

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**A study of natural lytic *Listeria* phages with  
decontaminating properties for use in seafood  
processing plants**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in

Food Technology

Institute of Food, Nutrition and Human Health

Massey University

Auckland, New Zealand

**Geevika J Ganegama Arachchi**

**2013**



## **Dedication**

The thesis is dedicated to my loving mother and late father,  
my four siblings and aunts,

## Acknowledgements

The success of this study is a result of the support given by many people and organizations at various stages. It would be therefore appropriate for me to acknowledge all the persons and organizations that contributed to the study, but due to limited space, I am only able to name a few. I therefore apologies to those individuals and organizations that have been not mentioned here.

First and foremost, I would like to express my gratitude to Massey University, *alma mater*, for giving me the opportunity to study for the Doctor of Philosophy in Food Technology. I also thank the NZ King Salmon Ltd, Nelson, for funding the practical work; Foundation for Research, Science and Technology (FRST) New Zealand [Contract No.: NZKX0902] for providing a stipend; Cawthron Institute, Nelson; Environmental and Science Research (ESR) Ltd, Christchurch; and New Zealand Plant and Food Research Ltd (PFR), Auckland; The University of Auckland, for various technical support. My sincere gratitude also goes to National Aquatic Resources Research and Development Agency (NARA), Sri Lanka, my employer for granting me study leave.

I am indebted to my dedicated supervisors for their assistance in transforming numerous crude drafts into a fine document present here: Professor Steve Flint for providing overall guidance, Dr Tony Mutukumira, Dr Cristina Cruz, Dr Lynn McIntyre and Dr Beatrice Manel Dias-Wanigasekera, for their support and impacting their respective knowledge during my stay at Massey University. I especially wish to acknowledge Drs Dias-Wanigasekera and Mutukumira for soliciting funding from NZ King Salmon Ltd and FRST. It is also imperative that I accordingly acknowledge Dr Mutukumira for his guidance on the planning experiments of and analysis of data, sourcing for materials, managing the budget and overall social support during my stay at Albany campus. I am also very thankful to Dr McIntyre for nurturing me in phage science at ESR Laboratories in Christchurch, which now forms a foundation for my career. I also thank Dr Cruz for her expert guidance in conducting good microbiological experiments and ensuring that all analyses are accurate. My sincere thanks go to Rachel Liu and Jarod Young for providing technical support.

The following persons also played an important role in the course of my research work: Dr. S. On, ESR, Christchurch, for providing the three phages and a *L. monocytogenes* strain; Graham Fletcher at PFR Ltd; Professor P. Bremer at Otago University, Dunedin, for providing the *L. monocytogenes* strains; and Prof. Dr. Martin J. Loessner, Institute of Food Science and Nutrition, Zurich, Switzerland.

I express my sincere thanks to Richard Smith, (formerly with NZ King Salmon Limited); Karen Wittington and Neil Graham, NZ King Salmon Limited; Dr. Andrew Hudson, Dr Craig Billington, A. Premaratne, Dr. G. Greening, Anne Glennie at ESR Limited; Ron Fyfe, Anneke van Laanen and other staff at Cawthron Institute, Nelson; Graeme Fox and other staff at Sealord Group Ltd; Gavin Kouwenhoven, Future Cuisine; Leon Antunvich, Westpac Mussels Distributors Ltd and various other seafood processing plants in New Zealand for their technical support. My sincere thanks also goes to Dr. R. Lau, Dr. Andrew Cridge, Dr Marie Wong, Dr. Lara Matia-Merino, Eddie Stonehouse, Maryln Mabon, Margaret Allison, Margaret McNeill, Helne Mathew, Yan Wang, Sue Pearce, Dmitri Roukin, Rashmi Ramesh, Michael Bolech, Su Jung Lee, Michelle Tamehana, Anne-Marie Jackson, Jane Leighton, Carine Marais and all library staff, Sally Iwikau and other staff of Massey University; Adrian Turner and Carlos

Cheung, School of Biological Sciences, The University of Auckland, Stuart Blakeney, George Weston Foods Limited (Jasol), Auckland, NZ; Paul Harrison, Hawkins Watts, New Zealand; Mr Rasheed Iraninejad, Sifa Pty Ltd, Australia for various support during my study period.

I express my deep gratitude to Erika J. Lingohr, Research Public Health Agency of Canada; Emeritus Professor Andrew M. Kropinski, Queen's University; Professor Xiao-Lun Wu, University of Pittsburgh; Dr. Jochen Klumpp, Institute of Food, Nutrition and Health, Switzerland; Associate Professor Kalmokoff, Martin, Agriculture and Agri-Food, Canada; Ing-Nang Wang and Romain Gallet, University at Albany, NY; Prof. Alexander Sulakvelidze, University of Florida; Abraham Eisenstark, Cancer Research Centre, Columbia; Professor David A. Hodgson, University of Warwick; Assistant Professor Mark Liles, Auburn University, Alabama; Assistant Professor Laura Kasman, Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Charleston; Associate Professor Joseph D. Eifert, Department of Food Science & Technology Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, and Associate Professor Stephen Abedon, Ohio State University for their support with literature, and advice through discussion on the subject of bacteriophage.

I wish to extend my thanks to Dr. Champa Amarasiri and Nishahmini Karunasinghe who were in NARA, for guiding me to find a placement for my studies in New Zealand. I am ever so grateful to my friends and relatives: Krishathi Marambe, Pushpika Edirisinghe, Mahinda Jayaweera, Dayanthi Nugawela, Samantha Rathnayeka, Sujeewani Rathnayeka and all other friends who encouraged and made me feel at home while in New Zealand.

## Abstract

*Listeria monocytogenes* is a major cause of illness, associated with seafood, therefore it is important to control this pathogen in seafood processing environments. Sporadic listeriosis outbreaks and seafood recalls indicate that current treatments to control this pathogen may be inadequate. The ability to adapt to harsh environmental conditions, develop resistance and form biofilms makes this environmental pathogen difficult to control using regular disinfectants. Bacteriophages (phages) could serve as effective alternative biocontrol agents. The main objective of this study was to isolate and characterize natural lytic *Listeria* specific phages and examine their effectiveness against *L. monocytogenes* under conditions mimicking those found in seafood processing environments.

Among a group of phages isolated from a seafood waste treatment unit, three phages (LiMN4L, LiMN4p and LiMN17) were selected based on plaque morphology and their source. The three phages were distinguished by morphology, efficiency of plating (EOP) in citrate agar and differences in EOP using different *L. monocytogenes* host strains. Three phages which were found as strictly virulent by whole genome sequence analysis, had broad host ranges at 15 °C and each phage also infected either *L. ivanovii* or *L. innocua*. These phages were unstable at 60 °C for 10 min suggesting psychrotrophic properties. The three phages showed low burst sizes indicating their potential suitability as passive biocontrol agents.

Low counts of *L. monocytogenes* strains (19O9, 19DO3 and 19EO3) in late exponential phase, metabolically injured/stressed by heat and salt, lysed by the three phages at 15 °C in 30 min. The results suggested that the three virulent phages may be good candidates as biocontrol agents against *L. monocytogenes* under conditions commonly found in seafood processing plants.

The phages LiMN4L, LiMN4p and LiMN17, used as single phage or a cocktail of three phages, lysed cells adhered to stainless steel conditioned with soluble fish protein and on clean stainless steel coupons (SSC). The phage cocktail also eradicated low cell counts of about 2 log CFU/cm<sup>2</sup> adhered to SSC surfaces in the presence of fish proteins at 15 °C in 15 min and no re-growth of cells was observed from phage infected surfaces. This study suggested that a biofilm matrix shielded the bacterial cells from phage infection as three consecutive repeat applications of phages did not efficiently lyse undisturbed biofilm cells. Biofilm cells, once removed from the surface, showed

similar to sensitivity to that of exponential phase planktonic cells. Therefore, disruption of the biofilm structure may be required for effective phage treatments.

Phages suspended in phosphate buffered saline survived refrigeration for at least twelve months and were stable for at least 6 h under likely application conditions such as ambient temperatures and under fluorescence lighting. The three phages, either individually or as a cocktail, showed a high lytic efficacy indicating their potential to serve as bio-decontaminating agents in seafood processing environments.



## Table of Contents

Acknowledgements .....	ii
Abstract .....	iv
Table of Contents.....	vi
List of Manuscripts.....	xi
List of Tables .....	xii
List of Figures.....	xiv
Chapter 1: General Introduction .....	1
References .....	5
Chapter 2: Literature Review .....	6
2.1    Introduction .....	6
2.2    Bacteriophage ecology .....	7
2.2.1    Historical background of bacteriophages .....	7
2.2.2    Existence in nature.....	7
2.2.3    Behaviour of phages and interactions with bacteria .....	8
2.3    Different applications of phage and phage products .....	10
2.3.1    Typing phage .....	10
2.3.2    Use of phages in rapid detection of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> in food .....	11
2.3.3    Lysins.....	11
2.3.4    Monocins .....	12
2.4 <i>L. monocytogenes</i> as a food-borne pathogen.....	13
2.4.1    Prevalence of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> .....	13
2.4.2    Fish industry and food safety.....	14
2.4.3    Types of <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> isolates linked to seafood contaminations.....	15
2.5    Isolation of phages from environments .....	17
2.5.1    Isolation of natural lytic phages from the environments .....	17
2.5.2    Impact of the protocol used for the phage isolation.....	18
2.5.3    Effect of temperature of phage isolation.....	19
2.6    Characterisation of phage isolates .....	23
2.6.1    Different parameters to distinguish new phage isolates .....	23
2.7    Phage application strategies.....	28
2.7.1    Different approaches of phage application .....	28
2.7.2    Kinetic modelling of phage application.....	29

2.7.3	Commercial phage products for the food industry.....	30
2.7.4	<i>Listeria</i> phage-based biocontrol in food systems.....	31
2.7.5	Phage treatment of abiotic surfaces.....	34
2.7.6	Effectiveness of phage in biofilms.....	35
2.7.7	Stability of phages.....	36
2.7.8	Development of phage resistant mutants.....	37
2.8	Conclusion.....	38
	References (Chapter 1 and 2).....	39
	Chapter 3: Host range and in vitro lysis of <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> seafood isolates by bacteriophages.....	59
	Abstract.....	60
3.1	Introduction.....	61
3.2	Materials and methods.....	63
3.2.1	<i>L. monocytogenes</i> strains and listeriaphages.....	63
3.2.2	Preparation of phage stocks.....	63
3.2.3	Host range of phages.....	64
3.2.4	<i>In vitro</i> lysis of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> by phage FWLLm3.....	65
3.2.5	Inactivation of low counts of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> by FWLLm3 over 24 h.....	65
3.2.6	Determination of adsorption rate constant (k value) of phage FWLLm3.....	65
3.2.7	Estimation of MOI <sub>input</sub> and minimum phage FWLLm3 titre.....	66
3.2.8	Data analysis.....	67
3.3	Results and discussion.....	67
3.3.1	Host range of three phages.....	67
3.3.2	<i>In vitro</i> lysis of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> cells by phage FWLLm3.....	72
3.3.3	The k value of phage FWLLm3.....	75
3.3.4	Estimation of MOI <sub>input</sub> and minimum dose of phage FWLLm3.....	77
3.3.5	Conclusion.....	78
	Authors' contributions to the manuscript.....	78
	References.....	79
	Chapter 4: Characteristics of three listeriaphages isolated from New Zealand seafood environment.....	85
	Abstract.....	86
4.1	Introduction.....	87
4.2	Materials and methods.....	87
4.2.1	<i>Listeria</i> strains and reference bacteriophage.....	88
4.2.2	Isolation and purification of phages from environmental samples.....	88
4.2.3	Plaque morphology at different gel concentrations.....	90

4.2.4	Preparation of purified high titre phage stocks.....	90
4.2.5	Efficiency of plating (EOP) of phages in citrate agar.....	90
4.2.6	Heat tolerance of phages at 50 and 60°C.....	91
4.2.7	Electron microscopy of phages.....	91
4.2.8	Restriction enzyme digestion of phage DNA .....	91
4.2.9	Host range of phages .....	91
4.2.10	Adsorption rate constant (k) of phages.....	92
4.2.11	Plaque formation temperature range of phages .....	92
4.2.12	Single-step replication (growth) of phages.....	93
4.2.13	Statistical analysis.....	93
4.3	Results .....	93
4.3.1	Isolation of listeriaphages based on plaque characteristics .....	93
4.3.2	EOP of phages in citrate agar .....	95
4.3.3	Heat treatment of phages at 50 and 60°C .....	95
4.3.4	Electron microscope images of phages.....	96
4.3.5	Phage DNA restriction analysis.....	98
4.3.6	Host ranges of the phages .....	100
4.3.7	The k values of phages .....	102
4.3.8	Plaque formation temperature range of phages .....	103
4.3.9	Single-step replication of phages.....	103
4.4	Discussion.....	104
4.5	Conclusion.....	107
	Authors' contributions to the manuscript .....	108
	References .....	108
	Supporting Information .....	114
	Chapter 5: Preliminary investigation of bacteriophage-lysis of physiologically stressed <i>L. monocytogenes</i> in seafood processing environments .....	119
	Abstract .....	120
5.1	Introduction .....	121
5.2	Materials and methods.....	122
5.2.1	<i>L. monocytogenes</i> strains and phages .....	122
5.2.2	Phage-based lysis of low count of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> .....	123
5.2.3	Preparation of heat-injured <i>L. monocytogenes</i> .....	123
5.2.4	Phage-lysis of heat-injured <i>L. monocytogenes</i> .....	124
5.2.5	Preparation and phage-lysis of starved <i>L. monocytogenes</i> .....	124
5.2.6	Preparation and phage-based lysis of salt-stressed <i>L. monocytogenes</i> .....	125

5.2.7	Fluorescence microscopy of stressed <i>L. monocytogenes</i> cells stained with Acridine Orange.....	125
5.2.8	Phage- insensitive cells of high count <i>L. monocytogenes</i> culture.....	125
5.2.9	Determination of N-acetylglucosamine (GLcNAc) in cell wall of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> .....	126
5.2.10	Statistical analysis.....	126
5.3	Results and discussion.....	127
5.3.1	Phage-lysis of low count cells of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> in fish broth.....	127
5.3.2	Phage-mediated lysis of heat-injured <i>L. monocytogenes</i> .....	129
5.3.3	Phage-based lysis of starved <i>L. monocytogenes</i> cells.....	132
5.3.4	Phage-based lysis of salt-stressed <i>L. monocytogenes</i> .....	133
5.3.5	Phage-insensitive cells of exponential phase <i>L. monocytogenes</i> 19CO9 culture.....	135
5.3.6	Emergence of phage-resistant <i>L. monocytogenes</i> 19CO9 cells over 48 h.....	136
5.3.7	Presence of GLcNAc in the cell wall of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> .....	137
5.3.8	Conclusion.....	139
	Authors' contributions to the manuscript.....	140
	References.....	140
	Chapter 6: Effectiveness of phages in the decontamination of <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> adhered to clean stainless steel, stainless steel coated with fish protein, and as a biofilm.....	145
	Abstract.....	146
6.1	Introduction.....	147
6.2	Materials and Methods.....	148
6.2.1	<i>L. monocytogenes</i> strains and <i>Listeria</i> phages.....	148
6.2.2	Test surfaces.....	148
6.2.3	Attachment of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> on surfaces.....	149
6.2.4	Phage-lysis of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> cells adhered on surfaces.....	150
6.2.5	Enumeration of cells adhered to surfaces.....	150
6.2.6	Preparation of seven-day old <i>L. monocytogenes</i> biofilm on stainless steel coupon.....	150
6.2.7	Infection of seven-day old biofilm with <i>Listeria</i> phages.....	151
6.2.8	Preparation of dislodged seven-day old biofilm cells.....	151
6.2.9	Phage-lysis of dislodged seven-day old biofilm cells.....	152
6.2.10	Fluorescence microscopy of cells on surfaces.....	152
6.2.11	Data analysis.....	152
6.3	Results.....	153
6.3.1	Adhesion of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> strains to FBSSC and SSC.....	153

6.3.2	Phage-lysis of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> adhered to surfaces.....	155
6.3.3	Phage-lysis of seven-day old biofilms on stainless steel.....	156
6.3.4	Phage-lysis of dislodged seven-day old biofilm cells.....	156
6.4	Discussion.....	158
6.5	Conclusion.....	161
Authors' contributions to the manuscript .....		162
References .....		162
Electronic supplementary material (ESM) .....		169
Chapter 7: Bacteriophages for the control of low levels of <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> in a simulated fish processing environment .....		170
Abstract .....		171
7.1	Introduction .....	172
7.2	Materials and methods.....	175
7.2.1	<i>L. monocytogenes</i> strains and <i>Listeria</i> phages.....	175
7.2.2	Preparation of stainless steel coupon (SSC) .....	175
7.2.3	Fish broth (FB) .....	176
7.2.4	Phage-based lysis of low count cells on SSC .....	176
7.2.5	Stability of <i>Listeria</i> phages under different ambient conditions.....	177
7.2.6	Statistical analysis.....	179
7.3	Results .....	179
7.3.1	Phage-lysis of low counts of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> adhered on SSC .....	179
7.3.2	Stability of <i>Listeria</i> phages under different conditions .....	181
7.4	Discussion.....	187
7.5	Conclusion.....	189
Authors' contributions to the manuscript .....		190
References .....		190
Highlights .....		195
Chapter 8: Summary and future directions of study.....		196
8.1	Summary.....	196
8.2	Future directions of study .....	198
Appendix 1 .....		200

## List of Manuscripts

The chapters 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 were presented as manuscripts. Each manuscript has been formatted according to the specifications of each journal.

**Table1. List of manuscripts**

Chapter number	Title of manuscript (Journal)
3	Host range and <i>in vitro</i> lysis of <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> seafood isolates by bacteriophages (Submitted to Food Science and Technology International)
4	Characteristics of three listeriaphages isolated from New Zealand seafood environment (Submitted to Journal of Applied Microbiology)
5	Preliminary investigation of bacteriophages mediated lysis of physiologically stressed <i>L. monocytogenes</i> (To be submitted to Food Science and Technology International)
6	Effectiveness of phages in the decontamination of <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> adhered to clean stainless steel, stainless steel coated with fish protein, and as a biofilm (Submitted to Journal of Industrial Microbiology & Biotechnology)
7	Bacteriophages for the control of low levels of <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> in a simulated fish processing environment (To be submitted to International Journal of Food Microbiology)

The following three manuscripts published with some amendments are included in the Appendix 1.

1. Host range and *in vitro* lysis of *Listeria monocytogenes* seafood isolates by bacteriophages (Submitted to Food Science and Technology International)
2. Characteristics of three listeriaphages isolated from New Zealand seafood environment (Submitted to Journal of Applied Microbiology)
3. Effectiveness of phages in the decontamination of *Listeria monocytogenes* adhered to clean stainless steel, stainless steel coated with fish protein, and as a biofilm (Submitted to Journal of Industrial Microbiology & Biotechnology)

## List of Tables

Table 1 List of manuscripts .....	xi
Table 2.1 Characteristics of lytic <i>Listeria</i> phages belonging to the order <i>Caudovirales</i> and families <i>Myoviridae</i> and <i>Siphoviridae</i> .....	21
Table 3.1 Host ranges of phages FWLLm1, FWLLm3 and FWLLm5 across 36 <i>L. monocytogenes</i> strains isolated from fish, mussel and environmental swabs.....	70
Table 3.2 Host ranges of listeriaphages FWLLm1, FWLLm3 and FWLLm5 using 14 <i>L. monocytogenes</i> strains isolated from one salmon processing plant.....	71
Table 3.3 Relative efficiency of plating (%EOP) of listeriaphages FWLLm1, FWLLm3 and FWLLm5 on exponential phase <i>L. monocytogenes</i> strains.....	72
Table 3.4 Estimates of input multiplicity of infection ( $MOI_{input}$ ) and minimum practical (input) listeriaphage FWLLm3 titres (doses).....	77
Table 4.1 Dimensions of listeriaphage LiMN4L, LiMN4p and LiMN17 determined on TEM images .....	98
Table 4.2 Percentage efficiency of plating (% EOP) of listeriaphages LiMN4L, LiMN4p and LiMN17 .....	101
Table 4.3 Adsorption rate constants (k) for phages LiMN4L, LiMN4p and LiMN17 with different <i>L. monocytogenes</i> strains .....	103
Table 4.4 Single-step replication kinetics of listeriaphages LiMN4L, LiMN4p and LiMN17 grown with <i>L. monocytogenes</i> 19CO9.....	104
Table 4.S1 Listeriaphage (LiMN4L, LiMN4p and LiMN17) plaques on lawn of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> 19CO9 on trypticase soy yeast extract double layer agar .....	114
Table 4.S2 Percentage survival of listeriaphages LiMN4L, LiMN4p and LiMN17 in lysates.....	114

Table 4.S3 Percentage efficiency of plating (%EOP) and formation of lytic zones (LZ) by listeriophages LiMN4L, LiMN4p and LiMN17 on *L. monocytogenes* 19CO9 ..... 115

Table 5.1 *L. monocytogenes* strains assayed with fluorescently labeled wheat germ agglutinin ..... 139

Table 6.1 Initial counts (mean  $\pm$  standard error) of *L. monocytogenes* strains in 1/10 (v/v) trypticase soy broth (cell adhesion bath), on the surfaces ..... 154

Table 6.2 Cells adhered on fish broth based conditioned coupons (FBSSC) and stainless steel coupons (SSC) with respect to the mean cell counts on the control coupons after infection with phages LiMN4L, LiMN4p, LiMN17 and three-phage cocktail ..... 155



## List of Figures

Figure 3.1 Host ranges of three listeriophages were determined by the formation of either lytic zones or discreet plaques on host lawns .....	68
Figure 3.2 Viable cell counts of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> after infection with a series of listeriophage FWLLm3 titres .....	74
Figure 3.3 Viable cell counts of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> after infection with $\sim 8.7 \log_{10}$ PFU/ml of listeriophage FWLLm3 in trypticase soy broth .....	75
Figure 3.4 The adsorption kinetics of phage FWLLm3 on <i>L. monocytogenes</i> 18GO1, 19EO1, 19EO3 and 22BO7.....	76
Figure 4.1 Listeriophage plaques on trypticase soy yeast extract double layer agar (0.1% agarose overlay and 1% agar base) .....	94
Figure 4.2 Efficiency of plating of listeriophages LiMN4L, LiMN4p and LiMN17 .....	96
Figure 4.3 Transmission Electron Microscope images of listeriophages.....	97
Figure 4.4 Listeriophage DNA profiles on 0.7% agarose gel. Enzyme-digested (ClaI) profiles of phage DNA with $\lambda$ HindIII marker .....	99
Figure 4.5 Enzyme-digested (SacI) profiles of phage DNA with $\lambda$ HindIII marker.....	99
Figure 4.S1 Single-step growth curves of phages LiMN4L . .....	116
Figure 4.S2 Single-step growth curves of phages LiMN4p at 15, 25 and 35°C.....	117
Figure 4.S3 Single-step growth curves of phages LiMN17 .....	118
Figure 5.1 Viable counts of phage infected and control cultures of late exponential phase three <i>L. monocytogenes</i> strains. ....	128
Figure 5.2 Viable counts of control and phage-infected cultures of heat-treated <i>L. monocytogenes</i> strains.....	131
Figure 5.3 Coccoid-form cells of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> strains starved in MilliQ water. ....	133
Figure 5.4 Viable counts of control and phage-infected cultures of starved <i>L. monocytogenes</i> strains .....	133

Figure 5.5 Stressed cells of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> strains in 9% (w/v) NaCl .	134
Figure 5.6 Viable counts of control and phage-infected cultures of salt-stressed <i>L. monocytogenes</i> strains.	135
Figure 5.7 Viable counts of exponential phase <i>L. monocytogenes</i> 19CO9 during re-infection with phages LiMN4L, LiMN4p and LiMN17	136
Figure 5.8 Viable counts of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> 19CO9 after exposure to phages LiMN4L, LiMN4p and LiMN17	137
Figure 5.9 <i>L. monocytogenes</i> 19CO9 cells stained with fluorescently labelled wheat germ agglutinin (Alexa Fluor 488 WGA).	138
Figure 6.1 Fluorescent microscopy images of a cell-mix of three <i>L. monocytogenes</i> strains (19CO9, 19DO3 and 19EO3) cocktail adhered to stainless steel	154
Figure 6.2 Counts of a three strain mix of a seven-day old <i>L. monocytogenes</i> (19CO9, 19DO3 and 19EO3) biofilm on stainless steel coupon after three phage applications.	157
Figure 6.3 Counts of dislodged seven-day old biofilm cell cultures of individual <i>L. monocytogenes</i> strains (19CO9, 19DO3, 19EO3) after infection with individual phages.	158
ESM Figure 6.1. Degradation of seven-day biofilm of mixed cells of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> strains	169
Figure 7.1 Viable counts of a mixture of three <i>L. monocytogenes</i> strains (19CO9, 19DO3 and 19EO3) adhered with 10% fish proteins broth on stainless steel	180
Figure 7.2 Viable counts of a mixture of three <i>L. monocytogenes</i> strains adhered with 10% fish protein broth on stainless steel.	181
Figure 7.3 Titre of <i>Listeria</i> phage LiMN4L in QAC exposed at 15±1 °C	182
Figure 7.4 Titre of listeriophage LiMN4p in QAC exposed at 15 °C.	182
Figure 7.5 Titre of listeriophage LiMN17 in QAC exposed at 15 °C.	183
Figure 7.6 Titre of three <i>Listeria</i> phages in fish broth (FB) and phosphate buffered saline (PBS) at 15±1 °C for 24 h.	184
Figure 7.7 Titre of three <i>Listeria</i> phages in trypticase soy broth at pH 3-12.	185

Figure 7.8 Surviving titres of three *Listeria* phages in low (487±30 lux) and high (1710±130 lux) intensity light..... 186

Figure 7.9 Surviving titres of three *Listeria* phages in the control (suspension) and attached on the stainless steel coupon..... 186

Figure 7.10 Surviving titres of three *Listeria* phages in phosphate buffered saline ..... 187