \text{ s}$ , $P = 8 \text{ MPa}$ , $d_i = 4 \text{ mm}$	111
Figure 5.8: Predicted heat transfer coefficient against with the experimental data at $G = 800 \text{ kg/m}^2 \text{ s}$ , $P = 10 \text{ MPa}$ , $d_i = 4 \text{ mm}$	111
Figure 5.9: Predicted pressure drop against with the experimental data at $G = 800 \text{ kg/m}^2 \text{ s}$ , $P = 8 \text{ MPa}$ , $d_i = 2 \text{ mm}$	112
Figure 5.10: Temperature profiles along the condenser	114
Figure 5.11: Schematic of the test apparatus	115
Figure 5.12: Temperature profiles along the gascooler	116
Figure 6.1: Water flow channel effect of configuration $I$ on pressure drop and heat transfer coefficient for case A	119
Figure 6.2: Water flow channel effect of configuration $I$ on length and heat transfer surface of heat exchanger for case A	119
Figure 6.3: Water flow channel effect of configuration $I$ on weight of heat exchanger for case A	120
Figure 6.4: Water flow channel effect of configuration $I$ on pumping power and $COP$ of the system for case A	120
Figure 6.5: Refrigerant flow channel effect of configuration $I$ on refrigerant pressure drop and heat transfer coefficient for R410A case A	121
Figure 6.6: Refrigerant flow channel effect of configuration $I$ on refrigerant pressure drop and heat transfer coefficient for R744 case A	122
Figure 6.7: Refrigerant flow channel effect of configuration $I$ on $LMTD$ for R410A case A	122
Figure 6.8: Refrigerant flow channel effect of configuration $I$ on $LMTD$ for R744 case A	122
Figure 6.9: Refrigerant flow channel effect of configuration $I$ on length and heat transfer surface of heat exchanger for R410A case A	123

Figure 6.10: Refrigerant flow channel effect of configuration <i>I</i> on weight of heat exchanger for R410A case <i>A</i>	123
Figure 6.11: Refrigerant flow channel effect of configuration <i>I</i> on length and heat transfer surface of heat exchanger for R744 case <i>A</i>	123
Figure 6.12: Refrigerant flow channel effect of configuration <i>I</i> on weight of heat exchanger for R744 case <i>A</i>	124
Figure 6.13: Refrigerant flow channel effect of configuration <i>I</i> on pumping power and <i>COP</i> for R410A case <i>A</i>	124
Figure 6.14: Refrigerant flow channel effect of configuration <i>I</i> on pumping power and <i>COP</i> for R744 case <i>A</i>	125
Figure 6.15: Water flow channel effect of configuration <i>I</i> on pressure drop and heat transfer coefficient for case <i>B</i>	126
Figure 6.16: Water flow channel effect of configuration <i>I</i> on length and heat transfer surface of heat exchanger case <i>B</i>	126
Figure 6.17: Water flow channel effect of configuration <i>I</i> on weight of heat exchanger for case <i>B</i>	126
Figure 6.18: Water flow channel effect of configuration <i>I</i> on pumping power and <i>COP</i> for case <i>B</i>	127
Figure 6.19: Refrigerant flow channel effect of configuration <i>I</i> on refrigerant pressure drop and heat transfer coefficient for R410A case <i>B</i>	127
Figure 6.20: Refrigerant flow channel effect of configuration <i>I</i> on refrigerant pressure drop and heat transfer coefficient for R744 case <i>B</i>	128
Figure 6.21: Refrigerant flow channel effect of configuration <i>I</i> on <i>LMTD</i> for R410A case <i>B</i>	128
Figure 6.22: Refrigerant flow channel effect of configuration <i>I</i> on <i>LMTD</i> for R744 case <i>B</i>	128
Figure 6.23: Refrigerant flow channel effect of configuration <i>I</i> on length and heat transfer surface of heat exchanger for R410A case <i>B</i>	129
Figure 6.24: Refrigerant flow channel effect of configuration <i>I</i> on length and heat transfer surface of heat exchanger for R744 case <i>B</i>	129
Figure 6.25: Refrigerant flow channel effect of configuration <i>I</i> on weight of heat exchanger for R410A case <i>B</i>	130
Figure 6.26: Refrigerant flow channel effect of configuration <i>I</i> on weight of heat exchanger for R744 case <i>B</i>	130
Figure 6.27: Refrigerant flow channel effect of configuration <i>I</i> on pumping power and <i>COP</i> for R410A case <i>B</i>	130
Figure 6.28: Refrigerant flow channel effect of configuration <i>I</i> on pumping power and <i>COP</i> for R744 case <i>B</i>	131
Figure 6.29: Water flow channel effect of configuration <i>II</i> on pressure drop and average <i>HTC</i> for R-410A	132
Figure 6.30: Water flow channel effect of configuration <i>II</i> on length and mean <i>HTA</i> for R410A	133
Figure 6.31: Water flow channel effect of configuration <i>II</i> on weight of heat exchanger for R410A	133
Figure 6.32: Water flow channel effect of configuration <i>II</i> on pumping power and <i>COP</i> of the system	133
Figure 6.33: Refrigerant flow channel effect of configuration <i>II</i> on pressure drop and average <i>HTC</i> for R-410A	134
Figure 6.34: Refrigerant flow channel effect of configuration <i>II</i> on pressure drop and average <i>HTC</i> for R-744	134

Figure 6.35: Refrigerant flow channel effect of configuration <i>II</i> on <i>LMTD</i> for R410A	135
Figure 6.36: Refrigerant flow channel effect of configuration <i>II</i> on <i>LMTD</i> for R744	135
Figure 6.37: Refrigerant flow channel effect of configuration <i>II</i> on length and mean <i>HTA</i> for R410A	136
Figure 6.38: Refrigerant flow channel effect of configuration <i>II</i> on length and mean <i>HTA</i> for 744	136
Figure 6.39: Refrigerant flow channel effect of configuration <i>II</i> on weight of heat exchanger for R410A	136
Figure 6.40: Refrigerant flow channel effect of configuration <i>II</i> on weight of heat exchanger for R744	137
Figure 6.41: Refrigerant flow channel effect of configuration <i>II</i> on pumping power and <i>COP</i> for R410A system	137
Figure 6.42: Refrigerant flow channel effect of configuration <i>II</i> on pumping power and <i>COP</i> for R744 system	137
Figure 6.43: Relationship between %contact and inside diameter of twisted tube having $d_i = 12.7$ mm for R410A	139
Figure 6.44: Relationship between %contact and inside diameter of twisted tube having $d_i = 15.875$ mm for R410A	139
Figure 6.45: %contact effect on heat exchanger length and mean heat transfer surface area for R410A	140
Figure 6.46: %contact effect on heat exchanger weight area for R410A	140
Figure 6.47: %contact effect on refrigerant heat transfer coefficient for R410A	140
Figure 6.48: %contact effect on average <i>LMTD</i> for R410A	141
Figure 6.49: %contact effect on pumping power ( <i>EP</i> ) and <i>COP</i> for R410A	141
Figure 6.50: Relationship between %contact and inside diameter of twisted tube having $d_i = 9.525$ mm for R744	142
Figure 6.51: Relationship between %contact and inside diameter of twisted tube having $d_i = 12.7$ mm for R744	142
Figure 6.52: %contact effect on heat exchanger length and mean heat transfer surface area for R744	143
Figure 6.53: %contact effect on heat exchanger weight area for R744	143
Figure 6.54: %contact effect on refrigerant heat transfer coefficient for R744	143
Figure 6.55: %contact effect on average <i>LMTD</i> for R744	144
Figure 6.56: %contact effect on pumping power ( <i>EP</i> ) and <i>COP</i> for R744	144

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: The comparison of some characteristics between instantaneous and storage systems	7
Table 2.2: The comparison of some characteristics between the electrical and gas heaters	9
Table 2.3: Comparison of life-cycle costs for different hot water systems	11
Table 2.4: The thermodynamic properties of none-ozone depleting potential refrigerants	22
Table 2.5: Comparison of some characteristics among CFCs, HFCs, and natural Refrigerants	28
Table 2.6: Single phase heat transfer correlations without oil	39
Table 2.7: Single phase pressure drop correlations without oil	41
Table 2.8: Two phase heat transfer correlations without oil	51
Table 2.9: Two phase pressure drop correlations without oil	55
Table 2.10: Transcritical heat transfer correlations without oil	60
Table 2.11: Transcritical pressure drop correlations without oil	61
Table 5.1: Specification of test tubes for condensation heat transfer in/on smooth tube	103
Table 5.2: Specification of test tubes for condensation pressure drop in/on smooth tube	105
Table 5.3: Specification of test tubes for cooling heat transfer and pressure drop of supercritical carbon dioxide	106
Table 5.4: Accuracy for sensors and parameters (Cavallini <i>et al.</i> , 2001)	107
Table 5.5: Accuracy for sensors and parameters (Dang and Hihara, 2004)	109
Table 5.6: Available configuration of water heating heat exchanger in the open literature	112
Table 5.7: Comparison of experimented and the predicted lengths of condenser (% difference from experiment in bracket)	114
Table 5.8: Comparison of experimented and the predicted lengths of gascooler (% difference from experiment in bracket)	115
Table 6.1: Copper tube standard for TATMB 280 Copper Tube-ACR	118
Table 6.2: Average thermal resistance in optimal configuration <i>I</i> case <i>B</i>	131
Table 6.3 Average thermal resistance in optimal configuration <i>II</i>	138
Table 6.4 Average thermal resistance in optimal configuration <i>III</i>	144
Table 6.5: Summary of characteristics for three best water heating heat exchanger configurations	145