

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**EFFECTS OF BODY SIZE
ON FOOD CONVERSION EFFICIENCY
IN DAIRY COWS**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree of Master of Agricultural
Science in Animal Science at
Massey University
New Zealand

BHUVANESHWAR SHARMA

1993

ABSTRACT

The present experiment was designed with the broad objective of examining the importance of differences in body size amongst high producing cows, on their efficiency (FE) of producing milk. For the purpose, a total of 30 multiparous cows (22 Friesians and 8 Jerseys) with similar milkfat (MF) yields were selected from the Dairy Cattle Research Unit at Massey University. Body weight (BW) of the cows ranged between 310 and 565 kg and they were 4-7 years old. Whilst no account of the calving dates was taken, most of the cows were in early to mid lactation. The experiment was divided into two parts- a 25 day grazing period and an 18 day indoor feeding period. During the grazing trial, cows were divided into three "size" groups- large, medium and small, 10 cows in each group (8 Jerseys in the "small" group), but during indoor feeding 11 Friesians and 5 Jerseys were used. The three groups of cows were offered a generous daily herbage allowance and grazed side by side in separate parts of a paddock. The herbage fed during the grazing and indoor feeding periods consisted of a mixture of high quality perennial ryegrass and white clover and representative samples were taken for in vitro digestibility determination. The daily faecal outputs, and then dry matter (DM) intakes, were estimated using gelatin pills and controlled release capsules (CRC) containing chromic oxide (Cr_2O_3). Milk yield and composition, BW and condition score change were measured during both experiments. The effects of body size (BW, wither height and chest depth) and breed (and Friesians and Jerseys combined) on intake, production and FE were studied. Energy in milk (NE milk) was estimated from milk composition and FE was then calculated as milk energy produced divided by total ME consumed. Finally using the General Linear Models procedure of the SAS statistical packet, analysis of variance, correlations, and multiple regression analyses were carried out. Intakes during the outdoor experiment were estimated from faecal outputs. Their accuracy, however, may have been reduced by insufficient sampling over the day in the case of the period during which gelatin capsules were administered, and because of the loss of

capsules from some cows or underestimation of intakes during the CRC feeding period. The difference in the mean BW between large- medium and medium-small size groups was 80 kg in both cases. The medium group (Friesians) ate 3.9% less DM, produced 2.8% less NE milk and were 2.6% more feed efficient than the large group (Friesians); the small group (mainly Jerseys) ate 13.4% less DM, produced 8.7% less NE milk than the medium ones and were 5.1% more efficient. Friesian cows were 114-127 kg heavier than Jerseys and ate 13.5-20.5% more DM, produced 5.7-8.4% more NE milk but were 5-15% less feed efficient than the Jerseys (outdoors and indoors). Cows which were taller or had greater chest depths had higher levels of intake, and only height was related to production (except MF-in combined data). Further details and the statistical significance of these relationships are summarized below.

1) Although all the cows produced relatively similar amounts of daily MF, NE milk was influenced by BW. This relationship was also affected by breed effects in that the larger cows were Friesians and they tended to produce more protein and lactose than the Jerseys.

2) During the outdoor period, DM intake was positively related to $LW^{0.75}$ in Friesians, and in the combined data all measures of size were significantly correlated with DM intake (and the highest correlation was obtained with $LW^{0.75}$). Similar significant relationships between DM intake and $LW^{0.75}$ were apparent in the multiple regression analyses. During indoor feeding, DM intake was again related significantly to $LW^{0.75}$ although the relationship was not apparent amongst the smaller number of Friesians used.

3) DM intake and NE milk production were strongly related during the indoor experiment but not during the outdoor experiment. DM intake was also significantly associated with liveweight gain (LWG) in the outdoor experiment (from the multiple regression analyses) but not in the indoor experiment.

4) FE was significantly higher for Jerseys than Friesians in the indoor experiment and during period 2 of the outdoor experiment. FE was highly correlated (positively) with NE milk within breeds and overall (0.2-0.4% MJ from regression coefficients), especially during the outdoor experiment (0.3-0.4%/MJ). Increasing $LW^{0.75}$ reduced FE significantly (0.2-0.3%/kg $LW^{0.75}$) in both outdoor and indoor experiments.

5) The grazing cows gained weight during the experiment and this significantly reduced the FE of the cows (6.1-7.3%/kg LWG from the regression analyses).

6) The multiple regression relationships between ME intake and $LW^{0.75}$ (maintenance), production and LWG provided partial regression coefficients as follows:

Maintenance : 0.89 (indoors)-1.40 (outdoors) MJME/kg^{0.75}

Production : 0.80 (outdoors)-1.76 (indoors) MJME/MJ NE milk

LWG : 33-40 (outdoors) MJME/kg LWG

These values showed variation when alternative analytical procedures were used. Estimated coefficients differed from published data mainly in respect to the higher values for maintenance requirements (ME_m).

The significance of these results are discussed and it was concluded that dairy cows in New Zealand should be chosen on the basis of an index which allows for differences in body weight as well as production.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The present work became more easier due to a lot of contributions, and without the inspiration and assistance of many people, this project would have not attained the present form and shape.

I am extremely grateful to my supervisor Dr. G.F. Wilson for his persistent guidance, enthusiasm and encouragement. My profound gratitude is also extended to Prof. C.W. Holmes whose technical hand, inspiration, perservarance and co-ordination turned the entire work a zest.

I would like to express my gratefulness to Dr. P. Farhoumand for his fruitful assistance and zeal throughout the research work. My sincere thanks are due to W. Kuperus who offered a great deal of technical help, and co-operation during data analyses.

Members of Animal Science Department are greatfully acknowledged for their ever lasting support, interest and rejoice and all the post graduate students for their assistance and co-operation. Many thanks are due to J. Purchas, M. Chesterfield, B. Chesterfield and B. Parlane for their experimental and husbandary assistance. I like to express my thankfulness to laboratory personnels for their help with milk and chemical analyses.

I am deeply indepted to the Government of Nepal in nominating me and New Zealand Government in assisting my welfare and financial contribution for a Master's Degree Course.

Finally, I wish to express my special acknowledgements to my wife and children for their understanding and patience, and to my brothers who had to take care of my children while I was away from home.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
ABSTRACT.....	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	iv
LIST OF TABLES.....	viii
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xi
LIST OF APPENDICES	xii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	xiii
1 INTRODUCTION	1
2 LITERATURE REVIEW.....	3
2.1 Estimation of Herbage Intake.....	3
2.1.1 Direct Measurement of Pasture Intake.....	3
2.1.2 Indirect Measurement of Pasture Intake.....	4
2.2 Energy Requirements for Grazing Dairy Cattle.....	9
2.2.1 Maintenance Requirements.....	9
2.2.2 ME for Liveweight Gain.....	13
2.2.3 ME for Milk Production.....	15
2.3 Partition of Energy.....	17
2.4 Liveweight Change.....	17
2.4.1 Milk Production and Liveweight Change under Different Feeding Systems.....	18
2.5 Condition Score and Production.....	23
2.5.1 Measurement of Condition Score.....	23
2.5.2 Importance of High Condition Score at Calving.....	24
2.6 Influence of Body Size on Milk Production.....	24
2.6.1 Definition of Body Size.....	24
2.6.2 Body Weight and Production.....	25
2.6.3 Body Conformation and Production.....	27
2.6.4 Biological Effects of Body Size.....	29
2.7 Effects of Age on Milk Production.....	30

2.8	Efficiency of Dairy Farming and Dairy Cows.....	31
2.8.1	Measurement of Efficiency.....	31
2.8.2	Relationship between Feed Intake, Body Size and Efficiency.....	32
3	MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	38
3.1	Outdoor Period.....	38
3.1.1	Animals and Management.....	38
3.1.2	Measurements and Calculations.....	39
3.1.3	Milk Yield and Composition.....	39
3.1.4	Size and Weight (and Change in Weight).....	39
3.1.5	Herbage Sampling and Analysis.....	40
3.1.6	Feed Intake.....	40
3.1.7	Liveweight Change.....	41
3.1.8	Condition Score Difference.....	41
3.1.9	Dry Matter Intake.....	41
3.1.10	Energy in Milk.....	42
3.1.11	Feed Efficiency.....	43
3.2	Indoor Period.....	43
3.2.1	Animals and Management.....	43
3.2.2	Feeding and Feed Intake.....	43
3.2.3	Liveweight, Milk Yield and Condition Scoring.....	44
3.2.4	Measurements and Calculations.....	44
3.2.5	Dry Matter Intake.....	44
3.2.6	Statistical Analyses.....	45
4	RESULTS.....	47
4.1	Outdoor Period.....	47
4.1.1	Introduction.....	47
4.1.2	Pasture Herbage Description and Composition.....	47
4.1.3	Intake Estimations.....	48
4.1.4	Breed Comparisons.....	48
4.1.5	Group Comparisons.....	51
4.1.6	Coefficients of Correlation between Different Traits.....	51

4.1.7	Body Conformation, Intake and Production.....	53
4.1.8	Factors affecting Feed Intake and Efficiency.....	60
4.2	Indoor Period.....	61
4.2.1	Herbage Measurements.....	61
4.2.2	Release of Chromic Oxide in the Faeces.....	62
4.2.3	Breed Comparisons.....	64
4.2.4	Coefficients of Correlation between Different Traits.....	65
4.2.5	Factors affecting Feed Intake and Efficiency.....	67
4.2.6	Comparative Results of Outdoor and Indoor Experiments for the Determination of MEI.....	71
5	DISCUSSION.....	74
5.1	Reliability of Intake Estimates using Chromic Oxide.....	74
5.2	Factors affecting ME Intake of Lactating Cows.....	75
5.2.1	ME for Maintenance.....	75
5.2.2	ME for Milk Production.....	77
5.2.3	ME for Liveweight Change.....	77
5.3	Intake and Production Differences between Breeds.....	78
5.3.1	Intake.....	78
5.3.2	Production.....	78
5.4	Effects of Body Size on Overall Efficiency.....	80
5.4.1	Significance of Gross and Net Efficiency.....	80
5.4.2	Breed Comparisons.....	80
5.4.3	Group Comparisons.....	81
5.5	Relationships between Intake, Yield and Efficiency.....	82
5.6	Efficiency, Metabolic Liveweight and Liveweight Change.....	83
6	FINAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS.....	85
	REFERENCES.....	89
	APPENDICES.....	102

LIST OF TABLES

		PAGE
Table 2.1	Estimates of fasting metabolism of weaned <i>Bos taurus</i> female and castrate cattle at various liveweights and ages (years) calculated with generalized equation and of their minimal metabolism predicted with an equation of ARC (1980)(MJ/d)	11
Table 2.2	ME requirements (MJ/d) of cattle for maintenance and growth- Bullocks of breeds of large mature size (ARC)- activity allowance included.....	14
Table 2.3	ME requirements of British Friesian and Jersey cows (MJ/d)- activity allowance included.....	14
Table 2.4	ME requirements for milk synthesis.....	16
Table 2.5	Average intake, FCM production and liveweight at <i>ad lib</i> and restricted feeding levels during balance periods.....	21
Table 2.6	Correlations between milk yield and body size.....	28
Table 2.7	Correlations between dairy efficiency and body size.....	36
Table 4.1	Composition of pasture herbage consumed by experimental cows (Outdoor Period).....	48
Table 4.2	Means and standard deviations of the traits for Jerseys and Friesians (Outdoor Period).....	49

Table 4.3	Means and standard deviations of the traits for Jerseys and Friesians (combined)(Outdoor Period).....	50
Table 4.4	Correlation coefficients between body size, production and FE for Friesian and Jersey cows (Outdoor Period).....	52
Table 4.5	Correlation coefficients between body size, production and FE for Jerseys and Friesians (combined)(Outdoor Period).....	53
Table 4.6	Factors affecting feed intake and efficiency within Friesian and Jersey cows: Partial regression coefficients (Outdoor Period).....	57
Table 4.7	Factors affecting feed intake and efficiency within Friesian and Jersey cows: Partial regression coefficients (Outdoor Period)(With zero regression constant).....	58
Table 4.8	Factors affecting feed intake and efficiency for Friesian and Jersey cows (combined): Partial regression coefficients (Outdoor Period).....	59
Table 4.9	Composition of pasture consumed by experimental cows (Indoor Period).....	62
Table 4.10	Mean chromium concentration in the faeces taken at three different times of the day (Indoor Period).....	62
Table 4.11	Means and standard deviations of the traits for Jerseys and Friesians (Indoor Period).....	63

Table 4.12 Means and standard deviations of the traits for Friesians and Jerseys (combined) (Indoor Period).....	64
Table 4.13 Correlation coefficients between different traits for Jersey and Friesian cows (Indoor Period).....	66
Table 4.14 Correlation coefficients between different traits for Jersey and Friesian cows (combined)(Indoor Period).....	67
Table 4.15 Factors affecting feed intake and efficiency within Friesian and Jersey cows: Partial regression coefficients (Indoor Period).....	68
Table 4.16 Factors affecting feed intake and efficiency for Friesian and Jersey cows (combined): Partial regression coefficients (Indoor Period).....	69
Table 4.17 Comparative results of multiple regression analyses between Outdoor and Indoor experiments for determination of MEI.....	70
Table 5.1 Estimation of gross efficiency.....	84

LIST OF FIGURES

	PAGE
Figure 2.1 Changes in milk yield, body weight and appetite of dairy cows throughout the lactation cycle (From Haresign, 1979).....	22
Figure 4.1 Relationship between milk energy and feed efficiency within Jersey cows (Outdoor Period).....	54
Figure 4.2 Relationship between milk energy and metabolic bodyweight within Jersey cows (Outdoor Period).....	55
Figure 4.3 Relationship between milk energy and feed efficiency within Friesian cows (Outdoor Period).....	56
Figure 4.4 Relationship between dry matter intake and production within Friesian cows (Indoor Period).....	72
Figure 4.5 Relationship between dry matter intake and production for Friesian and Jersey cows (combined) (Indoor Period).....	73

LIST OF APPENDICES

	Page
Appendix 1 Experimental Plan (Outdoor Period).....	102
Appendix 2 Experimental Plan (Indoor Period).....	103
Appendix 3 Means and standard deviations of the traits for three size groups of cows (Outdoor Period).....	104
Appendix 4 Factors affecting feed intake and efficiency within large, medium and small groups of cows : Partial regression coefficients (Outdoor Period).....	106
Appendix 5 Factors affecting feed intake and efficiency within large, medium and small groups of cows : Partial regression coefficients (with zero regression constant) (Outdoor Period).....	108

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Admin = Administration

BW = Body weight

CP = Crude protein

CRC = Controlled release capsule

Cr₂O₃ = Chromic oxide

Cr = Chromium

d = Day

DE = Digestible energy

DM = Dry matter

DMD = Dry matter digestibility

DMI = Dry matter intake

FCM = Fat corrected milk

FE = Feed efficiency

FM = Fasting metabolism

g = Gram

GE = Gross energy

ha = Hectare

Ht = Height

k = Efficiency of metabolisable energy utilization

k_g = Efficiency of metabolisable energy utilization for LWG

k_l = Efficiency of metabolisable energy utilization for milk production

k_m = Efficiency of metabolisable energy utilization for maintenance

LW = Liveweight

LW^{0.75} = Metabolic body weight

LWC = Liveweight change

LWG = Liveweight gain

MD = Energy density of feed

ME = Metabolisable energy

MEI = Metabolisable energy intake

ME_m = Metabolisable energy for maintenance

MF = Milk fat

MJ = Megajoules

NE = Net energy

NE milk = Energy content of milk (or milk energy)

OMD = Organic matter digestibility

Pr = Protein

Reg = Regression

SNF = Solid not fat

W = Weight