

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Environmental and Management Factors as
Determinants of Pasture Diversity and Production of
North Island, New Zealand Hill Pasture Systems**

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy (PhD)

Pastures and Crops Group

Institute of Natural Resources

Massey University

New Zealand

Phillipa Karen Nicholas

1999

ABSTRACT

Hill pasture systems are inherently variable due to both environmental (e.g. rainfall, temperature, altitude, slope, aspect and microtopography) and management (e.g. stock type, stocking rate, grazing behaviour and soil fertility) factors. Fertiliser application and grazing pressure are the two main tools used for hill pasture management, as hill pastures are non-arable and the success of oversowing into existing pastures has been limited. One aim of pasture management is to increase the percentage of desirable species (e.g. *L. perenne* and *T. repens*) by changing composition rather than the addition of new species. Pasture botanical composition affects production directly through the productive capabilities of species present, but it is hypothesised that the number of species present play a role in pasture productivity and stability of hill pasture systems.

A field survey and two glasshouse experiments were performed on hill pasture swards to identify the effects of imposed management and environmental factors on botanical composition. The relationship between species diversity and productivity was also investigated.

Ten field survey data sets were collected from two research farms. These data sets reflected different management history, climate, season and time (28 year time lapse). Information collected for each data set included botanical composition, Olsen P, hill slope, standing green biomass, and species growth rate over a one month period. The results of the survey indicated that the same species were present on all sites surveyed, but the abundance of those species changed. For example, *L. perenne*, *A. capillaris* and *T. repens* were most abundant on the high fertility sites, *A. capillaris* was the dominant species on the low fertility sites, flatweeds were more abundant on the dry sites, and *Muscii* spp. were more abundant in spring than summer. There was no direct relationship between species diversity and pasture production, but factors such

as hill slope, fertility and season appeared to play a role in a more complex, undefined relationship between species diversity and productivity.

The first glasshouse experiment involved the application of two simulated management factors (i.e. defoliation height and treading) to hill pasture turves. The turves were removed from three hill country farmlets that had different management conditions imposed on them for 20 years. The abundance of *A. capillaris*, *L. perenne*, *A. odoratum*, *Poa* spp. and *T. repens* increased with the tall defoliation height, which was a positive effect, as was the increase in abundance of *T. repens* with treading. *L. perenne* and *H. lanatus* abundance decreased whilst treading was occurring, which was a negative effect.

The second glasshouse experiment involved the application of a simulated environmental factor (i.e. moisture deficit and excess moisture) and its interaction with a management factor (i.e. treading) on the same turves. The abundance of *H. lanatus*, *Poa* spp., *T. repens* and other legumes decreased under the moisture deficit treatment. *L. perenne* abundance was unaffected. The abundance of *C. cristatus*, *A. odoratum*, *F. rubra* and *L. perenne* decreased under the excess moisture treatment, all others increased. *T. repens* abundance was increased with a combination of treading and excess moisture.

Functional groups were developed as part of the objectives of this research programme, to simplify the system being studied. In response to the management and environmental factors applied to the turves, the functional groups were described as being increasers, decreasers or static. The results of the turves experiments were used to validate the definition of the functional groups. For example, the high fertility responsive grass functional group was more abundant on the high fertility turves and *L. perenne*, which is also responsive to high fertility conditions, was found to be in a functional group of its own because of its ability to recover from treading. *A. capillaris*, which like low fertility tolerant grasses was abundant on low fertility sites, was separated

into a group of its own because of its great abundance and dominance of the sward.

No definitive relationship between species diversity, production and stability of production was observed in the turves experiments. That *A. capillaris* was particularly dominant in all swards may have significantly influenced the relationship. As with the field survey, however, all species were observed on all turves, and just the abundance of those species changed.

The results of this experimental work showed that pasture composition can be altered to a more desirable (leafy green with legumes and adapted to the environment in which they are occurring) form with the use of management factors such as fertiliser application, defoliation height and treading. That composition was changed without a change in the number of species present, suggested that such composition changes are reversible. The work also highlighted the importance of an environmental factor, that cannot be controlled by land managers (i.e. soil moisture), and its interaction with management practices in maintaining a desirable and stable pasture composition.

“Real generosity towards the future lies in giving all to the present.”

Albert Camus (1913-60), French-Algerian philosopher, author.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am deeply indebted to both my supervisors, Dr Peter Kemp and Dr Dave Barker, for their guidance, support, patience and endless reading of my manuscript. My special thanks to Peter for personal as well as professional guidance over the years and to Dave for being the keystone figure in my field work team. My thanks to both of you.

I would also like to acknowledge the support of people that helped me in many and varied ways during the course of this study. My particular thanks to Dr John Brock and Mr Dave Grant for the collection of two data sets from Ballantrae in 1968, and their permission for me to use the data in this study. My thanks are extended to John Napier and the farm staff at Ballantrae Research Station, who assisted with the turves removal and who put up with the resulting motorbike hazards in the paddocks; Yvonne Grey and the ladies in the Herbage Laboratory at AgResearch for their tireless help with herbage dissections, the staff at the Plant Growth Unit who helped me through two very long summers in the glasshouse, and finally the staff of the Practical Teaching Complex, both past and present, and the farm staff at Riverside for assistance with field work.

For financial support during my studies, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to the Agricultural, Marketing and Research Development Trust (AGMARDT). Their financial assistance and the opportunity they provided to meet other PhD students from around the country was invaluable. My thanks to the Hellaby Trust for financial support of both my studies and overseas conference travel. Other organisations to thank for their support of my travels to the International Grassland Congress and the Australian Agronomy Conference include the Trevor Ellett Trust, the Royal Society of New Zealand and the Commonwealth Science Council. I would also like to thank the

Riverside Trust for providing research funding to carry out a study at Riverside farm in the Wairarapa.

I would like to extend my special thanks to Mark Hyslop who has put up with me as a friend, flatmate and office co-habitator for the last few years. His ability to cope with me for that long never fails to astound me. I would also like to make special mention of Todd White and Dr Greg Bishop-Hurley for helping me with the tough jobs and always providing friendship. I would like to acknowledge the postgraduate students and staff in the Pastures and Crops Group, who have made life during my studies enjoyable. My special friends who have helped and supported me know who they are, my deepest thanks to you too.

I also wish to thank my parents, Paul and Kim and my sister Debra for their endless support and love through the good times and the bad.

Finally I wish to thank Pete for being my best friend, motivator and the most wonderful distraction from work that I could ever hope to have.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract.....	ii
Acknowledgements	vi
Table of Contents.....	viii
Appendices.....	xv
List of Tables.....	xvi
List of Figures.....	xxii
List of Plates.....	xxv

1. Introduction and Objectives

1.1 Introduction.....	1
1.2 Objectives.....	2

2. Review of Hill Pasture Biodiversity

2.1 Hill country pastoral farming.....	4
2.2 Botanical composition of hill pastures.....	6
2.3 Biodiversity.....	8
2.4 Biodiversity, production and stability.....	9
2.5 Functional Groups.....	14
2.6 References.....	16

3. Biodiversity of New Zealand Hill Pastures

3.1 Introduction.....	21
3.2 Materials and Methods.....	23
3.2.1 Sites.....	23
3.2.1.1 1968-69 Data.....	26

3.2.1.2 1996-97 and 1997-98 Data.....	27
3.2.1.2.1 Cumulative biomass	27
3.2.1.2.2 Diversity indices.....	28
3.3 Results.....	28
3.3.1 Pasture Cover.....	28
3.3.2 Species richness and standing green biomass.....	31
3.3.3 Species richness, standing green biomass and slope.....	32
3.3.3.1 Biomass and slope.....	32
3.3.3.2 Species richness and slope.....	32
3.3.3.3 Biomass, species richness and slope.....	32
3.3.4 Cumulative biomass.....	34
3.3.5 Species contributing to 50% of standing green biomass.....	35
3.3.6 % contribution of the most abundant species and species richness.	38
3.3.7 Population diversity, average Shannon diversity and green biomass.	38
3.4 Discussion.....	41
3.4.1 Pasture composition.....	41
3.4.2 Diversity and production.....	43
3.4.3 Environmental effects.....	46
3.4.3.1 Slope and production.....	46
3.4.3.2 Slope and species richness.....	47
3.4.3.3 Slope, species richness and production.....	47
3.4.4 Species dominance.....	49
3.4.5 Diversity indices and pasture production.....	50
3.5 Conclusions.....	51
3.6 References.....	53

4. Functional Groups

4.1 Introduction.....	57
4.2 Defining functional groups.....	58
4.3 References.....	67

5. Management effects on pasture composition

5.1 Introduction.....	69
5.2 Method.....	70
5.2.1 Turf removal.....	70
5.2.2 Treatments.....	71
5.2.3 Measurements.....	71
5.2.3.1 Dry matter harvests.....	71
5.2.3.2 Leaf extension.....	72
5.2.3.3 Cover analysis.....	72
5.2.3.4 Tiller counts.....	72
5.2.3.5 Botanical composition.....	72
5.2.3.6 Dry matter harvest dissections.....	73
5.2.3.7 Root weight and length.....	73
5.2.3.8 Soil nutrients.....	73
5.2.4 Statistics.....	73
5.3 Results.....	74
5.3.1 Measurement results.....	74
5.3.1.1 Soil nutrients.....	74
5.3.1.2 Soil roots.....	74
5.3.1.3 Dry matter accumulation.....	77
5.3.1.4 Tiller density.....	77
5.3.1.5 Leaf extension.....	80
5.3.1.6 Dry matter harvest dissection.....	82
5.3.1.7 Botanical composition.....	84
5.3.1.8 Point analysis.....	88

5.3.1.8.1 Change in average pasture cover over time.....	88
5.3.1.8.2 Effect of site on the cover of individual species.....	91
5.3.1.8.3 Effect of cutting height on the cover of individual species.....	92
5.3.1.8.4 Effect of treading on the cover of individual species.....	97
5.3.1.8.5 Significant factor interactions.....	99
5.3.1.9 Functional Groups.....	101
5.3.1.9.1 Dry matter production from functional groups.....	101
5.3.1.9.2 Effect of site on functional groups.....	101
5.3.1.9.3 Effect of cutting height on functional group abundance.....	104
5.3.1.9.4 Effect of treading on functional group abundance.....	104
5.3.1.9.5 Significant interactions which influenced the abundance of functional groups.....	106
5.3.1.10 Treatment effects on species richness.....	106
5.3.1.11 Species richness versus dry matter accumulation.....	106
5.3.1.12 Leaf extension and dry matter accumulation.....	107
5.3.1.13 Tiller number and dry matter accumulation.....	107
5.4 Discussion.....	109
5.4.1 Species responses to applied factors over time	109
5.4.1.1 Site factor.....	109
5.4.1.2 Cutting height factor.....	110
5.4.1.3 Treading factor.....	112
5.4.1.4 Factor interactions.....	114
5.4.2 Dry matter accumulation.....	117
5.4.2.1 Sites.....	117
5.4.2.2 Cutting height.....	117
5.4.2.3 Treading.....	118
5.4.3 Tillers.....	118
5.4.3.1 Sites.....	118
5.4.3.2 Cutting height.....	119
5.4.3.3 Treading.....	119
5.4.4 Tiller density and dry matter accumulation.....	120
5.4.5 Leaf extension rates.....	121

5.4.5.1 Sites.....	121
5.4.5.2 Cutting height.....	122
5.4.6 Species abundance over time.....	122
5.4.6.1 Sites.....	122
5.4.6.2 Cutting height.....	123
5.4.6.3 Treading.....	124
5.4.7 Functional groups.....	124
5.4.7.1 Dry matter production.....	124
5.4.7.2 Sites.....	125
5.4.7.3 Cutting height.....	126
5.4.7.4 Treading.....	126
5.4.8 Testing functional group definition.....	127
5.4.9 The role of pasture management.....	129
5.5 References.....	131

6. Environmental effects on pasture composition

6.1 Introduction.....	137
6.2 Materials and Methods.....	139
6.2.1 Method.....	139
6.2.2 Measurements.....	140
6.2.2.1 Botanical composition.....	140
6.2.2.2 Dry matter harvests.....	141
6.2.2.3 Leaf extension.....	141
6.2.2.4 Point analysis.....	141
6.2.2.5 Soil nitrogen.....	142
6.2.2.6 Tiller counts.....	142
6.2.2.7 Soil nutrients.....	142
6.2.2.8 Root weight and length.....	142
6.2.2.9 Soil temperature.....	142
6.2.2.10 Soil moisture.....	143
6.3 Statistical analysis.....	143

6.4 Results.....	143
6.4.1 Background results.....	143
6.4.1.1 Soil moisture.....	143
6.4.1.2 Soil temperature.....	144
6.4.1.3 Soil nutrients.....	146
6.4.1.4 Roots.....	147
6.4.2 Measurement results.....	148
6.4.2.1 Dry matter accumulation.....	148
6.4.2.2 Tillers.....	150
6.4.2.3 Leaf extension.....	150
6.4.2.4 Botanical composition.....	155
6.4.2.5 Point analysis.....	159
6.4.2.5.1 Changes in pasture composition over time.....	159
6.4.2.5.2 The effect of applied stress factor on the cover of individual species.....	161
6.4.2.5.3 The effect of site on the cover of individual species.....	163
6.4.2.5.4 Effects of site by stress factor interactions on the cover of individual species.....	167
6.4.2.5.5 Change in the cover of five species groups over time.....	170
6.4.3 Advanced data analysis.....	175
6.4.3.1 MANOVA of point quadrat and pasture dissection data.....	175
6.4.3.2 Canonical correlation for comparison of point quadrat analysis with pasture dissection as a technique for predicting pasture composition.....	175
6.4.3.3 Shannon Diversity Index and species richness - differences between treatments and months.....	177
6.4.3.4 Species richness and dry matter production.....	177
6.4.3.5 Dry matter accumulation from functional groups.....	180
6.4.3.6 The effect of site on functional group cover.....	182
6.4.3.7 The effect of stress factors on functional group cover.....	184
6.4.3.8 The effect of site by stress factor interactions on functional group cover.....	186

6.4.3.9 The variation in the cover of functional groups over time.....	188
6.4.3.10 Growth flush in the recovery phase.....	190
6.4.3.10.1 Effects of tillering on herbage accumulation flush.....	190
6.4.3.10.2 Effects of leaf extension on herbage accumulation flush.....	193
6.5 Discussion.....	195
6.5.1 Introduction.....	195
6.5.2 Pasture responses to moisture.....	195
6.5.2.1 Morphological changes.....	195
6.5.2.1.1 Tillers.....	195
6.5.2.1.2 Leaf extension.....	196
6.5.2.2 Herbage accumulation rate.....	198
6.5.2.3 Species composition responses to moisture.....	201
6.5.3 Pasture responses to treading.....	204
6.5.3.1 Indirect effects on plants through changes in soil.....	204
6.5.3.2 Direct effects of treading on pasture plants.....	206
6.5.4 Resistance and resilience.....	210
6.6 References.....	212

7. General Discussion

7.1 Introduction.....	217
7.2 Botanical composition.....	217
7.3 Pasture species diversity and productivity.....	221
7.4 Functional groups.....	223
7.5 The effects of management on hill pasture botanical composition.....	227
7.6 The role of soil moisture and its interaction with treading in determining hill pasture botanical composition.....	231
7.7 Conclusions.....	234
7.8 References.....	237

APPENDICES

- Appendix 1 **Production, Stability and Biodiversity of North Island, New Zealand Hill Pastures.** This paper was published in the Proceedings of the 18th International Grassland Congress, Canada, 1997 (page 21-9)..... 241
- Appendix 2 **Biodiversity, stability and pasture management - the role of functional groups.** This paper was published in the Proceedings of the 9th Australian Agronomy Conference, Wagga Wagga, Australia, 1998 (pages 294-297). 248

LIST OF TABLES

CHAPTER 2

Table 2.1	Summary of selected diversity/ecosystem function studies in grasslands. (+) indicates a positive relationship, (-) indicates a negative relationship and NA indicates not assessed. Table adapted from Johnson <i>et al.</i> 1996.....	13
-----------	--	----

CHAPTER 3

Table 3.1	Site information for the 10 data sets collected. The first letter in the site name indicates the general fertility of the site (H=high, M=medium and L=low fertility). The second letter of the name indicates whether the site was summer dry (D) or summer moist (M). Spring and summer indicate in which season the data was collected. This historical sites, Ballantrae and Morgans, were both surveyed in spring.....	25
Table 3.2	Summary of % cover of plant species for six sites (10 data sets) on hill pastures in the southern North Island, New Zealand. Sites are arranged from highest to lowest fertility from left to right.....	30
Table 3.3	Regression of species richness and standing green biomass.....	31
Table 3.4	Significance of regression between % contribution of the most abundant species and species richness.....	39
Table 3.5	Population diversity, average Shannon diversity and green biomass for each data set. Population diversity	

is an index of diversity for the whole data set, average Shannon diversity is based on average diversity of each plot surveyed, and standing green biomass is the dry matter standing when the samples were take, less dead matter.....	40
---	----

CHAPTER 4

Table 4.1 Summary of the differences between the functional groups defined by Lambert et al. (1986a) and those defined in this study using literature derived variables.....	62
--	----

CHAPTER 5

Table 5.1 Soil nutrient status of turves in December 1996. Samples taken to a depth of 100 mm. Units for Ca, K, P, Mg, Na and S are mg/g of soil.....	75
Table 5.2 The effect of (a) site, (b) cutting height and (c) simulated treading on root length (m/m^3) and weight (g/m^3) in February (after 4 weeks re-growth) and March (after 8 weeks re-growth) 1997. Cores taken to a depth of 30 cm.....	76
Table 5.3 Summary of dry matter accumulation rate (kg DM/ha/day) for (a) site, (b) cutting height and (c) treading treatment.....	78
Table 5.4 Summary of tiller density (tillers/ m^2) for (a) site, (b) cutting height and (c) treading treatment.....	79
Table 5.5 Summary of leaf extension data rates (mm/day) for (a) site, (b) cutting height and (c) treading treatment.....	81
Table 5.6 Summary of dry matter harvested (on 11 March 1997) above standard cutting heights (of 20 and 75 mm)	

	which was then dissected (kg DM/ha) into 5 categories for (a) site, (b) cutting height and (c) treading treatment.....	83
Table 5.7	Summary of pasture botanical composition (kg DM/ha) for sites taken one month after treatment application began (January) and at the end of the experiment (May).....	85
Table 5.8	Summary of pasture botanical composition (kg DM/ha) for cutting height taken one month after treatment application began (January) and at the end of the experiment (May). Short = 20 mm cutting height and tall = 75 mm cutting height.....	86
Table 5.9	Summary of pasture botanical composition (kg DM/ha) for treading taken one month after treatment application began (January) and at the end of the experiment (May). T = treading and NT = no treading...	89
Table 5.10	Changes in pasture species cover (by point analysis) averaged over all turves for each month.	90
Table 5.11	Summary of Prob>F values for site effects on cover (by point analysis) of each species for each month.....	93
Table 5.12	Summary of Prob>F values for cutting height effects on pasture cover (by point analysis) for each species in each month.....	95
Table 5.13	Summary of Prob>F values for treading treatment effects on cover (by point analysis) for each species in each month.....	98
Table 5.14	Dry matter production (kg DM/ha) from functional groups. HFRG represents high fertility responsive grasses, LFTG represents low fertility tolerant grasses, OL represents other legumes and OS represents other species.....	102

Table 5.15	Summary of Prob > F values (dry matter production (kg DM/ha)) for functional groups for 3 sites in 4 months.....	103
Table 5.16	Summary of Prob > F values (dry matter production (kg DM/ha)) for functional groups for 2 cutting heights in 4 months.....	105
Table 5.17	Summary of Prob > F values (dry matter production (kg DM/ha)) for functional groups for 2 treading treatments in 4 months.....	105
Table 5.18	Significance and fit of regression (R^2 and Slope) between tiller density and dry matter accumulation for 5 months and each treatment.....	108

CHAPTER 6

Table 6.1	Summary of volumetric soil moisture content (%) data for stress treatments. C = control, D = dry, W = wet and WT = wet and treading.....	145
Table 6.2	Summary of soil temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) data for stress treatments. C = control, D = dry, W = wet and WT = wet and treading.....	145
Table 6.3	Summary of soil fertility measurements for each site taken August 1997. LN = low fertility and input farmlet, HN = medium fertility and input farmlet and HH = high fertility and input farmlet.....	147
Table 6.4	Summary of dry matter production (g DM/m ² /day) for (a) sites and (b) stress factors.....	149
Table 6.5	Summary of tiller density (tiller no./m ²) for (a) sites and (b) stress factors.....	151
Table 6.6	Summary of leaf extension data (mm/day) for (a) sites and (b) stress factors.....	152

Table 6.7a	Summary of pasture species dissection data (kg DM/ha) for sites.....	156
Table 6.7b	Summary of pasture species dissection data (kg DM/ha) for stress factors.....	157
Table 6.8	Summary of average pasture composition (%) over all months of the experiment, taken from point quadrat data.....	160
Table 6.9	Summary of Prob>F values for stress factor main effects on pasture cover (by point analysis) for each species in each month of the experiment. A point in the table indicates the species was not present.....	162
Table 6.10	Summary of Prob>F values for site main effects on pasture cover (by point analysis) for each species in each month of the experiment.....	166
Table 6.11	Summary of Prob>F values for site by stress factor interaction effects for pasture cover (by point analysis) in each month of the experiment.....	168
Table 6.12	MANOVA test on point analysis data to determine pasture composition, using Wilks' Lambda criteria for the null hypothesis of no overall effect.....	176
Table 6.13	MANOVA test on pasture dissection data to determine botanical composition, using Wilks' Lambda criteria for the null hypothesis of no overall effect.....	176
Table 6.14	Canonical correlation analysis to compare the use of point analysis as an estimate of pasture composition with full pasture dissections carried out in September 1997 and February 1998	176
Table 6.15	Shannon Diversity Index and Species Richness for (a) site, (b) stress factors and (c) months.....	178

Table 6.16	Significant relationships between species richness and dry matter production (kg DM/ha/day) identified on treatments applied.....	179
Table 6.17	Dry matter accumulation (kg DM/ha/day) from functional groups. HFRG = high fertility responsive grasses and LFTG = low fertility tolerant grasses.....	181
Table 6.18	Summary of Prob>F values for pasture cover of functional groups for sites over all months.....	183
Table 6.19	Summary of Prob>F values for pasture cover of functional groups for stress factors.....	185
Table 6.20	Summary of Prob>F values for pasture cover of functional groups for site by stress factor interactions....	187
Table 6.21	Regression between herbage accumulation rate (kg DM/ha/day) and time (days) for each stress factor.....	192
Table 6.22	Species by treatment interactions exhibiting significant regressions between leaf extension (mm/day) and dry matter accumulation rate (kg DM/ha/day) for (a) November, (b) December and (c) January 1997.....	194

LIST OF FIGURES

CHAPTER 1

- Figure 1.1 An illustration of a sustainable and unsustainable pasture system under the influence of a perturbation.... 3

CHAPTER 2

- Figure 2.1 Hypotheses for the functional role of species diversity in ecosystems, (a) Diversity-Stability hypothesis, (b) Rivet Popper hypothesis, (c) Redundancy hypothesis and (d) Idiosyncratic hypothesis. Figure adapted from Johnson et al (1996)..... 11

CHAPTER 3

- Figure 3.1 Green biomass (g DM/m²) (bars) and number of species present/0.11m² plots (symbols) on five slope classes for each data set..... 33
- Figure 3.2 Cumulative standing green biomass presented as a proportion of total standing green biomass, for the 10 data sets..... 36
- Figure 3.3 Comparison of the curvature parameter (c) of the cumulative biomass curves. Error bars indicate the 95% confidence interval..... 37

CHAPTER 4

Figure 4.1	Relative contribution of 6 functional groups (defined from the literature) to biomass in the 8 data sets.....	64
------------	---	----

CHAPTER 5

Figure 5.1	The effect of site on the % cover of five categories of species. \blacklozenge = <i>A. capillaris</i> \blacksquare = <i>L. perenne</i> Δ = <i>T. repens</i> x = flatweeds \circ = <i>Muscii</i> spp.....	94
Figure 5.2	The effects of cutting height and treading on the % cover of five categories of species. \blacklozenge = <i>A. capillaris</i> \blacksquare = <i>L. perenne</i> Δ = <i>T. repens</i> x = flatweeds \circ = <i>Muscii</i> spp....	96

CHAPTER 6

Figure 6.1	Summary of leaf extension rates (mm/day) for each species measured and for each factor over the experimental period.....	153
Figure 6.2	Average <i>Holcus lanatus</i> abundance over all months, for each factor combination.....	169
Figure 6.3	The effects of stress factors on the % abundance of five species groups. The arrow indicates the treatment change over date. \blacklozenge = <i>A. capillaris</i> \blacksquare = <i>L. perenne</i> Δ = <i>T. repens</i> x = flatweeds \circ = <i>Muscii</i> spp.....	172
Figure 6.4	The effects of site on the % abundance of five species groups. The arrow indicates the treatment change over date. \blacklozenge = <i>A. capillaris</i> \blacksquare = <i>L. perenne</i> Δ = <i>T. repens</i> x = flatweeds \circ = <i>Muscii</i> spp.....	174

Figure 6.5	Coefficient of variation of the cover of the functional groups over the experimental period.....	189
Figure 6.6	Herbage accumulation rate for four pasture treatments (the numbers at the top of the graph indicate the days into the recovery period).....	191

CHAPTER 7

Figure 7.1	The role of environmental and management factors in determining the botanical composition of North Island, New Zealand hill pastures. The factors within the dotted lines were examined in this thesis.....	218
Figure 7.2	Summary of functional groups derived in Chapter 3 (boxes) and the responses of the functional groups to the treatments applied in the turves experiments (information in boxes), which verify the differences between functional groups.....	226
Figure 7.3	The response of North Island, New Zealand hill pasture to imposed management and environmental factors. The solid boxes represent desired botanical composition changes and the broken boxes represent negative botanical composition changes. W=wet, D=dry, LN, HN and HH are the low, med and high input farmlets respectively, TI=tall cutting height, S=short cutting height, T=treading and WT=wet and treading....	229

LIST OF PLATES

CHAPTER 5

- Plate 5.1 Turf removal from the summer moist, low fertility farmlet (LM) at Ballantrae Research Station, November 1996. The water tanks and pump on the cutting apparatus were used to lubricate the turf bin..... 134
- Plate 5.2 Turves arranged in the glasshouse at the Plant Growth Unit, Massey University, prior to the commencement of the first experiment..... 135
- Plate 5.3 Apparatus used to apply the simulated sheep treading treatment in both the first and second turves experiments. The instrument was rolled over the turf surface..... 136

CHAPTER 6

- Plate 6.1 The visual effects of the moisture deficit (D) (top) and excess moisture and treading (WT) treatments (bottom) on the turves at the peak of the stress period, early November 1997..... 216