

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Design and Development of a Small-Scale Pellet Extrusion System for 3D Printing Biopolymer Materials and Composites

by

Sean Matthew Whyman

Submitted to the School of Engineering and Advanced Technology
in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Engineering
Mechatronics

at

Massey University, Auckland

Feb 2018

Author
School of Engineering and Advanced Technology
Feb 28, 2018

Supervised by
Dr. Khalid Arif
A/Prof. Johan Potgieter

Design and Development of a Small-Scale Pellet Extrusion System for 3D Printing Biopolymer Materials and Composites

by

Sean Matthew Whyman

Submitted to the School of Engineering and Advanced Technology
on Feb 28, 2018, in partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the degree of
Master of Engineering

Abstract

The aim of this research project is to develop a pellet-based 3D printing system that will accept biopolymer pellets to experiment with composite additives. Currently a majority of easily accessible or hobbyist 3D printers use filament as the input material for extrusion. With the goal in mind of printing using biopolymer materials and additive mixes, using filament remains achievable, but it would not provide as much freedom and exploration into unexplored areas. This can be an issue on the research side and a restriction on the hobbyist or consumer side where the material variety and printing capabilities such as recycling are much harder to achieve if not out of reach.

This research report presents the process of designing and developing a pellet-based extrusion system to accept a range of biopolymer pellets for 3D printing. The system has been designed from first principles and therefore can be extended to other materials with slight parameter adjustments or hardware modifications. A robust mechatronic design has been developed using an unconventional yet simplistic approach to achieve the desired operating characteristics. The extrusion system uses a series of control factors to generate a consistent output of material over the course of a print. The platform and surrounding processes are setup so that software can be used to define the printing parameters, thus allowing for easy and simple adaption to dissimilar materials. The utility of the extruder is demonstrated through extensive printing and testing of the printed parts.

Using Polylactic Acid (PLA) as the base material to test and develop the extruder system, the results of the print quality evolved as the extruders design became more robust. Several factors of the extruder contributed to large improvements such as; the hoppers rigidity, the internal geometries, the cooling efficiency and the software parameters. As these features progressed it enabled a much finer print quality and dimensional accuracy similar to what is seen in current Fused Deposition Modelling (FDM) extruders today. The print comparison tests were carried out against FDM

PLA samples to reveal a high similarity in mechanical strength and improvements to some areas of surface quality. Further testing revealed success in testing other materials such as PETG, as well as successfully mixing and extruding Harakeke flax fiber composite additives.

The major limiting factor of the current design is its ability to withstand heat propagation up through the extrusion system. As higher temperatures are required to melt different polymers, the thermal tolerance of the drive motor will quickly reduce causing inconsistencies earlier on during printing. The water cooling block added into the design only prevent heat from travelling through the wall of the extruder and not the screw. A further limitation is that the extruder is made using aluminium as the material. This allows for quick start-up times, but it also wears at a fast rate and the shaved off aluminium ends up contaminating the processed material.

Because this extruder accepts pellets, the range of possibilities for future applications is vast. With further improvements to better refine the process, the material range could expand to more unconventional materials that otherwise could not be printed using popular extrusion methods. As for a business sense, there are few well known methods of pellet printing and especially affordable systems. Therefore, an opportunity could be present to develop a commercially affordable desktop system or spin-off to enter a niche market.

Thesis Supervisors: Dr. Khalid Arif, A/Prof. Johan Potgieter

Acknowledgments

I am grateful for having such a supportive family to which I can rely on in times of need. To my Mother Claire and sibling Brooke, for providing an emotional pillow to help even out the bumpy road and soften any tumbles that occur along the way. To my Father Lee for starting me off on my journey and providing the barriers to my path, keeping me from going astray. He has given me the tools to get me to where I want to be, and for that I cannot thank him enough. I am also grateful to all my other family members and friends who have supported me along the way.

A great thank you to A/Prof. Johan Potgieter for not only being my supervisor, but for taking the time to sort out issues, finding the financial support for my Master's degree and being behind the scenes throughout my entire university degree. A special thank you to Dr. Khalid Arif, for providing long term guidance through undergraduate mentorship and in postgraduate supervision. He is always there to offer his thoughts, providing both focus and encouragement. But more than that, He applies himself to become personally involved with projects and students, thus offering a more structured growth, both personally and professionally. For this I thank him. Further thanks go to Dr. Frazer Noble and all the other staff members in the school of engineering that have poured effort into my university journey.

This research was part of the Extrusion Plus program led by Scion New Zealand, and funded by the Ministry of Business, Innovation, and Employment (MBIE) funding under High Value Manufacturing and Services (HVMS) Enabling Technologies investment contract. A special thanks to Dr. Marie-Joo Le Guen for providing the materials and related expertise.

A special mention to a close group of friends, Andrew Kvalsvig, Cameron Mearns, Dion Mansfield and Hayden Wilson who have stuck by one another from the beginning, through thick and thin, both in and around the workplace. I also appreciate the company of Arno Ferreira, Tim Sutcliffe, Mitchell Hampton and Blair Dixon for providing a stress relief and comedic encouragement around the workplace. I am grateful to Tatiana Daysh for the support and assistance offered, as well as the general company to help balance out the everyday chaos that sometimes occurs.

Without this collective group of people, I would not have ventured this far, I thank you all for the encouragement and look forward to the future.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Literature Review	4
2.1	Types of Additive Manufacturing	4
2.1.1	Material Extrusion	7
2.1.2	VAT Photopolymerisation	8
2.1.3	Powder Bed Fusion	10
2.1.4	Direct Energy Deposition	11
2.1.5	Sheet Lamination	12
2.1.6	Material Jetting	13
2.2	Extrusion Printing Materials	14
2.2.1	Common Extrusion Materials	14
2.2.2	Extrusion of Biopolymer and Composite Materials	14
2.2.3	Recycling of Material	18
2.3	Current State of Extruder Technology	20
2.3.1	Deposit Extruder Types	21
2.3.2	Screw Extrusion	24
2.3.3	FDM Platform Designs	31
2.4	Summary of Findings	33
3	System Design	34
3.1	Platform Design	35

3.2	Extruder System Design	37
3.2.1	Material and Extrusion Type	39
3.2.2	Hopper Design	40
3.2.3	Proposed Extruder Design	42
4	Development of Printer Systems	49
4.1	Extruder Platform Development	49
4.2	Extruder Design Process	52
4.2.1	Hopper Development	52
4.2.2	Cooling System Development	59
4.2.3	Barrel, Screw and Die Development	65
4.3	Measurement, Communication and Control	70
4.4	Limitations and Improvements	80
5	Mechanical Characterisation and Optimisation	81
5.1	Print Consistency and Calibration	81
5.2	Part Preparation	91
5.3	Speed Versus Temperature	94
5.4	Nozzle Sizes	95
6	Results	97
6.1	Printing Results	97
6.2	Tensile Testing	104
6.3	Recycling	106
6.4	SEM Analysis	108
7	Discussion and Conclusion	112
8	Future Recommendations	114
A	Thermal Images	120

B Publication	123
C Conference Posters	125

List of Figures

2-1	Melt extrusion additive manufacturing (FDM/FFF) [53]	8
2-2	Stereolithography (SLA) additive manufacturing [39]	9
2-3	(a) Inkjet powder bed printing (3DP), (b) Slective laser sintering (SLS) [39]	10
2-4	Laser operated DED process [34]	11
2-5	Sheet lamination additive process [36]	12
2-6	Material jetting process [35]	13
2-7	The Mark One 3D printer by MarkForged	17
2-8	Different extruder types; (a) Filament extrusion, (b) Syringe extrusion, (c) Screw extrusion [62]	20
2-9	Filament extrusion problems; (a) Filament is too thick, (b) Filament is too thin, (c) Filament buckling caused through the pressure being applied on the filament [62]	22
2-10	Pellet extruder and positioning system [58]	24
2-11	Common single stage continuous screw extrusion system [27]	25
2-12	Single and twin screw extruders [55]	26
2-13	Screw mixing type comparison [33]	30
3-1	Pellet printing platform and extrusion system	35
3-2	Image showing the relative size and shape of the PLA pellets used in testing and composite blends	39
3-3	(Left) Assembled cross section view, (Rigth) Exploded cross section view	40
3-4	Images showing the dripfeeder with motor attached and the seperate guidance tube	41
3-5	(a) Common single compression or three stage continuous extrusion screw [47], (b) rubber extrusion screw [57], (c) wood auger drill bit	43

3-6	(a) Entire extruder system mounted in the printer, (b) full SolidWorks assembly of the extruder	44
3-7	(Left) The Watlow 200W resistive heating band mounted at the extruders tip, (Right) Watlow PID single phase controller	46
3-8	(Left) Coolant block around the neck of the extruder and the Teflon barrier, (Right) radiator, coolant reservoir, pump and control temperature/feed controller to complete the loop	47
4-1	(a) Flat sheet metal view of the frame design, (b) Folded sheet metal view with scale dimensions	50
4-2	(a) Overview of the assembled model, (b) Close-up of the x/z axes custom joints and sliders, (c) Custom mounts for the y axis	51
4-3	Drip feeder good and poor design considerations [57]	52
4-4	(Left) SLS printed original hopper design, (Right) Solidworks model of the original hopper	53
4-5	(Left) Flexing and deformation causes pellets to be force out, (Right) internal view of escaped pellets	53
4-6	(Left) Sectioned view of new design in SolidWorks, (Right) Application of new hopper design	54
4-7	(a) SolidWorks assembly of initial feeder design, (b) SLS printed initial feeder design	56
4-8	SolidWorks model of the new feeder design	57
4-9	(Left) Feeder mount on top of extruder motor, (Middle) feeder friction fits inside mount, (Right) full feeder assembly with guidance tube into main hopper	58
4-10	(a) Air cooled extruder with 40mm fan mounted, (b) SolidWorks model of the air-cooled extruder	59
4-11	(a) First water cooled channel design, (b) SolidWorks model of initial water-cooled extruder	60
4-12	Glycol based coolant temperature profile across several prints	61
4-13	(a) Transient temperature study of the initial water-cooled block, stopped at 100°C in 180 seconds, (b) a static temperature analysis of the initial water-cooled block	62
4-14	(a) Transient temperature study of the new water-cooled block, stopped at 100°C in 260 seconds, (b) a static temperature analysis of the new water-cooled block	62

4-15 (a) PTFE thermal barrier water cooler, (b) SolidWorks model of the PTFE water cooled design	63
4-16 Water based coolant temperature profile across several prints	64
4-17 Visual pressure comparison between a multi-zone continuous screw and the no compression auger screw [14]	66
4-18 Screw geometries [57]	67
4-19 (Top) Isolated melt region with correct feed transport, (Bottom) Block occurred mid way down	68
4-20 (a) Initial air-cooled extruder design, (b) first water-cooled extruder design, (c) latest water-cooled extruder design with a thermal barrier	69
4-21 Extruder system process	71
4-22 Extruder sensor and monitoring locations	72
4-23 Control interface for the temperature and feeder access	74
4-24 (Left) Eagle designed controller schematic, (Middle) top view of controller containing the interfaced electronics, (Right) underside of board showing the deliberate jumper wires	74
4-25 Main controller loop running based on a timer counting routine	75
4-26 Serial reading function within the loop to detect incoming instructions	76
4-27 Feeder speed function and drive motor encoder interrupt function	77
4-28 Feeder enable/disable function and the feeders reverse function	78
4-29 Temperature calculation function using an open source library	79
5-1 First test print attempt carried out using a PLA and flax fiber blend	82
5-2 (Left) Increments in layer thickness, (Right) Refined parameters	82
5-3 (Top) Single layer test print for settings, (Bottom) Full print with adjusted parameters and skirt	85
5-4 Continued testing from the early fiber blend to just PLA	86
5-5 1.5mm aerated PLA extrudate	86
5-6 (Left) High moisture content, (Middle) Insufficient material feed, (Right) Overheated material	87
5-7 Test printed 40% infill part with collapsed bridging on top layer	87

5-8	(Left) Stuttering drive motor caused oozing around the perimeter, (Right) Oozing between movements across the part	88
5-9	This is a set of g-code instructions written to extrude and wipe the nozzle before the print begins	89
5-10	(Left) Excessive heat build-up caused runny viscosity, (Right) Insufficient layer cooling as the layer size reduced	89
5-11	(Left) - (Middle) Visible build-up and mixing of aluminium contamination, (Right) Unmixed aluminium powder caused through rubbing	90
5-12	Visual representation of the slicing operation carried out in Slic3r	91
5-13	Pronterface as the graphical interface for the printer	92
5-14	Basic considerations of die design [57]	96
5-15	The different sizes and types of extrusion tips used (2.0, 1.5, 1.2, 1.0, 0.8, 0.6mm)	96
6-1	Air-cooled extruder progress from the first print through to a more optimised result	98
6-2	(Top) Glycol-cooled extruder trialling parameters, (Bottom) More refined print settings	99
6-3	Comparison of print quality (Left) First water-cooled extruder, (Right) Refined Teflon water-cooled design	99
6-4	(a) Printed a large trophie mount with an internal magnet and 40% infill, (b) Tall thin-wall pencil holder, (c) A printed part comparing black ABS filament and PLA pellet, (d) Assortment of finished prints	100
6-5	PET printed sample	101
6-6	Printing samples using a Harakeke Flax Fiber and PLA composite blend; (a) Completed composite sample, (b) First attempt with new blend producing a light colour, (c) Second attempt with heating causing a dark colour, (d) Image taken during the print, (e) Extruding a bead of the composite material	102
6-7	The variety of polymers tested in this extruder with a 1.5mm nozzle, (Left two) Harakeke Flax PLA composite, (Middle) PET, (Right two) PLA	103
6-8	Instron tensile tester demonstrating the gripped specimen and extensometer	104
6-9	Box and whisker graph comparatively showing the tensile strength of each sample set	106
6-10	Tensile test results for four sample groups as per Table 6.1	107
6-11	(Left) SEM result showing the layer bonding and bead shape, (Right) Close up of the voids that occur between roads	109

6-12 SEM results (Left) white PLA at 190°C, (Right) Natural PLA at 190°C [64]	109
6-13 SEM surface of pellet sample (Left) Showing upper surface edge, (Right) Showing lower surface edge	110
6-14 SEM surface of filament sample (Left) Showing upper surface edge, (Right) Showing lower surface edge	111
6-15 (Left) Showing central pellet sample surface, (Right) Showing central filament sample surface	111

List of Tables

2.1	Printer categories and types	6
2.2	Bio-degradable materials for 3D printing [54]	15
2.3	Natural Fibers in the world and their world production [30]	16
2.4	Specimen tensile testing properties [54]	18
2.5	Classification of polymer extruders [57]	25
2.6	Comparison of Dispersive Mixers for Single Screw Extrusion [57]	30
3.1	Styles of different printer platforms, outlining design positives and negatives	36
3.2	Types of extruder used in extrusion 3D printing, outlining positives and negatives	37
4.1	Extrusion screw properties based on the proposed extruder	69
4.2	Common RepRap printing g-code commands [6]	71
5.1	Different printing parameters between materials to achieve acceptable results	83
5.2	These are some of the common printer settings accessed in Slic3r and used to manipulate the printing characteristics	84
5.3	Extruder Barrel Type; Printer Conditions, (a) Full aluminium water cooled block, (b) Aluminium PTFE thermal barrier water cooled block	94
6.1	(a) For parts printed without PTFE thermal barrier, liquid cooling and 1.5 mm nozzle, (b) same as (a) with PTFE thermal barrier, (c) same as (b) with 0.8 mm nozzle, and (d) same as (c) but printed at a higher speed.	105