

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Factors That Influence Grower Adoption and
Implementation of the ENZA Integrated Fruit
Production Programme**

**A thesis presented in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the
degree on Masters of Applied Science in Agriculture - Horticulture
Systems and Management at Massey University.**

Heidi Stiefel

1999

ABSTRACT

To maintain market access to the key pipfruit export markets of Europe and the UK ENZAFRUIT New Zealand LTD has set a target of 100 percent grower adoption of the ENZA Integrated Fruit Production programme (ENZA-IFP) by the year 2001. In 1996 eighty eight growers had adopted the programme out of a total of 1650 growers nationally, hence the adoption rate required to meet this target is very steep. However, little is known about New Zealand growers' attitudes towards the ENZA-IFP programme, or the factors that may influence the programme's adoption.

Interviews of randomly selected IFP and non-IFP growers were held in Hawke's Bay and Nelson during August 1997. The purpose of the interviews was to determine the factors that influence the adoption of the ENZA-IFP programme, identify differences between IFP and non IFP growers, and identify themes of technology transfer methods that may encourage grower adoption of the ENZA-IFP programme. The results of the IFP and non-IFP case study research were cross compared, then compared and contrasted with the factors identified in the reviewed literature.

The key reasons the IFP growers had adopted the ENZA-IFP programme were for philosophical and environmental factors. Market access was also a key motivating factor. Financial factors, perceived risk, and poor communication were the key factors hindering adoption for the non-IFP growers. The main financial factors were loss of the USA supply programme incentive and a lack of financial incentives to adopt IFP. Perceived risk was in the form of a perceived increase in pest and disease damage and resulting financial loss.

To reach ENZA's target of 100 percent grower adoption by 2001, growers need both clear guidelines on how this is going to be met and financial incentives over the transition period to motivate adoption.

IFP technologies that bring direct financial benefits to growers, have a participatory technology transfer system, have a low level of complexity and perceived risk, and fit with a growers current production system and resources are likely to be adopted more readily.

Keywords: Integrated Fruit Production, Adoption, Implementation
Title: Factors that Influence Grower Adoption and Implementation of the ENZA Integrated Fruit Production Programme
Author: Heidi Stiefel
Degree: Masters of Applied Science

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This thesis was completed with much assistance and encouragement from the supervisors, Dr. Elizabeth Kemp from the Department of Computer Science, Ewen Cameron, and Stuart Morriss, both from the Department of Agriculture-Horticulture Systems and Management. Each brought different strengths and knowledge to this thesis, resulting in an excellent, well balanced team of supervisors. I wish to especially acknowledge Ewen's encouragement and commitment and Liz's enthusiasm and knowledge of the academic requirements necessary to complete a thesis. Many thanks to them all for their support, guidance, ideas, and time that they put into reading the draft chapters.

Many of the Department of Ag-Hort Systems and Management staff have helped this research project along the process, by providing books, articles, and practical advice, which I greatly appreciated. A special thanks to Denise Stewart for her assistance. I would also like to thank the Department for its financial contribution from the ENZA project towards this research project.

The assistance from ENZA with selecting growers was much appreciated. Thanks to all the growers that participated enthusiastically in the interviews. I greatly appreciated the valuable time growers gave, sharing their ideas, to be part of this study.

Thank you to Agriculture New Zealand, my employer, for allowing me the time to complete this research. Also thanks to my parents for their support during my many trips to Massey University over the past two years.

Finally, a very special thanks to my partner Steve Potbury for his support and encouragement along the way. Steve spent many weekends reading, offering advice, and making sure I was working.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF APPENDICES	x
Chapter One: Introduction	1
1.1 ENZA Integrated Fruit Production	1
1.2 The New Zealand Pipfruit Industry	2
1.2.1 The New Zealand Apple and Pear Marketing Board	3
1.2.2 ENZAFRUIT New Zealand (International)	3
1.3 Evolution of New Zealand Pipfruit Pest and Disease Management from Calendar Spraying to Current 'Best Practices'	3
1.3.1 Calendar-based spraying	4
1.3.2 Evolution from calendar-based spraying	4
1.3.3 Integrated Pest Management (IPM)	5
1.3.4 IPM in New Zealand	6
1.4 Market Driven Production Technologies	7
1.4.1 The USA supply programme	7
1.4.2 The ENZA-IFP programme	9
1.4.3 The IFP-USA programme	12
1.5 A General Overview of Technology Transfer Methods used by New Zealand Pipfruit Growers	12
1.5.1 Technology transfer and communication of the ENZA-IFP programme	14
1.6 Problem Statement	15

1.7 Objectives of The Study	15
1.8 Study Outline	16
Chapter Two: A Review of Factors that Influence Adoption of Sustainable Technologies	17
2.1 Introduction	17
2.2 Diffusion of Innovations	18
2.2.1 Categories of adoption -the innovativeness of an individual	20
2.2.2 Characteristics of adopter categories	22
2.2.3 Extension model used - Transfer of Technology (ToT)	23
2.2.4 The limitations of the 'Diffusion of Innovations' model	24
2.3 Knowledge and Information Systems – The “Participatory Action Research’ (PAR) Model.	26
2.3.1 Extension science - facilitating learning	27
2.3.2 Extension models used	28
2.3.3 Selective adoption	29
2.4 Diffusion of Innovations, Participatory Action Research, and Selective Adoption	31
2.5 The Key Factors that Influence Grower Adoption of Sustainable Technologies - A Discussion of International Research.	33
2.5.1 Financial factors	37
2.5.2 Social factors	38
2.5.3 Grower characteristics	39
2.5.4 Marketing factors	40
2.5.5 Technology transfer	41
2.5.6 Education factors	42
2.5.7 Technical factors	42
2.5.8 Organisational factors	43
2.5.9 Environmental factors	43
2.5.10 Summary	44
2.6 Conceptual Framework Developed from the Reviewed Literature of the Key Factors that Influence the Adoption of Sustainable Technologies	44

2.7 The Role of Technology Transfer and Education in the Adoption of Sustainable Technologies	45
2.7.1 Co-ordination of technology transfer	47
2.7.2 Technology transfer methods and tools suitable for sustainable technologies.	48
2.8 Integrated fruit production (IFP) - A Review of International and New Zealand Programmes	50
2.9 Conclusion	54
Chapter Three: Methodology	56
3.1 Introduction	56
3.2 Determining Appropriate Research Methods	56
3.3 Case Study Selection - Selection of Participants	58
3.4 Determining Qualitative Data Collection Methods	60
3.4.1 Development of data collection protocol	61
3.4.2 Framework for the semi-structured interview questions	61
3.4.3 Pre-testing interviews	63
3.4.4 Conducting interviews	64
3.5 Analysis	65
3.5.1 Initial analysis of the case study data	65
3.5.2 Further analysis of the data	66
3.6 Summary	66
Chapter Four: Case Study Results and Discussion	67
4.1 Introduction	67
4.2 Factors that Influenced Adoption and Implementation of the ENZA-IFP Programme: IFP Growers	67
4.2.1 Philosophical	67
4.2.2 Environmental	69
4.2.3 Marketing	71
4.2.4 Financial	72

4.2.5 Perceived Risk	74
4.2.6 Organisational - Information from ENZA	74
4.2.7 Technology transfer	77
4.2.8 Compatibility	80
4.2.9 Confidence in the programme	81
4.2.10 Complexity	82
4.2.11 Technical	83
4.2.12 Selective adoption	85
4.2.13 IFP conceptual framework developed from the case study research	85
4.3 Factors that may Influence Adoption and Implementation of the ENZA-IFP Programme: Non-IFP Growers	86
4.3.1 Financial	87
4.3.2 Perceived risk	88
4.3.3 Marketing	89
4.3.4 Organisational factors - Information from ENZA	89
4.3.5 Technology transfer	92
4.3.6 Confidence	93
4.3.7 Compatibility	93
4.3.8 Complexity	93
4.3.9 Technical	94
4.3.10 Environmental factors	95
4.3.11 Selective adoption	95
4.3.12 Non-IFP conceptual framework developed from the case study research	96
4.4 Cross Case Comparison Between IFP and Non-IFP Growers	96
4.4.1 IFP and non-IFP grower characteristics	97
4.4.2 Similarities between case study results from the IFP and non-IFP growers	100
4.4.3 Differences between IFP and non-IFP growers and the factors that influence adoption of ENZA-IFP	102
4.4.4 Comparison of the conceptual frameworks	104
4.5 Comparison with the Literature	105
4.5.1 Grower characteristics from the case studies compared to the literature	105
4.5.2 Comparison between factors identified in the literature with those identified in the case study research	106
4.5.3 Factors identified in addition to the reviewed literature	117

4.6 Comparison Of The Literature Review And Case Study Conceptual Frameworks.	117
Chapter Five: Conclusions and Recommendations	120
5.1 Key Findings	120
5.1.1 Differences between IFP and Non-IFP growers	121
5.1.2 Factors that influenced the adoption and implementation of ENZA-IFP	123
5.1.3 Technology transfer processes used to enhance the adoption of sustainable technologies, such as IFP.	125
5.1.4 Methods of technology transfer that may encourage grower adoption of ENZA-IFP	126
5.2 Recommendations: strategies to enhance the adoption of the ENZA-IFP programme	126
5.3 Reflection on the Process	129
5.4 Opportunities For Further Research	130
Chapter Six: References	132

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1 Number of growers involved in ENZA supply programmes	9
Table 3.1 Relevant Situations for Different Research Strategies (Yin, 1989).	57
Table 4.1 Age of Respondents	98
Table 4.2 Use of Integrated Mite Control (IMC) for European Red Mite control by IFP and non-IFP growers	101

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 Transfer of Technology Extension Paradigm	24
Figure 2.2: Conceptual Framework of the Factors that Influence the Adoption and Implementation of Sustainable Technologies.	45
Figure 4.1 Factors that Influenced Adoption of the ENZA-IFP Programme for IFP Growers	86
Figure 4.2 Factors that may Influence Adoption of the ENZA-IFP Programme for Non-IFP Growers	96
Figure 4.3 Factors that Influence Grower Adoption and Implementation of the ENZA-IFP Programme	104
Figure 4.4 Conceptual Framework Developed from the Reviewed Literature and the Case Study Research of the Factors that Influence the Adoption and Implementation of Sustainable Technologies and ENZA-IFP.	119

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 1	Semi-structured interview question sheets for the IFP growers	140
Appendix 2	Semi-structured interview question sheets for the non-IFP growers	142
Appendix 3	Grower data collection sheet	144