

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

A STUDY OF THE INFLUENCE OF SPACING WITHIN ROWS  
AND SEED TUBER SIZE UPON THE YIELD OF  
THE POTATO CROP

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment  
of the requirements for the Degree of  
Master of Agriculture Science

at

Massey University

HUSIN ANANG

November, 1966

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>		<u>Page</u>
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	
I	<u>INTRODUCTION</u> .....	1
II	<u>REVIEW OF LITERATURE</u>	
	2.1. THE EFFECT OF SPACING AND SEED SIZE ON YIELD OF POTATO CROP .....	3
	2.1.1. Spacing .....	3
	2.1.1.1. The effect of spacing on Total Yield..	3
	2.1.1.2. The effect of spacing on Tuber Size and Number.....;	6
	2.1.2. Seed Size.....	7
	2.1.2.1. The effect of Seed Size on Total Yield	7
	2.1.2.2. The effect of Seed Size on Tuber Size and Number.....	8
	2.1.3. Seed Rate .....	10
	2.2. GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT.....	11
	2.2.1. The Leaf Area.....	11
	2.2.1.1. Leaf Area Measurement.....	11
	2.2.1.2. Leaf Area and Leaf Area Index.....	12
	2.2.2. Net Assimilation Rate (N.A.R.).....	15
	2.2.3. Relation of Leaf Area and Net Assimilation Rate.....	17
	2.2.4. Development of Tuber.....	18
III	<u>METHODS AND MATERIALS</u>	
	3.1. GENERAL .....	22
	3.2. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN	--

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
III	
3.2.1.	Experiment-I, Terminal Yield.....23
3.2.2.	Experiment-II, Successional Lifting..23
3.3.	<u>TREATMENTS</u> .....24
3.4.	<u>SAMPLING METHODS</u> ..... 26
3.4.1.	Experiment-II, Successional Lifting..26
3.4.1.1.	Method of Lifting .....26
3.4.1.2.	Leaf Area Measurement..... 28
3.4.1.3.	Dryweight Measurement..... 28
3.4.2.	Experiment-II, Terminal Yield..... 29
3.4.2.1.	Method of Lifting ..... 29
3.4.2.2.	Grading System..... 29
3.4.2.3.	Dryweight Measurement..... 30
3.5.	<u>METHODS OF STATISTICAL ANALYSIS</u> ..... 31
3.5.1.	Experiment-I, Terminal Yield ..... 31
3.5.1.1.	Form of Analysis of Variance.....31
3.5.1.2.	Interpretation of Interaction..... 32
3.5.1.3.	Presentation of Results ..... 32
3.5.1.4.	Coefficient of Variation..... 32
3.5.2.	Experiment-II, Successional Lifting..33
3.5.2.1.	Form of Analysis of Variance..... 33
3.5.2.2.	Transformation ..... 35
3.5.2.3.	Decoding S.E. of Transformed Data....35
3.5.2.4.	Presentation of Results..... 35
IV	
	<u>RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS</u>
4.1.	FIELD OBSERVATION ..... 36

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
IV 4.2. EXPERIMENT-I, TERMINAL YIELD.....	37
4.2.1. Total Yield .....	37
4.2.2. Table Potato Yield.....	39
4.2.3. Large Potato Yield.....	42
4.2.4. Seed Potato Yield .....	43
4.2.5. Small Potato Yield.....	45
4.2.6. Percentage Yield Distribution.....	46
4.2.7. Dryweight of Table Potato and Dry Matter Percentage .....	47
4.2.8. Discussion .....	49
4.3. EXPERIMENT-II, SUCCESSIONAL LIFTING .....	52
4.3.1. Leaf Area.....	52
4.3.1.1. Leaf Area per Plant .....	52
4.3.1.2. Leaf Area Index .....	55
4.3.2. Dryweight of Tuber.....	56
4.3.2.1. Tuber Dryweight per Plant.....	56
4.3.2.2. Tuber Dryweight per Unit Area.....	58
4.3.3. Dryweight of the "Remainder" per Plant.....	59
4.3.4. Dry Matter Partition .....	61
4.3.5. Net Assimilation Rate .....	63
4.3.6. Tuber Fresh Weight per Plant.....	64
4.3.6.1. Tuber Fresh Weight per Plant .....	64
4.3.6.2. Tuber Fresh Weight per Unit Area....	65
4.3.7. Tuber Number .....	65
4.3.8. Stem Number .....	67
4.3.9. Discussion .....	69

<u>Section</u>		<u>Page</u>
IV	4.3.9.1. Leaf Area and N.A.R. ....	69
	4.3.9.2. Tuber Dryweight and Fresh Weight Yield .....	72
V	<u>GENERAL DISCUSSION</u>	
	5.1. L.A. AND YIELD .....	75
	5.2. SEED RATE .....	80
	<u>SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION</u> .....	84
	REFERENCES	
	APPENDICES	

L I S T   O F   T A B L E S

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Seed rate (cwt/acre) for the various spacing and seed size.	25
2	Sequence of harvestings	27
3	Form of Analysis of Variance of Split-split-plot	31a
4	Form of Analysis of Variance of Split-split-split plot.	34a
5	The effect of spacing, variety, and seed size on mean Total Yield (tons/acre).	38
6	Analysis of Variance of Total Yield (tons/acre).	38a
7	The effect of spacing-seed size interaction on Total Yield (tons/acre).	38a
8	The effect of variety-seed size interaction on Total Yield (tons/acre)	39
9	The effect of spacing, variety, and seed size on the mean Table Potato Yield (tons/acre)	40
10	Analysis of Variance of Table Potato Yield (tons/acre)	40a
11	The effect of spacing-variety interaction on Table Potato Yield (tons/acre)	41
12	The effect of variety-seed size interaction on Table Potato Yield (tons/acre)	41
13	Analysis of variance of Large Potato Yield (tons/acre), transformed into loge	42a

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
14	The mean Yield of Large Potato (tons/acre)	42a
15	The mean Yield of Large Potatoes in all treatments (tons/acre)	42b
16	The effect of spacing, variety, and seed size on the Seed Potato Yield (tons/acre)	43a
17	Analysis of Variance of Seed Potato Yield (tons/acre)	43a
18	The effect of seed size-spacing interaction on the Seed Potato Yield (tons/acre)	44
19	The effect of seed-size - variety interaction on the Seed Potato Yield (tons/acre)	44
20	The effect of spacing, variety, and seed size interaction on the Seed Potato Yield (tons/acre)	45
21	The effect of spacing, variety, and seed size on the Small Potato Yield (tons/acre)	46
22	Analysis of Variance of Small Potato Yield (tons/acre)	46a
23	The effect of spacing and seed size on the Percentage Yield Distribution in Ilam Hardy and Rua variety (%)	46b
24	The effect of spacing, variety, and seed size on the D.W. Yield of Table Potatoes (tons/acre)	47a
25	The Analysis of Variance of D.W. Yield of Table Potatoes (tons/acre).	47a
26	The effect of spacing-variety interaction on the D.W. Yield of Table Potatoes (tons/acre)	48
27	The effect of variety-seed size interaction on the D.W. Yield of Table Potatoes (tons/acre)	48

<u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>
28 The effect of spacing on L.A. per plant, $dm^2$ , (untransformed data)	53
29 The Analysis of Variance of L.A. per plant, $dm^2$ , (transformed into loge)	53a
30 The effect of harvesting date on L.A. per plant, $dm^2$ (untransformed data)	53
31 Tuber D.W. (g) at different harvesting dates	57
32 The Analysis of Variance of Tuber D.W. per Plant, (g), transformed into loge.	57c
33 The effect of harvesting-date on the D.W. of "Remainder" per plant (g), transformed into loge.	60
34 Analysis of Variance of D.W. of "Remainder" (g) per plant, transformed into loge.	60a
35 The effect of harvesting-variety interaction on D.W. of "Remainder" (g), transformed into loge.	61
36 The effect of spacing, variety, and seed size on N.A.R. ( $g/dm^2/2$ weeks).	63a
37 The effect of spacing, variety, and seed size on the mean Tuber Number per Plant.	66
38 The Analysis of Variance of Tuber Number per 2 plants.	66b
39 The effect of seed size - variety interaction on Number of Tuber per Plant.	67
40 The effect of spacing, variety, and seed size on the Number of Stem per plant.	68
41 Analysis of Variance of Stem Number per 2 plants	68b
42 The effect of variety-seed size interaction on the Number of Stem per plant.	69

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
43	Mean L.A. per plant, L.A.I., Stem Number per plant, and Stem Number per sq.ft. at different spacing treatments.	71
44	Mean Tuber Number per plant and per sq.ft, "Bulking Rate" (B.R.) $g \times 10^2/2$ weeks per plant and per sq.ft. at different spacing treatments.	73
45	Mean L.A.I. at different treatments.	81
46	Mean estimate of L.A.D. (Rua 2 oz seed at 6" spacing = 100)	81a
47	The effect of treatments on mean Bulking Rate per 2 weeks (between week 4-16 inclusive)	81b
48	Seed rate and the corresponding Yield at different treatment combinations	82

## LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	A diagram of the layout of the whole experimental area : Experiment-I and Experiment-II.	22a
2	A diagram of random combination of spacing, varieties, and seed sizes treatments - in one replicate of Experiment-I.	23a
3	A diagram of combination of spacing, varieties, and seed sizes treatments in one replicate of Experiment-II.	23b
4	The effect of spacing and seed size on Total Yield (tons/acre)	38b
5	The effect of spacing, variety, and seed size on Total Yield (tons/acre)	39a
6	A three dimensional graph showing the effect of spacing, variety, and seed size on Table Potato Yield (tons/acre)	41a
7	The effect of harvesting date - spacing interaction on L.A. per plant ( $dm^2$ ), transformed into loge.	54a
8	The effect of harvesting date - variety interaction on L.A. per plant ( $dm^2$ ), transformed into loge	54b
9	The effect of harvesting date - seed size interaction on L.A. per plant ( $dm^2$ ), transformed into loge.	54c
10	The effect of spacing and seed size on L.A.I. in Ilam Hardy and Rua variety.	55 a-b.
11	The effect of harvesting date - variety - spacing interaction on Tuber D.#. (g), transformed into	57a

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
12	The effect of harvesting date - variety - seed size interaction on Tuber D.W. (g), transformed into loge.	57b
13	The effect of all treatment combination on Tuber D.W. (g/sq.ft.)	58a
14	The effect of harvesting date - spacing interaction on D.W. of "Remainder" per plant (g), transformed into loge.	60b
15	Total D.W. and Dry Matter Partition in all treatment combinations	61 a-b
16	Total D.W. and Dry Matter Partition at 2 oz and 4 oz seed size in Ilam Hardy and Rua.	62a
17	The effect of spacing, variety, and seed size on Tuber Fresh Weight (g/sq.ft. x 10 <sup>2</sup> ).	64 a-b
18	The effect of spacing, variety, and seed size on Tuber Fresh Weight per unit area (g/sq.ft. x 10 <sup>2</sup> ).	65 a-b
19	The overall effect of harvesting intervals and harvesting - variety interaction on mean Number of Tubers per plant.	66a
20	The overall effect of harvesting intervals and harvesting - variety interaction on mean Number of stems per plant.	68a
21	Relationship between leaf area per plant (dm <sup>2</sup> ), and dryweight yield (g).	75a
22	Relationship between L.A.I. and tuber fresh weight (g/sq.ft. x 100).	75b
24	Gross margin at different seed rate.	82a

L I S T   O F   P L A T E S

<u>Plate</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Measurement of leaf area: A. "Discs" sample. B. Leaves sample	28a 28a
2	Tubers yield in each sub-sub-plot	29a
3	Tubers yield ready for grading	29a
4	Classified tubers from each sub-plot yield	30a
5	"Potato hydrometer" for measuring dryweight percentage	30a
6	Crops growth at four weeks after planting: - Uniform growth shown in Ilam Hardy - Uneven growth shown in Rua	36a 36a
7	Crops growth at 10 weeks after planting	36a
8	Laboratory examination of infected leaves ( <u>Phytophthora infestans</u> ) when first detected in the crops	36b
9	Spraying Diathane for controlling late blight disease using "Air blast" machine.	36b

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Grateful acknowledgement is made to Professor R.H. Schwass for his supervision and encouragement in this project.

The author wishes to thank in particular Mr. G.S. Robinson, Senior Lecturer in Field Husbandry, for his continued interest, assistance and guidance in the preparation of this thesis.

Special thanks are due to:

Mr. A.C. Glenday of Applied Maths Division, D.S.I.R., for his assistance with many of the statistical problems associated with this work;

Miss M.G. Campbell, Librarian, and the staff for their tolerance and patient help in obtaining necessary references.

Thanks are also extended to:

Massey University Dairy Department for the provision of ground at Dairy Unit No. 15;

Staff of Massey Agronomy Department for the assistance in the field work;

Miss D. Scott and staff for the care they have taken with the photography;

Miss S. Cook, for her diligent typing of this thesis; and

Those friends and University Staff members who have, in their own way, helped to make things easier during the course of this work.

The study was undertaken during the award of a Colombo Plan Scholarship by the New Zealand Government, to whom the author expresses his sincere appreciation.

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

## I. INTRODUCTION

The potato is one of the main food crops grown in New Zealand, and has been established since the time of European settlement. In the early years production was concerned mainly with meeting the demands of the growing population. This resulted in a rapid and steady increase in the total acreage grown. However, in the last decade, increase has been gained largely through intensification of crop areas rather than their extensification. Consequently, a diminishing increase in acreage grown has resulted. The above pattern is clearly illustrated in the following figures (extracted from Farm Production Statistics of New Zealand 1963 - 1964):

<u>Year</u>	<u>Acreage</u>	<u>Total Yield</u> (ton)	<u>Yield</u> (ton/acr.)
1955-56	17.665	100.677	5.70
1960-61	22.334	190.438	8.53
1964-65	25.969	243.000*	9.36

Marked increases in productivity have been brought about by improvements in general crop husbandry and an increase in production efficiency. Notable progress has been made as a result of:

- (1) The introduction of new varieties with high yielding capacity and resistance to certain disease;
- (2) The establishment of the National Seed Certification Scheme;
- (3) Better knowledge in the use of fertilizers;
- (4) Specialisation of suitable areas in potato production;
- (5) The use of modern technology and methods in general crop management.

---

\* estimated figure at the end of 1964.

In spite of these approaches there remains much to be studied. Mundy (1966) emphasised that because of rising costs in potato production, crops of at least 10 tons per acre will have to be grown if the venture is to be profitable. Undoubtedly there is a need for more intensive research on improving methods of growing potatoes.

One aspect which has a major influence on plant population is seed spacing and seed size. The project reported herein was designed to study the effect of different levels of spacing and seed size, together with their interactions, on terminal yield of potato crops.

In view of the need to study other important factors influencing yield, the investigation was extended to include the effects of seed spacing and size on growth and development of the crops.