

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Massey University Library  
Thesis Copyright Form

Title of thesis:

- (1) (a) I give permission for my thesis to be made available to readers in Massey University Library under conditions determined by the Librarian.
- ~~(b) I do not wish my thesis to be made available to readers without my written consent for ... months.~~
- (2) (a) I agree that my thesis, or a copy, may be sent to another institution under conditions determined by the Librarian.
- ~~(b) I do not wish my thesis, or a copy, to be sent to another institution without my written consent for ... months.~~
- (3) (a) I agree that my thesis may be copied for Library use.
- ~~(b) I do not wish my thesis to be copied for Library use for ... months.~~

Signed *P. Woolhead pp. F. Ejendri*

Date *7/7/93*

The copyright of this thesis belongs to the author. Readers must sign their name in the space below to show that they recognise this. They are asked to add their permanent address.

NAME AND ADDRESS

DATE

**A STUDY OF VEGETATIVE AND REPRODUCTIVE DEVELOPMENT  
IN CAUCASIAN CLOVER**

*(Trifolium ambiguum, M.Bieb.)* CV. MONARO

**BY :**

***Faham Efendi***

**SEED TECHNOLOGY CENTRE  
MASSEY UNIVERSITY  
NEW ZEALAND**

**1993**

**A STUDY OF VEGETATIVE AND REPRODUCTIVE DEVELOPMENT  
IN CAUCASIAN CLOVER**

**(*Trifolium ambiguum*, M.Bieb.) CV. MONARO**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment  
of the requirements for the

**Degree of Master of Agricultural Science**

in Seed Technology, at Massey University,  
Palmerston North,  
New Zealand

**FAHAM EFENDI**

**1 9 9 3**

## A B S T R A C T

### A STUDY OF VEGETATIVE AND REPRODUCTIVE DEVELOPMENT IN CAUCASIAN CLOVER (*Trifolium ambiguum*, *M.Bieb.*) CV. MONARO

(FAHAM EFENDI, 1993)

**SUPERVISORS :** 1. Dr. Peter Coolbear  
2. Prof. Murray J. Hill

A four year established stand of Caucasian clover (*Trifolium ambiguum*, *M.Bieb.*) cv. Monaro grown on Fine Sandy Loam with moderate fertility was studied to assess the vegetative and reproductive development and the effect of defoliation on seed yield and yield components from September 1991 to June 1992.

As in many other traditional herbage legumes, an indeterminate growth habit and a protracted flowering period can be a factor limiting seed production in this clover. From the examination of the vegetative growth and flowering behaviour, it was found that the protracted flowering pattern in this clover is mainly caused by continuous production of reproductive shoots from the crowns rather than continuing inflorescence emergence in one stem as results indicated that inflorescences produced were mainly associated with the number of reproductive shoots available at the time of inflorescence emergence.

Reproductive growth commenced at the beginning of October when most visible inflorescence buds were formed and subsequent flowering occurred about 8 weeks later. This reproductive growth was found to be the most concentrated on reproductive shoots/stems formed in November to December 1991. These reproductive shoots contributed the majority (70%) of proportion to total inflorescences.

Inter-row cultivation decreased the number of reproductive shoots produced as well as decreasing the number of inflorescences at harvest. Inflorescences originating from main crowns produced a higher number of floret buds and seeds per inflorescence than those originating from secondary crowns as the main crowns have their own strong taproot system and are more mature. Throughout the flowering season, *T. ambiguum* consistently abort about 10 % flower buds before the flowers open. On average of 60% of the open florets developed into live pods at maturity, but only one from two ovules in an ovary usually developed into seeds. On average of about 72% of pods had one seed, 11% of pods with two seeds and 16% were without seed.

Both in early and middle flowers, seed development studies revealed that maximum yield of high quality seed was obtained only when seeds attained their maximum dry weight at 30 days after pollination, at the time when seed moisture content had fallen to 30 to 40%. At this time the pods were yellowish brown in colour. To ensure the production of good quality seed with high yield in *T. ambiguum* it is necessary, therefore to wait crop until at least 34 days after pollination for harvesting the seeds. Seeds harvested early at day 14 to 22 did not retain their viability suggesting that these seeds was still immature and could not withstand desiccation. It was found that pod shattering begun at about 8 days after maximum dry weight (mass maturity) was reached. Heavy rainfall and strong dry wind was found to enhance the rate of shattering. Hardseededness was observed firstly in freshly harvested seeds when seed moisture contents were close to 20%. The levels of hardseededness was higher after drying. Inflorescences set later in the season produced more hard seeds due to higher temperatures and increased humidity during maturation stage.

The results of this experiment have confirmed the high seeding potential of *T. ambiguum* (cv. Monaro) and this should facilitate the production of adequate seed supplies of this cultivars. The average seed yield potential, potential harvestable seed yield and actual seed yield was 893 kg/ha, 707 kg/ha and 427 kg/ha respectively. This might be attributed to the facts that the crop examined in this study was a four year established sward which was mature enough to produced a high yield.

Another study was done involving late defoliation treatments designed to investigate their possible effects on plant growth and seed production. Cutting the plant to the ground level showed an obvious harmful effects on seed yield in *T. ambiguum*. The results show that October and November cutting resulted a 67 and 72% decrease in actual seed yield compared to uncut control. This results support Steiner's view (**in press**) that the plant morphology of *T. ambiguum* limits its ability to recover from defoliation.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

*Bismillahirrahmaanirrahiim*

*In the nama of Alloh the most gracious and merciful*

I wish to express my sincere gratitude to Dr. Peter Coolbear, my chief supervisor and also Professor Murray J. Hill, my second supervisor, particularly for their valuable guidance in the planning and writing of this thesis, constructive criticism, helpfulness in many ways and most importantly, encouragement throughout my years of study at Seed Technology Centre.

My sincere thanks are also extended to :

Dr. John Hampton for his valuable advice and assistance during the conduct of the experiments and in writing up the manuscript.

Dr. Richard Maxwell, Dr. P. Rolston, Dr. Craig Anderson and Dr. M. Hare for allowing me to use AgReserach Grassland Unit, Aorangi property and for providing climate data, their kind encouragement, wise suggestion and constructive criticism of this work.

Mr. Robert Southward, Mr. Craig McGill, and Mr. Daniel Hampton for their technical help during the field trial.

All other staff of the Seed Technology Centre: Mrs. Dulcie Humphrey and Mrs. Colette Gwynne and Mrs. Karen Hill for provision of facilities and assistance in so many ways.



All postgraduate students in the Seed Technology Centre for their friendship and for making my stay in New Zealand more enjoyable and memorable

The New Zealand Ministry of External Relation and Trade for financing my study and living in New Zealand.

The ministry of Education and Culture of Indonesia for giving me an opportunity to study in New Zealand.

Finally I would like to express my gratitude to my parents, brothers and sisters for their love and encouragement. To them I dedicated this thesis.

New Zealand, May 1993

*Faham Efendi*

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT .....	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	iv
LIST OF TABLES .....	xi
LIST OF FIGURES .....	xii
LIST OF PLATES .....	xv
LIST OF APPENDICES .....	xvi
<b>I. GENERAL INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1. General description .....	1
1.2. Agronomic potential and agricultural value .....	1
1.3. General agronomic and management problems .....	3
1.4. Objectives of the study .....	4
<b>II. LITERATURE REVIEW</b> .....	<b>5</b>
2.1. Taxonomy .....	5
2.2. Genetical characteristics .....	6
2.3. Origin and distribution .....	7
2.4. Habitat and edaphic factors .....	8
2.5. Agricultural value and potential uses .....	9
2.5.1. Potential herbage production .....	9
2.5.2. Likely value for erosion control in New Zealand .....	10
2.6. Description of the plant .....	10
2.6.1. Below ground parts .....	11
2.6.2. Above ground parts .....	12
2.6.3. The root-shoot ratio .....	13
2.7. Reproductive structure .....	13
2.7.1. Flowers .....	13
2.7.2. Pods .....	14
2.7.3. Seeds .....	14

2.8. Growth and development of the plant . . . . .	15
2.8.1. Germination and seedling establishment . . . . .	15
2.8.2. Reproductive growth . . . . .	16
2.8.2.1. Flowering . . . . .	17
2.8.2.2. Pollination and fertilisation . . . . .	18
2.8.2.3. Seed development . . . . .	20
2.8.2.4. Seed yield and yield components . . . . .	21
2.9. Management for seed production . . . . .	25
2.9.1. Plant establishment and density . . . . .	25
2.9.1.1. <i>Inter-row cultivation</i> . . . . .	26
2.9.2. Defoliation and seed production . . . . .	27
2.9.4. Harvesting . . . . .	29
2.9.5. Other cultural practices . . . . .	31
2.10. Concluding remarks . . . . .	31
<b>III. PRELIMINARY STUDY OF VEGETATIVE AND REPRODUCTIVE MORPHOLOGY OF CAUCASIAN CLOVER</b>	<b>33</b>
3.1. INTRODUCTION . . . . .	33
3.2. MATERIALS AND METHODS . . . . .	35
3.2.1. Experiment site and area . . . . .	35
3.2.2. Field observations and measurements . . . . .	36
3.2.2.1. <i>Aerial components</i> . . . . .	36
3.2.2.2. <i>Underground components</i> . . . . .	41
3.2.2.3. <i>Reproductive growth determinations</i> . . . . .	43
3.2.3. Statistical analysis . . . . .	47
3.4. RESULTS . . . . .	48
3.4.1. Meteorological conditions . . . . .	48
3.3.2. Shoot system, morphology and plant growth . . . . .	48
3.3.3. Reproductive shoots and flowering patternh . . . . .	52
3.3.4. Study of floral abortion . . . . .	55
3.3.5. Seed yield and yield components . . . . .	64
3.4. DISCUSSION . . . . .	63
3.4.1. Shoot system and general plant growth . . . . .	66
3.4.2. Shoot-root ratio . . . . .	66
3.4.3. Reproductive shoots and flowering patterns . . . . .	67

3.4.4. Potential seed yield components of main and secondary crowns . . . . .	70
3.4.5. Likely causes of loss of potential seed yields . . . . .	71
3.4.5.1. <i>Bud abortion</i> . . . . .	71
3.4.5.2. <i>Post pollination abortion</i> . . . . .	72
3.4.7. Potential seed yield and actual seed yield . . . . .	74
<b>IV. SEED DEVELOPMENT STUDY IN CAUCASIAN CLOVER . . .</b>	<b>76</b>
4.1. INTRODUCTION . . . . .	77
4.2. MATERIAL AND METHODS . . . . .	77
4.2.1. Inflorescence tagging and sampling . . . . .	77
4.2.2. Data collection . . . . .	78
4.2.3. Data analysis . . . . .	79
4.3. RESULTS . . . . .	79
4.3.1. Growth and food reserve accumulation stage . . . . .	79
4.3.2. Viability and germination in fresh and dry seeds . . . . .	84
4.4. DISCUSSION . . . . .	88
4.4.1. Growth and food reserve accumulation stages . . . . .	89
4.4.2. Maturation stage . . . . .	94
4.4.3. The effects of drying on maturation . . . . .	94
4.4.4. The development of hardseededness and climatic conditions . . . . .	95
4.4.5. Seed shattering . . . . .	97
4.4.6. Harvest maturity . . . . .	97
4.4.7. Predicting optimum time for harvest . . . . .	98
<b>V. THE EFFECTS OF DEFOLIATION TIMES ON VEGETATIVE AND REPRODUCTIVE GROWTH OF CAUCASIAN CLOVER . .</b>	<b>102</b>
5.1. INTRODUCTION . . . . .	102
5.2. MATERIALS AND METHODS . . . . .	104
5.2.1. Experimental sites and area . . . . .	104
5.2.2. Experimental design . . . . .	104
5.2.3. Field observations and measurements . . . . .	106
5.2.3.1. <i>Aerial component determinations</i> . . . . .	106
5.2.3.2. <i>Dry weight of underground components</i> . . . . .	106
5.2.3.2. <i>Reproductive growth determination</i> . . . . .	107
5.2.3.3. <i>Statistical analysis</i> . . . . .	108

5.3.	RESULTS .....	109
5.3.1.	Effects of cutting on aerial components .....	109
5.3.2.	Leaf area indices (LAI) .....	112
5.3.3.	Effects of cutting on underground components .....	112
5.3.4.	The number of reproductive shoots and flowering ...	113
5.3.5.	Seed yield and seed yield component .....	115
5.4.	DISCUSSION .....	119
VI. GENERAL DISCUSSION, CONCLUSION AND SCOPE FOR FUTURE WORKS		
6.1.	GENERAL DISCUSSION .....	122
6.2.	CONCLUSION .....	127
6.3.	SCOPE FOR FUTURE WORKS .....	129
R E F E R E N C E S S .....		131

## LIST OF TABLES

T A B L E	PAGE
3.1. The number of reproductive shoots originating from main and secondary crown at peak flowering ( 1m row ) . . . . .	54
3.2. Floret buds per inflorescence, florets per inflorescence, floret bud survival, pods per inflorescence, floret survival and seeds per inflorescence of Caucasian clover (cv. Monaro) at different times and position of inflorescence production . . . . .	56
A. Floret buds per inflorescence . . . . .	56
B. Open florets per inflorescence . . . . .	56
C. Floret bud survival to open floret stage (%) . . . . .	56
D. Mature pods per inflorescence . . . . .	56
E. Open floret survival to mature pod stage (%) . . . . .	57
F. Seeds per inflorescence . . . . .	57
3.3. Percentage of empty pods, two seeded pods, one seeded pod, and thousand seed weight at harvest ripening at different times and position of inflorescence emergence in 1991-1992 . . . . .	60
A. Percentage of empty pods (%) . . . . .	60
B. Percentage of pods bearing two seeds (%) . . . . .	60
C. Percentage of pods bearing one seed (%) . . . . .	60
D. Thousand seed weight (g, 10%SMC) . . . . .	61
E. Seeds per pod . . . . .	61
3.4. Vegetative dry matters, reproductive composition, seed yield components, potential seed yield (PSY), potential harvestable seed yield (PHSY), actual seed yield (ASY) and harvest index (HI) of <i>T. ambiguum</i> (cv. Monaro) . . . . .	65
5.1. The effects of defoliation times on reproductive composition at harvest of <i>T. ambiguum</i> (cv. Monaro) . . . . .	65
5.2. The effects of defoliation times on seed yield components of <i>T. ambiguum</i> (cv. Monaro) . . . . .	65
5.3. The effects of defoliation times on vegetative dry matters, potential seed yield (PSY), potential harvestable seed yield (PHSY) and harvest index (g/1m row) . . . . .	66

## LIST OF FIGURES

T A B L E	PAGE
3.1. The diagrammatic representation of the morphology of Caucasian clover ( <i>Trifolium ambiguum</i> , M.Bieb) . . . . .	42
3.2. Dry matter accumulation in vegetative and reproductive components of Caucasian clover (cv. Monaro) during 1991-1992. Data presented are means of five replications. Vertical bars represent SE's calculated for individual means . . . . .	49
3.3. Shoot to root ratio of Caucasian clover (cv. Monaro) on each sample date during 1991-1992. Data presented are means of five replications . . . . .	50
3.4. Leaf area index (LAI) and the number of leaves of Caucasian clover (cv. Monaro) on each sample date. Data presented are means of five replications. Vertical bars represent SE's calculated for individual means . . . . .	50
3.5. Number of reproductive shoots of Caucasian clover (cv. Monaro) on each sample date during 1991-1992. Data presented are means of five replications. Vertical bars represent SE's calculated for individual means . . . . .	52
3.6. Flowering pattern of Caucasian clover (cv. Monaro) during 1991-1992. Data presented are means of five replications. Vertical bars represent SE's calculated for individual means . . . . .	52
4.1. Thousand seed fresh weight (A), seed moisture percentage (B) and thousand seed dry weight (C) of Caucasian clover (cv. Monaro) from pollination. Data presented are means of 4 replications. Vertical bars represent SE's calculated for individual means and shown when larger than the symbols used . . . . .	80
4.2. Pod shattering percentage of Caucasian clover (cv. Monaro) from pollination in freshly harvested seeds. Data presented are means of 4 replications. Vertical bars represent SE's calculated for individual means and shown when larger than the symbols used . . . . .	81
4.3a Total viability and germination percentage of Caucasian clover (cv. Monaro) from pollination in freshly harvested seeds. Data presented are means of 4 replications. Vertical bars represent SE's calculated for individual means and shown when larger than the symbols used . . . . .	85

4.3b	Hardseed percentage of Caucasian clover (cv. Monaro) from pollination in freshly harvested seeds. Data presented are means of 4 replications. Vertical bars represent SE's calculated for individual means and shown when larger than the symbols used . . . . .	85
4.4a	Total viability and germination percentage of Caucasian clover (cv. Monaro) from pollination in air dried seeds. Data presented are means of 4 replications. Vertical bars represent SE's calculated for individual means and shown when larger than the symbols used . . . .	86
4.4b	Hard seed percentage of Caucasian clover (cv. Monaro) from pollination in air dried seeds. Data presented are means of 4 replications. Vertical bars represent SE's calculated for individual means and shown when larger than the symbols used . . . . .	86
4.5.	Total viability, germinability and hardseed percentage of early flowers of Caucasian clover (cv. Monaro) from pollination after scarification of air dried seeds. Data presented are means of 4 replications. Vertical bars represent SE's calculated for individual means and shown when larger than the symbols used . . . . .	87
4.6.	Maximum daily temperatures from 14 to 46 days after pollination both in early and middle flowers . . . . .	91
4.7.	Daily rainfall from 14 to 46 days after pollination both in early and middle flowers . . . . .	91
4.8.	Daily wind run from 14 to 46 days after pollination both in early and middle flowers . . . . .	92
4.9.	Daily relative humidity from 14 to 46 days after pollination both in early and middle flowers . . . . .	92
4.10	Estimated optimum harvest times in 1991/1992 according to changes in seed moisture content, pod shattering percentage, and TSW . . . .	101
5.1.	Effects of cutting dates on dry matter accumulation in aerial components for each sample date of caucasian clover (cv. Monaro). Data presented are means of 5 replications. Vertical bars represent SE's calculated for individual means and shown when larger than the symbols used . . . . .	110



- 5.2. Effects of cutting dates on dry matter accumulation in underground components for each sample date of caucasian clover (cv. Monaro). Data presented are means of 5 replications. Vertical bars represent SE's calculated for individual means and shown when larger than the symbols used . . . . . 110
- 5.3 Effects of cutting dates on leaf area index (LAI) for each sample date of caucasian clover (cv. Monaro). Data presented are means of 5 replications. Vertical bars represent SE's calculated for individual means and shown when larger than the symbols used . . . . . 110
- 5.4. Effects of cutting dates on reproductive shoot numbers for each sample date of caucasian clover (cv. Monaro). Data presented are means of 5 replications. Vertical bars represent SE's calculated for individual means and shown when larger than the symbols used . . . 114
- 5.5. Effects of cutting dates on flowering patterns of Caucasian clover (cv. Monaro). Data presented are means of 5 replications. Vertical bars represent SE's calculated for individual means and shown when larger than the symbols used . . . . . 114

## LIST OF PLATES

P L A T E :	PAGE
3.1. Inter-row cultivation on 15 October 1991 with 60 cm gap between rows . . . . .	37
3.2. (A) Vegetative shoots and (B) reproductive shoots . . . . .	38
3.3. (A) Main crown with woody taproot and rhizomes and (B) secondary crowns in different sizes . . . . .	39
3.4. General appearance of inflorescence in (A) bus stage, (B) opening stage and (C) maturity stage . . . . .	45
3.5. General appearance of main crown inflorescences (A) and secondary crown inflorescences (B) at maturity . . . . .	51
3.6. Morphology of florets and carpel containing two ovules at blooming stage (A) and florets at seed maturity with one seeded pods (B) . . . . .	52
4.1. Stages of seed development of <i>Trifolium ambiguum</i> , <i>M.Bieb</i> from 0 - 46 days after pollination (DAP) after seed dried to ~ 8% SMC (A: Scale for actual seed size; B: scalae for actual inflorescence size . . . . .	83
5.1. Cutting at ground level on October and November using hand mower . . . . .	105
5.2. Differences in inflorescence density between untreated control swards (A), October cutting (B) and November cutting (C) . . . . .	118

## LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX :	PAGE
2.1. MORPHOLOGICAL DESCRIPTION OF <i>Trifolium ambiguum</i> IN GENERAL (Komarov, 1945) . . . . .	148
2.2. Distinguishing characteristics of species related to <i>Trifolium ambiguum</i> , <i>M.Bieb.</i> (Bryant, 1974) . . . . .	149
3.1. OVERALL EXPERIMENTAL LAYOUT . . . . .	150
A. Study of vegetative and reproductive morphology . . . . .	150
B. Seed development study (Chapter IV) . . . . .	150
C. Cutting treatments (Chapter V) . . . . .	150
D. PLOT LAYOUT AND SAMPLING AREAS IN THE PLOT : . . . . .	151
3.2. Sixty year average for temperature (minimum and maximum), sunshine hours and rainfall at Aorangi, Palmerston North, and deviations from these average during 1991/1992* . . . . .	152
3.3. The effects of inter-row cultivation on the number of reproductive shoots and inflorescences at harvest (1m row) . . . . .	153
4.1A Germination results in freshly harvested seed of early group inflorescences from pollination of <i>Trifolium ambiguum</i> , <i>M.Bieb.</i> (cv. Monaro) . . . . .	154
4.1B Germination results in freshly harvested seed from pollination of middle inflorescences of <i>Trifolium ambiguum</i> , <i>M.Bieb.</i> (cv. Monaro) . . . . .	155
4.2A Germination results of seeds from pollination after air drying of early group inflorescences of <i>Trifolium ambiguum</i> , <i>M.Bieb.</i> (cv. Monaro) . . . . .	156
4.2B Germination of seeds after air-drying of middle inflorescences of <i>Trifolium ambiguum</i> , <i>M.Bieb.</i> (cv. Monaro) . . . . .	157
4.3. Germination results of seeds after scarification in air-dried seeds of early inflorescences . . . . .	158

## CHAPTER 1

### GENERAL INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1. General description

Caucasian clover (*Trifolium ambiguum*, M.Bieb.) which is also well known as Kura, Honey or Pellet clover in the USA is a rhizomatous perennial legume with a potentially wide range of adaptation throughout high mountain environments in the middle latitudes including cold, temperate and intermontane areas, as well as continental rangeland and steppes (Bryant, 1974). It is believed that the plant is indigenous to the Caucasian region of the USSR, Eastern Turkey and Northern Iran (Zohary, 1970) where it is utilised for hay and as a pasture legume (Hely, 1957; Komarov, 1945). Literature on this clover is limited and has been concisely reviewed by Bryant (1974).

Caucasian clover has received considerable attention for agricultural purposes in USSR, Czechoslovakia, Canada, USA and in Australia. Agronomic evaluation in New Zealand has shown that *Trifolium ambiguum* cv. Summit (Paljor, 1973), cv. Treeline (Stewart, 1979) and cv. Monaro (Gurung, 1991) exhibit several characteristics which identify it as promising plant for eroded slopes as well as valuable pasture clover for high country environments.

#### 1.2. Agronomic potential and agricultural value

The potential of this clover as an important alternative pasture plant is clear because of its early seasonal production, persistence under heavy seasonal grazing,

its vigorous rhizome development, and its adaptability to grow and persist on low pH (<5) soil where other clovers (*e.g. Trifolium repens*) fail (Agabayan, 1960; Bryant, 1974).

Following its introduction in the USA in 1911, Pellet (1946) reported the following advantages of this clover: firstly, once established, it provides highly productive and long-lived permanent pasture for hay, forage and silage; secondly, it is profuse flowering with nectar readily available; thirdly, its habit of spreading from underground rhizomes ensures an increase in the stand; and lastly, its extensive root system which makes it a good proposition for oversowing in highly eroded sites for soil conservation. In addition, it is a long-lived perennial plant which is deep rooting, drought resistant and winter hardy (Komarov, 1945).

In New Zealand, clovers play an essential role as a forage pasture in most farming systems. However, under the harsh conditions of New Zealand hill and high country, there are only a few pasture species which are able to persist and make a significant contribution to pastures (Scott *et al.*, 1983). Under low fertility, low pH, low winter temperature and seasonal moisture deficit, the growth of traditional legumes such as *Trifolium subterraneum*, *Trifolium repens* and *Lotus corniculatus* are restricted (Chapman and Macfarlane, 1985; Scott *et al.*, 1983). With the demand to develop alternative productive pastures under marginally productive areas, therefore, the potential uses of caucasian clover as a forage legume have become recognized.

Caucasian clover is also resistant to many of the viruses common to other temperate clovers (Burnett and Gibson, 1975; Jones *et al.*, 1981). Stewart (1979) observed that *Trifolium ambiguum* persisted in an area which was heavily infested

with grassgrub (*Costelytra zealandica*) where both *Trifolium repens* and *Trifolium hybridum* were killed. *Trifolium ambiguum* was reported to be a host for the clover cyst nematode (*Heterodera trifolii*), but it was demonstrated to be much more resistant to it than *Trifolium repens* (Norton and Iselly, 1967).

This clover compares favourably in feeding value with other traditional legumes (Speer and Allinson, 1985). Its acceptability to all form of livestock (Pellet, 1945; Larin 1956), its non-oestrogenic nature (Anonymous, 1977), its high concentration of nitrogen and minerals, and its digestibility (FitzGerald, 1980; Davis, 1981; Allinson *et al.*, 1983) are some valuable characters which make this clover superior to other traditional legumes in many circumstances.

### **1.3. General agronomic and management problems**

Despite its promising characteristics, however, this clover has not been developed commercially and has found only limited use outside its indigenous habitat (Townsend, 1970; Hely, 1971). This clover is difficult to establish because of slow seedling growth and no work appears to have been specifically directed toward determining the growth pattern of this clover.

As in other newly introduced legumes, the difficulty in achieving a substantial amount of high seed yield is frequently reported as a major factor limiting its more widespread use in pasture systems. Because of slow growth, or perhaps other reasons, seed yields the first year after planting are as low as 70 kg per hectare. Seed yields in later years are reported to range from 100 - 600 kg per hectare (Bryant, 1974; Voloshenko *et al.*, 1979; Stewart and Daly, 1980; Steiner, *in press*). It has been suggested that characteristics contributing to its low and unreliable seed

yield include poor pollination, erratic and protracted flowering, and poor seed set (Hampton *et al.*, 1990). Above all, there is little information available on suitable agronomic management practices for both herbage and seed production.

#### 1.4. Objectives of the study

This study was conducted as a result of the demand for a better understanding of this clover and the need for the development of appropriate management strategies to improve seed production. The objectives of this study are :

1. To define the morphological characteristics of *Trifolium ambiguum*, *M.Bieb.* during regrowth periods
2. To determine potential seed yield, and to examine possible causes of low seed yield in field conditions.
3. To describe in detail the sequence of seed development which can be used to determine optimum harvest time.
4. To determine the response of aerial and underground plant components to different times of defoliation and to identify some of the effects of defoliation on reproductive growth and seed yield.

This study was carried out in one growing season on a four year old established stand of *Trifolium ambiguum* from September 1991 to July 1992 on the AgResearch Grasslands Research Unit, Aorangi, Palmerston North, New Zealand.