

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# Development of an Autonomous Kiwifruit Harvester

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in

Industrial Automation

at Massey University, Manawatu,

New Zealand.

Alistair John Scarfe

2012

© Alistair Scarfe 2012

# Abstract

The already intensive labour requirements within the New Zealand kiwifruit industry are increasing. Furthermore, ZESPRI Group Limited is targeting a threefold increase in industry return by 2025 (from approximately \$NZ1Billion to \$NZ3Billion). Development of autonomous mechanised solutions to assist manual labour is emerging as a strategic necessity.

The objective of this research was to develop a commercially viable autonomous kiwifruit harvester (AKH). The AKH must be capable of operating within variable and complex on-orchard environments to minimise manual labour requirements. Successful completion required development and integration of autonomous:

1. Fruit identification and localisation
2. Custom robotic arms with soft fruit extraction harvesting hands
3. Custom robotic arm for soft fruit handling
4. Transportation platform with navigational sensing and strategies
5. Storage bin collection and drop-off

The AKH has four robotic harvesting arms with hands specifically designed to mimic the human fruit harvesting action. Remotely mounted stereoscopic vision identifies and localises fruit. The fruit locations are mapped into the harvesting arms' coordinate space allowing fruit extraction. The presented system configuration resolves the slow harvest rates experienced by other systems. Practical on-orchard testing identified additional environmental complexities that present the greatest challenge to consistent fruit identification. These are mainly from natural lighting effects.

Stereoscopic machine vision (SMV) was investigated as the primary navigation sensor. However, diverse environmental conditions (lighting and structure appearance) made consistent object detection unreliable. Consequently, a light detection and ranging/SMV combination was used to achieve reliable navigational object detection and fruit storage bin identification.

Practical on-orchard testing and analysis verified AKH operational ability (testing was limited due to a vine killing bacterial (Psa-V) outbreak restricting orchard access):

1. Fruit identification (83.6% of crop) with combined localisation and extraction accuracy of 3.6mm in three-dimensional space
2. More gentle fruit harvesting and handling than humans harvesting
3. Reliable object detection and path planning for navigation. Over the twenty metre scanning range 96% of the in-row objects were correctly classified to reliably determine the drive path
4. Reliable fruit storage bin identification and localisation (98% correct classification)
5. Commercially viable manufacture cost less than \$130,000 per unit
6. Although full commercial operation was not achieved, modifications are identified to rectify the limitations

Key system improvements are presented for:

1. High intensity artificial lighting for increased fruit identification rates. Natural sunlight variations affected identification ability, minimising this affect will increase identification rates
2. Alter the storage bin filling arm geometry to permit complete storage bin filling
3. Sensing the robotic arms' position to resolve positioning errors

## Acknowledgements

Several individuals and organisations have contributed to and made this research project possible,

1. The author especially acknowledges Dr Rory Flemmer (SEAT, Massey University, Manawatu, New Zealand). Dr Flemmer provided core technology used to develop the Autonomous Kiwifruit Harvester. This included:
  - a. A patented robotic manipulator design (Flemmer, 2009). His concentric driveshaft design allowed low-cost stepper motor drive and position feedback using hall-effect sensors. It was used on both harvesting and fruit handling manipulators. He also provided skeleton control code which formed the basis for higher-level control strategies and customised picking motion. The provided control code contained methods to:
    - i. Efficiently step each motor
    - ii. Produce linear robot motion between two pointsBoth manipulators kinematics (harvesting and bin filling) and custom movements were developed to increase functionality and efficiency. These were added to the initial control code by the author.
  - b. Epipolar geometry for stereoscopic depth perception. This geometry included a method to calibrate and determine both extrinsic and intrinsic camera properties. This method allowed absolute distances to be calculated.
2. Dr Huub Bakker and Associate Professor Donald Bailey (supervisors) as well as Associate Professor Stephen Marsland and Professor Don Cleland, who supported, guided and shared knowledge with the author to complete his studies.
3. Plus-Group Horticulture Ltd funded the prototype robotic harvester from concept. Managing director Steve Saunders provided key industry knowledge and experience pertinent to successful on-orchard operation.
4. ZESPRI Group Ltd provided funding for the completion of dual robotic arm picking and storage bin filling (ZESPRI Project PE1059). ZESPRI Group Ltd also provided financial support and guidance through their Innovation Fellowship.
5. Dick and Mary Earle, who supported the author for three years with their technology scholarship
6. Richard Braithwaite (Stonar Consultants Ltd, NZ) for his patient and dedicated assistance editing the work presented

7. Dr Huub Bakker, Clive Bardell, Andrew Booth, Kyle Peterson, Colin Rose and Mike Lusby were involved with the project during development. Their involvement ranged from CAD modelling, fabrication, machining, wiring and assembly of components and systems. While working on the project they were under the author's supervision. The author directed design, development and management decisions during this process but wishes to acknowledge and thank them for their assistance.

## Key Contributions

As a team helped with this project, the author has commented on the team members' contribution throughout this thesis. During development, the author managed and supervised the work of those other contributors. He directed design decisions and development through the process. This team assisted during the first year of development.

Within this team, the author's key contributions are the:

1. Fruit identification system
2. Improvement to the stereo vision system and its calibration
3. Improvement and implementation to the hand-eye coordination system. This includes the calibration device for the robotic arm and locating its position to sub-pixel accuracy with the vision system.
4. Development of the harvesting hands (each generation).
5. Modifications to the initial robotic harvesting arm design. This included: Improvements to the arms kinematic models and development of the custom motion paths; the parallel linkage system to keep the hand vertical, remote mounting of the hands actuators and some structural refinements.
6. Development of the collision detection, avoidance and harvesting scheduling systems.
7. Bin filling robot design and development.
8. Autonomous Transportation Platform. This included: programming the drive system; traction control; custom manoeuvres; chassis and bin lifter design; the initial vision work for navigation; development and implementation of all LIDAR based systems for navigation and bin fining; as well as the integration of the vision and LIDAR systems.
9. A significant amount of general fabrication, machining, construction, wiring, etc.

# Contents

Abstract .....	iii
Key Contributions.....	vi
List of Figures.....	xii
List of Tables .....	xxi
1. Introduction and Commercial Drivers.....	23
2. Current Horticultural Harvest Robotics .....	29
2.1 An Orange Harvester.....	30
2.2 A Robotic Apple Harvester .....	33
2.3 The Robotic Melon Harvester .....	34
2.4 An Autonomous Cucumber Picking Robot.....	36
2.5 Strawberry Harvesting Robot .....	39
2.6 Conclusion.....	40
3. System Overview and Specifications .....	41
4. System Development.....	49
4.1 Fruit Identification and Localisation.....	49
4.2 Fruit Extraction.....	50
4.3 Fruit Handling.....	50
4.4 System Locomotion and Power Supply .....	51
4.5 Section Implementation.....	53



5.	Fruit Identification and Localisation to Achieve Robotic Extraction.....	55
5.1	Review of Fruit Identification Systems.....	57
5.1.1	Review Conclusions.....	60
5.2	Stereo Machine Vision Fruit Identification and Localisation.....	62
5.2.1	Kiwifruit Identification.....	63
5.2.2	Fruit Identification – Testing and Results .....	70
5.2.3	Stereoscopic Depth Perception .....	74
5.2.4	Stereoscopic Depth Perception – Testing and Results .....	80
5.2.5	Conclusions: Stereo Machine Vision.....	81
5.3	Hand-Eye Coordination.....	83
5.3.1	Calibrating Hand-Eye Coordination.....	84
5.3.2	Calibration Ring Position .....	85
5.3.3	Reconciliation of Multiple Coordinate Spaces .....	88
5.4	Testing and Results.....	90
5.5	Section Conclusions .....	91
6.	Robotic Manipulation.....	93
6.1	Robotic Arm Design Features .....	97
6.1.1	Stepping to Position .....	98
6.1.2	Stepper Motor Control.....	98
6.1.3	Backlash Minimisation .....	100
6.1.4	Hall Effect Sensor Position Feedback.....	101

6.2	The Harvesting Arm.....	103
6.2.1	A Review of Fruit Harvesting End-Effectors .....	105
6.2.2	End-Effector Development.....	110
6.2.3	Fruit Extracting Hand .....	114
6.2.4	Harvesting Arm Kinematics .....	115
6.2.5	Custom Picking Trajectory: The ‘U-Move’ .....	117
6.2.6	Fruit Extraction Scheduling.....	118
6.2.7	Arm-Arm Collision Detection .....	120
6.2.8	Testing and Results.....	123
6.3	Robotic Storage Bin Filling Arm for Fruit Handling.....	127
6.3.1	Depositing Arm and Placement Conveyor .....	127
6.3.2	Power Transmission to Axes’ .....	129
6.3.3	Fruit Detection Antennae .....	131
6.3.4	Depositing Arm Kinematics .....	131
6.3.5	Testing and Results.....	135
6.4	Fruit Handling Analysis .....	137
6.4.1	Methodology .....	137
6.4.2	Results .....	138
6.4.3	Conclusion .....	140
7.	Autonomous Transportation Platform .....	143
7.1	Chassis and Power Plant Overview.....	146

7.2	ATP Locomotion and Control .....	149
7.2.1	Steering Geometry Calculation .....	151
7.2.2	Hydraulic Solenoid PID Control .....	152
7.2.3	Traction Control.....	153
7.2.4	Chassis Manoeuvres .....	155
7.3	Review of Autonomous Navigation Systems .....	162
7.3.1	Relative Positioning.....	163
7.3.2	Absolute Positioning.....	175
7.3.3	Conclusions.....	176
7.4	Machine Vision Navigation.....	178
7.4.1	Post Identification .....	179
7.4.2	Development Conclusions.....	184
7.5	Navigation using LIDAR, Machine Vision and a Compass.....	186
7.5.1	Orchard Row Navigation using LIDAR.....	189
7.5.2	Row-to-Row Transition .....	194
7.5.3	Fruit Storage Bin Detection .....	200
7.5.4	Alignment of LIDAR, Machine Vision and ATP Coordinates.....	204
7.5.5	Calibrating Alignment Variables between ATP, LIDAR and Machine Vision.....	206
7.6	Greater Orchard Navigation.....	212
7.7	Testing and Results.....	213
7.7.1	ATP Hydraulic Drive .....	213

7.7.2	LIDAR Orchard Navigation .....	214
7.7.3	LIDAR Storage Bin Localisation .....	217
7.7.4	LIDAR/Machine Vision Alignment .....	219
7.8	Conclusion and Future Work.....	220
8.	AKH: The Overall System .....	223
8.1	Results .....	223
8.2	Conclusions and Future Work .....	229
9.	Appendices .....	235
9.1	Operational and Analysis Videos.....	235
9.1.1	Autonomous Kiwifruit Harvester Operational Video.....	235
9.1.2	Created Here Video .....	235
9.1.3	LIDAR Navigation Video .....	235
9.1.4	LIDAR/Machine Vision Navigation Video .....	235
9.1.5	LIDAR Bin Localisation Video .....	236
9.2	Fruit Handling Analysis .....	237
10.	References.....	242

# List of Figures

Figure 1-1: An aerial photograph of several kiwifruit canopy areas showing some typical orchard layouts and structures – Image extracted from maps.google.co.nz .....	25
Figure 1-2: Kiwifruit pergola growing system. Photograph captured in-row .....	28
Figure 2-1 The first Prototype Orange Harvester (Muscato, et al., 2005) .....	30
Figure 2-2 The second prototype orange harvester (Reece, et al., 1996) .....	31
Figure 2-3 The current orange harvester configuration (Muscato, et al., 2005) .....	32
Figure 2-4 Robotic Apple Harvester (Baeten, et al., 2007) .....	34
Figure 2-5 Robotic melon harvester (Edan, et al., 2000) .....	35
Figure 2-6 Cucumber harvesting robot (Van Henten, et al., 2003) .....	38
Figure 2-7: Robotic Harvesting LLC strawberry harvesting robot. Image from (Rose, 2010). ...	39
Figure 3-1: Autonomous kiwifruit harvester conceptual system schematic .....	43
Figure 5-1: A typical kiwifruit canopy with fruit hanging. Note: lighting conditions and fruit occlusion .....	56
Figure 5-2 Image processing to locate the oranges in an image (Muscato, et al., 2005) .....	58
Figure 5-3 Range/reflectance imaging by (Jimenez, et al., 1997). (Left) distance image, (Mid) reflectance image, (Right) detected fruit overlapping the range information.....	60
Figure 5-4: Expanded image from Figure 5-5.....	63
Figure 5-5: Stereo pair of images captured for finding fruit. Note the search area in the right hand image (inside black box).....	63
Figure 5-6: The result of segmentation to the operating envelope in Figure 5-5.....	64
Figure 5-7: Result of Segmentation and Edge Detection .....	64

Figure 5-8: The search template (shown as a blue circle) at a positive fruit identification point	65
Figure 5-9: Identified ‘fruit’ from clustering the data ‘hits’. Note the two false positives (1), three missed (2) and one partially occluded (3) fruit.....	66
Figure 5-10: Result of clustering the data points. The different coloured points represent different clusters.....	66
Figure 5-11: Stereo fruit matching. Result from finding the right hand fruit in the left image. The yellow dots, right hand image, are required to correspond to the same position in the left hand image. ....	67
Figure 5-12: The located fruit. Note the two false positives have been excluded (blue rings denotes point rejection in right hand image). ....	67
Figure 5-13: Stereo image pairs. Top Pair - demonstrates issues arising from occlusions as fruit are visible in only one camera. The vision system is required to handle these scenarios. Bottom Pair – The result from computer analysis. ....	69
Figure 5-14: Canopy image showing the variation in illumination from natural lighting effects. Note the difference in fruit appearance across the image. ....	70
Figure 5-15: Average fruit identification results - Machine vision (MV, Table 1) and Estimated rates (Est., Table 2) accounting for fruit removal with standard deviation bars shown. ....	73
Figure 5-16: Stereo camera geometry for canonical camera configuration.....	74
Figure 5-17: Pinhole camera geometry looking at the Z-Y plane.....	75
Figure 5-18: Interocular Distance Interaction, assuming idealised camera alignment.....	78
Figure 5-19: Distance/Disparity relationship for a given Interocular Distance (assuming perfect camera alignment) .....	78
Figure 5-20: Distance determination error from an error in matching the objects position in the second stereo image. Interocular distance of approximately 150mm.....	82
Figure 5-21: Calibration ring CAD image used for camera/robotic arm coordinate space alignment .....	83

Figure 5-22: Hand-Eye coordination: Camera to robot coordinate space alignment .....	85
Figure 5-23: Linear interpolation to achieve sub-pixel accurate edge positioning .....	86
Figure 5-24: Ellipse nomenclature .....	87
Figure 5-25: Hand-eye coordination calibration disc analysed images .....	87
Figure 5-26: Pan view of robotic arms'. Note the overlapping operational envelope .....	88
Figure 5-27: Directed graphic of the process used to reconcile the robotic arm coordinate spaces (viewed from above) .....	89
Figure 6-1: Typical stepper motor performance curve and motion control strategies .....	99
Figure 6-2 Spur gear preloading arrangement to eliminate backlash. The pinion rotates about point 'A'. .....	100
Figure 6-3: Parallel linkage maintaining a vertical hand throughout range of motion.....	102
Figure 6-4: Robotic arm axis nomenclature.....	103
Figure 6-5: Functional layout and description of the robotic harvesting arm .....	104
Figure 6-6: Apple harvesting robot silicone suction cup hand (Baeten, et al., 2007). .....	105
Figure 6-7: Helical citrus hand, (Muscato, et al., 2005) .....	106
Figure 6-8: Three fingered hand for citrus with micro-saw stem cutting, (Muscato, et al., 2005). .....	106
Figure 6-9: Orange harvesting hand – view from front (Muscato, et al., 2005) .....	107
Figure 6-10: Hand implemented on the latest prototype harvester, (Muscato, et al., 2005). ....	107
Figure 6-11: Hand for harvesting cucumbers .....	108
Figure 6-12: Experimental citrus hand (Hayashi and Ueda, 1991) .....	108
Figure 6-13 Operation of the experimental citrus hand (Hayashi and Ueda, 1991) .....	108

Figure 6-14 Pneumatic three fingered orange harvesting hand, developed at Kyoto University (Sarig, 1993).....	109
Figure 6-15: The first hand (isometric and side views). A - fruit rotation point, B – Clasping pneumatic rotary actuator and C – Fruit rotation pneumatic cylinder.....	110
Figure 6-16: Third hand development, with the first four bar linkage implementation.....	111
Figure 6-17: Hand Fruit Extraction Motion Path.....	112
Figure 6-18: Left: Image of the robotic arm mounted on the chassis in the harvesting position. Right: Image of the hand and arm assembly showing all of the external components and linkages.....	113
Figure 6-19: Demonstrates harvesting end-effector picking motion .....	114
Figure 6-20: Diagram of the functional operation of the harvesting hand.....	114
Figure 6-21: Harvesting Manipulator Kinematic Nomenclature .....	117
Figure 6-22: U-Move custom trajectory .....	118
Figure 6-23: Fruit cluster harvesting order. Black rings define cluster grouping. The red circles show the first fruit to be harvested in each group. ....	119
Figure 6-24: Fruit extraction ordering using cluster banding.....	119
Figure 6-25: Robotic arm geometric layout: x-y plane.....	120
Figure 6-26: False positive collision area.....	120
Figure 6-27: Adjacent arm collision detection method. Left – safe operational condition; Right – a collision will occur if this move is performed .....	121
Figure 6-28: Defining ‘keep-out’ areas.....	122
Figure 6-29: CAD model of the robotic harvesting arm.....	126
Figure 6-30: Cross-section of the robotic depositing arm. The chains path is shown in green, with tensioning arm (pink) and spring force $F_s$ .....	127



Figure 6-31 Depositing arm shown without its top fruit retaining enclosure.....	128
Figure 6-32: Cross-section of the transverse slide system. Stainless steel square hollow section shown as green (endplate also green). Note bearing contacting outer edge.....	129
Figure 6-33: Axis One split belt drive .....	129
Figure 6-34: Bin filling manipulator drive .....	130
Figure 6-35: Stepper motor power transmission to axes' layout.....	130
Figure 6-36: Fruit detection sensor arrangement.....	131
Figure 6-37: Bin filling arm kinematic nomenclature.....	132
Figure 6-38: Left: Depositing arm. Right Top: Gear and pinion drive for power transmission to axis two. Right Bottom: Feed chute to depositing arm.....	134
Figure 6-39: Modified bin filler arm design .....	135
Figure 6-40: The bin filling arm on the AKH on-orchard.....	136
Figure 6-41: Total damage percentages across all man and machine processes .....	138
Figure 6-42: Accumulated fruit damage as at the final test (06 October 2010).....	139
Figure 6-43: The AKH on-orchard .....	142
Figure 7-1: Chassis during construction, pre finishing .....	146
Figure 7-2: (Left) Rear wheel and drive assembly, (right) Front wheel trunnion and steering assembly.....	147
Figure 7-3: Assembled chassis after powder coating.....	148
Figure 7-4: Simplified hydraulic drive system schematic.....	149
Figure 7-5: Chassis nomenclature for coordinates of motion (as viewed from above).....	150
Figure 7-6: Steering Geometry Calculation.....	151

Figure 7-7: Solenoid valve fluid flow characteristics for an applied current (Robert, 2006) .....	152
Figure 7-8: Depicts the functional layout for the calculation of individual wheel speed with respect to set point speed .....	154
Figure 7-9: Shows the five possible chassis manoeuvres. A – Positive intercept serpentine, B – Single curve, C – Negative offset serpentine, D – Double back single curve, E – Double back serpentine. ....	155
Figure 7-10: Positive Intercept Serpentine calculation (from Figure 7-9 – A).....	156
Figure 7-11: Single Curve path calculation (from Figure 7-9 – B) .....	157
Figure 7-12: Negative Intercept Serpentine (from Figure 7-9) path calculation.....	159
Figure 7-13: Double Back Single Curve path calculation (from Figure 7-9, D) .....	159
Figure 7-14: Double Back Serpentine path calculation (from Figure 7-9, E) .....	160
Figure 7-15: showing the three point turn manoeuvre. Note that traversal C – D is a reverse section .....	161
Figure 7-16: Stanley, the 2006 DARPA Grand Challenge winner .....	162
Figure 7-17: Schematic of the Willrodt tractor steering system (Willrodt, 1930) .....	163
Figure 7-18: The Demeter System for visual navigation. The erroneous points from the tractor’s shadow are shown (Pilarski, et al., 2002).....	164
Figure 7-19: Sogaards method of horizontal banding to find the plants location (Sogaard and Olsen, 2003).....	165
Figure 7-20: Band extraction and application of centre of mass determination for localisation of plants in row (Sogaard and Olsen, 2003) .....	166
Figure 7-21: RASCAL (Kogler, et al., 2006) .....	167
Figure 7-22: Three-dimensional point cloud from stereo vision (Rovira-Mas, et al., 2009) .....	168

Figure 7-23: Contour detection for tree location. Green and blue lines indicate polarity (Huertas, et al., 2005).....	170
Figure 7-24: The Amex 3D position determination (Holmqvist, 1993) .....	171
Figure 7-25: Actual captured data points from the LIDAR scanner of the on orchard environment from (Barawid, et al., 2007) .....	173
Figure 7-26: An orchard row as observed from the on-board navigation cameras (cropped to show relevant image section).....	179
Figure 7-27: Pixel view of the template points used for edge detection. This instance coincides to a post edge producing a positive edge correlation at point (i, j). The red and yellow points represent the template points to coincide with each side of the edge. ....	180
Figure 7-28: Resulting identified points (purple) from iteratively applying the template mask in Figure 7-27.....	181
Figure 7-29: Result from applying a Hough Transform to the data set in Figure 7-28.....	182
Figure 7-30: An example of a post mostly indistinguishable from the background scene. ....	183
Figure 7-31: Edge identification from Sobel operator – where vertically spanning clusters of a targeted size are identified and drawn. Image converted to black and white to emphasise results. ....	183
Figure 7-32: Sequential row posts difficult to distinguish against background scene.....	184
Figure 7-33: Example of the variations in on orchard illumination. Note the diminished edge contrast in low illumination areas or where the background is a similar colour to the posts...	185
Figure 7-34: Adjacent row to image above – opposite direction of travel. Note the indistinguishable posts hidden in the shadowing to the left as well as the post occluded by the kiwifruit vine (right).....	185
Figure 7-35: Shadowing, background colouring and vine placement (next to posts) make post identification difficult for a robust implementation.....	185
Figure 7-36: LIDAR measuring principle (Sensors, 2008) .....	186

Figure 7-37: Dual LIDAR configuration for the ATP.....	187
Figure 7-38: Example LIDAR scan using a single, forward-facing scanner. Note the small clusters resembling posts and trunks. A hedgerow is detected (far right). The outer circle (blue) is radially 20m from centre.....	188
Figure 7-39: Row drive interpretation stages (a section of a complete scan showing relevant information). 1 – Raw scan with compass heading projection (purple line) and current heading (light blue), 2 – Row information identification, 3 – Small blue circles define the row’s centre, at that point in the row, 4 – Row drive path fit to row information (Teal). Outer concentric arc is radially 20m from centre.....	190
Figure 7-40: Dual LIDAR configuration for reducing gradient change effects for on-orchard navigation .....	192
Figure 7-41: PID control variables determination .....	192
Figure 7-42: Row-to-Row transition procedure .....	194
Figure 7-43: Method to work out the end of row position on the driveline ( $A_A$ ).....	195
Figure 7-44: End-of-row turn, centre of arc calculation ( $x_C, y_C$ ).....	197
Figure 7-45: Row transition collision avoidance. Refer to Figure 7-42 for additional detail.....	198
Figure 7-46: Calculation of the data point angle, $\Phi_P$ . Elaborated from Figure 7-45.....	199
Figure 7-47: On orchard LIDAR data scans: 1 – Unanalysed scan, 2 – Lines from Hough Transforms on the identified groups (lower line later rejected) and 3 – the determined bins position (bin profile drawn in green). .....	201
Figure 7-48: Hough Space plots for a cluster of data points representing a fruit storage bin. Note the two peaks 90 degrees apart correlating to the two bin edges visible to the LIDAR scanner. ....	201
Figure 7-49: LIDAR data point position with a diverging laser beam. Note the data points position on the partially incident object.....	202

Figure 7-50: Rotational offsets between LIDAR and Machine vision coordinated spaces. A – Rotation ( $\sigma$ ), B – Tilt ( $\theta$ ) and C – Pan ( $\rho$ ) .....	205
Figure 7-51: LIDAR to chassis space calibration. Note that the LIDAR scanners have overlapping operational areas. Calibration boards shown as brown rectangles. ....	207
Figure 7-52: Computation of theoretical radial distance of calibration device (b) (from Figure 7-51) .....	208
Figure 7-53: Machine Vision analysed image of the calibration board. Defined boards centre (blue lines and circle).....	209
Figure 7-54: Infrared image of the LIDAR laser line on calibration board. ....	210
Figure 7-55: Photo of an orchard row .....	211
Figure 7-56 Schematic layout for GPS navigation.....	212
Figure 7-57: Two consecutive LIDAR scans showing occlusion hindering correct classification (left). The LIDAR scanner is positioned in the lower left hand edge of each scan image.....	216
Figure 7-58: LIDAR navigation misclassified data points (purple points, left) correlating to a neighbouring post and vine. ....	217
Figure 7-59: LIDAR second-pulse technology.....	219
Figure 7-60: LIDAR determined row information superimposed onto a corresponding in-row image. The red circles represent the laser beams size and incident position at detection distance, where the green circles are the centre of the LIDAR data cluster, used to determine the driveline (superimposed in darker green) .....	221
Figure 7-61: The AKH on-orchard .....	222
Figure 8-1: Plan view of multi-camera setup. Green lines represent possible stereo camera pairing, where C1 – C4 are cameras .....	228
Figure 8-2: The ATP chassis, during early development.....	234

# List of Tables

Table 1: Fruit identification results using machine vision .....	71
Table 2: Estimated fruit identification results using machine vision. Achieved by using a theoretical fruit removal process.....	72
Table 3: Man versus Machine comparable tasks .....	137
Table 4: Results from in-row object classification using LIDAR information.....	215
Table 5: LIDAR bin localisation results.....	218
Table 6: Raw fruit analysis data provided by Mount Pack and Cool Ltd for evaluation.....	237
Table 7: Accumulated fruit analysis data .....	238
Table 8: Fruit Damage Analysis 20/07/2010 .....	239
Table 9: Fruit Damage Analysis 05/08/2010 .....	239
Table 10: Fruit Damage Analysis 18/08/2010 .....	240
Table 11: Fruit Damage Analysis 08/09/2010 .....	240
Table 12: Fruit Damage Analysis 22/09/2010 .....	241
Table 13: Fruit Damage Analysis 06/10/2010 .....	241



Worker harvesting kiwifruit from a T-bar growing system. Photo courtesy of Plus Group Horticulture.