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ABSTRACT

This first comprehensive study of New Zealand news media plagiarism proceeds from the observation that plagiarism, if not demonstrably increasing, is more common than many practitioners would care to believe. It affirms that, contrary to conventional opinion, plagiarism cannot be understood or dismissed simply or entirely as the product of dishonest or lazy journalists. The study findings support indications of an underlying culture of copying within news media organisations—a professional ideology encouraging, if not overtly justifying, copying, and discouraging clear authorship attribution.

The findings emanate from responses to a survey distributed to all New Zealand’s journalists, followed by in-depth interviews with five journalists identified as having personal experience with aspects of the practice identified in the survey, and a sixth with a journalist against whom a complaint of plagiarism was upheld by watchdog body, the NZ Press Council. The research analysed the just four complaints related to plagiarism brought before the Press Council since its 1972 inception, as well as another five much-publicised examples of the practice written about in the news media, to the present day. Of the nine cases examined, three reflected the most serious type of dishonesty associated with Jayson Blair of the New York Times—calculated theft of words as well as outright interview fabrication. The others can be categorised in a perceived less blameworthy variety of plagiarism, bedevilled by confusion of terms and newsroom pressures. But because much run-of-the-mill plagiarism is likely to have gone unrecorded and unnoticed beyond the newsroom involved, the true extent of any sort of plagiarism here could not be judged.

What was possible in this research, was to gauge a sense of prevalence by asking working journalists not of their own sins, but of their experience of being plagiarised by others. Suddenly the numbers of plagiarism cases rocketed, not in a usefully quantifiable way, but clearly demonstrative of an extent sufficient to warrant analysis of nature and origin. These experiences were set against an American model that identified four antecedents of plagiarism behaviour, two individual—journalistic rationalising of dishonesty and problematic techniques—and two situational—definitional ambiguity and reporter aversion to attribution. What became clear in these analyses was that, though all news media organisations view plagiarism very seriously, few if any acknowledge their own role in perpetrating the practice, that journalism is an industry that proceeds from an ideology of matching and copying.
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This thesis is dedicated to the many people who have helped me stick with my study during a busy teaching year: my ever-helpful supervisors Dr Margie Comrie and Dr Elizabeth Gray, Journalism School head Dr Grant Hannis, as well as the rest of a Department of Communication, Journalism and Marketing team in Wellington and Palmerston North that never fails to be supportive. Cathy Strong gave me a final necessary nudge; Christine Morrison absolutely saved my bacon with formatting! Special gratitude must go to friends Dale Pfeifer, who—over numerous coffees—as well as giving invaluable advice, kept me believing an end was possible, and Ali Tocker, who has always been there for me. Thanks too to Greg Stutchbury, who sporadically prodded me to keep me on task, and the journalists who gave so freely of their time to speak of their experiences. I am also grateful to my brother Ken, whose Skypes from China I came to rely on and, most of all, my son Alastair who makes sense of it all. A final thank you to New Zealand Press Council colleagues who have kept me thinking—and arguing—constantly about ethical issues regarding journalism, particularly Mary Major, who provided me with access to old Press Council reports and minutes; and to the growing band of recent and not-so-recent students of Massey’s Graduate Diploma in Journalism course who have kept me constantly on my toes.
Table of Contents

Abstract ................................................................................................................................. ii
Acknowledgements ............................................................................................................... iii

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1. Study Justification. ..................................................................................................... 2
1.2. Context and definitional issues. ................................................................................ 3
1.3. Applicability of the research to both print and broadcasting ......................... 5
1.4. Research questions. ................................................................................................... 5

CHAPTER 2: BACKGROUND

2.1 Abroad ....................................................................................................................... 6
2.2 At home ..................................................................................................................... 10

CHAPTER 3: LITERATURE: WIDER CONTEXTS OF PLAGIARISM

3.1 Origins. ....................................................................................................................... 15
3.2 Plagiarism as culturally variable ............................................................................. 16
3.3 Laying blame ............................................................................................................. 18
3.4 Concerns about growth .......................................................................................... 20
3.5 Partners in crime: plagiarism and fabrication ....................................................... 21
3.6 New Zealand law .................................................................................................... 22
3.7 Ethical codes ............................................................................................................. 23
3.8 The Internet factor .................................................................................................. 25
3.9 Public relations and press releases ................................................................ .......... 28

CHAPTER 4: NEWS MEDIA PLAGIARISM THEORY

4.1 Introduction ............................................................................................................... 30
4.2 Professional ideology theory ................................................................................... 30
4.3 Paradigm repair ....................................................................................................... 32
4.4 Paradigm disguise ................................................................................................... 33
4.5 A plagiarism model ................................................................................................ 34

CHAPTER 5: METHODOLOGY

5.1 Introduction ............................................................................................................... 36
5.2 Planning ..................................................................................................................... 36
5.3 Triangulation: combining quantitative and qualitative methods ....................... 37
5.4 Surveys .................................................................................................................... 38
   5.4.1 Survey formulation .......................................................................................... 39
   5.4.2 Survey distribution method ............................................................................ 40
   5.4.3 Survey questions ............................................................................................ 41
6.3.1.6 …dropping attribution, bylines and catchlines? ……………………………… 76
6.3.1.7 …background cutting and pasting?.......................................................... 77
6.3.1.8 …ignoring copyright rules? ………………………………………………… 77
6.3.1.9 …small/poorly resourced offices, including the Internet, lifting news items?………………………………………………………………………………… 78

6.3.2 Comments on the survey-identified reasons/causes for plagiarism ………. 79
6.3.2.1 Laziness as reason for plagiarism .................................................... 79
6.3.2.2 The ease of Internet access as reason for plagiarism ....................... 80
6.3.2.3 Dwindling resources as a reason for plagiarism .............................. 81
6.3.2.4 Inadequate regulation, penalties or monitoring as reason for plagiarism………………………………………………………………………………… 82
6.3.2.5 End of copy sharing/rise of media competition as a reason for plagiarism………………………………………………………………………………… 83
6.3.2.6 Culture of copying ………………………………………………………… 85

6.3.3 What is your comment on the survey-identified solutions for resisting plagiarism?………………………………………………………………………………… 86
6.3.3.1 Do regulatory bodies need more clout? ……………………………………… 87
6.3.3.2 Do editors need to copy check/insist on attribution …………………… 87
6.3.3.3 Do plagiarism guidelines or some sort of directives need to be set up?………………………………………………………………………………… 88
6.3.3.4 Should financial sanctions be taken against Internet infringers? ......... 88
6.3.3.5 Should monitoring mechanisms be set up on each publication?..... 89
6.3.3.6 Do copyright breaches need to be pursued and prosecuted?.......... 89
6.3.3.7 Should offenders be named and shamed? ……………………………… 90
6.3.3.8 Should random checks of journalists’ work be introduced? ………… 91
6.3.3.9 Should a zero tolerance policy be implemented? …………………….. 91
6.3.3.10 Should ethics and attribution rules be taught more strongly at universities and polytechnics? …………………………………………………… 92
6.3.3.11 Would it help for news organisations to employ more staff? ……… 93
6.3.3.12 Would introducing fact checking processes ameliorate news media plagiarism?……………………………………………………………………… 93
6.3.3.13 Would paying staff more and giving more attention to encouraging job satisfaction help? …………………………………………………… 94
6.3.3.14 Should a web tool be developed to help detect news media plagiarism?………………………………………………………………………………… 95
6.3.3.15 Would giving everyone bylines help reduce plagiarism? ………… 95
6.3.3.16 Have you any final comment to make on how to stem or stop plagiarism? ................................................................. 96
6.3.3.17 Does a culture of copying as a cause for plagiarism or as an area to be studied to understand it emerge in the interviewees’ answers? ............... 97
6.3.4 Adjudged plagiarist .......................................................................................................................... 98
   6.3.4.1 Plagiarism that is fuelled by news organisations’ “aversion” to attribution ................................................................. 99
   6.3.4.2 Plagiarism is often a question of failing to paraphrase ................. 99
   6.3.4.3 Problems with plagiarism could be alleviated if the parameters of news media plagiarism were made explicit ........................................... 99
   6.3.4.4 Newsroom pressures were often contributors to plagiarism .... 100
   6.3.4.5 News media requirements to clearly background stories added to the likelihood of plagiarism ......................................................... 100
   6.3.4.6 Unlike academic plagiarism, there were degrees of transgression to be applied to news media plagiarism ........................................... 100
   6.3.4.7 A culture of copying existed within news organisations that provided the climate for plagiarism ......................................................... 100
6.4 Comparative analysis of New Zealand Press Council judgments and prominent plagiarism cases in the news ................................................................. 101
6.4.1 Synopses of plagiarism complaints made to the New Zealand Press Council, 1972-2008 ......................................................................................... 101
   6.4.1.1 NZ Press Council: Complaints 89 and 90, Turner against the Dominion ......................................................................................... 102
   6.4.1.2 NZ Press Council: Case number 283, Rabel against the Christchurch Press ................................................................. 102
   6.4.1.3 NZ Press Council: Case number 977, Mountain Scene against the Southland Times ................................................................. 103
   6.4.1.4 NZ Press Council: Case number 1047, 3 News against Bay of Plenty Times ................................................................. 104
6.4.2 Synopses of plagiarism complaints prominently in the news, 1972-2008 ................................................................. 104
   6.4.2.1 Greg Hyam’s report of the Soviet-Afghani conflict, New Zealand Times ......................................................................................... 105
   6.4.2.2 Renee Kiriona’s feature on rugby league star Tawera Nikau, NZ Herald ................................................................. 105
   6.4.2.3 Bruce Logan’s columns, Christchurch Press et al................................. 105
   6.4.2.4 John Manukia’s fabricated police interview and plagiarisms (various), NZ Herald et al ................................................................. 106
6.4.2.5 Noelle McCarthy’s radio plagiarisms, RNZ ........................................ 106
6.5 Coding of case study categories ........................................................................ 106

CHAPTER 7: DISCUSSION

7.1 Introduction ........................................................................................................ 110
7.2 Lessons from the results ..................................................................................... 111

7.2.1 How widespread and/or serious is news media plagiarism as perceived by
journalism practitioners? ......................................................................................... 111
7.2.2 What do journalists indicate are the causes of news media plagiarism? ...... 111
7.2.3 What type of journalism do journalists see as occurring? .............................. 113
7.2.4 What theoretical model would be best to describe news media plagiarism
practice? ................................................................................................................. 115
7.3 Conclusions ........................................................................................................ 118
7.4 Recommendations ............................................................................................. 120

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure 1: Lewis’s plagiarism model ........................................................................ 35
Figure 2: Type of organisation respondents worked for ........................................ 51
Figure 3: Journalistic position of respondents ......................................................... 52
Figure 4: Type of organisations respondents plagiarised by ................................. 52
Figure 5: Extent of plagiarism of respondents’ work .............................................. 54
Figure 6: Jobs of those considering plagiarism a growing problem ....................... 55
Figure 7: Reasons given for plagiarism .................................................................. 59
Figure 8: Responses linked to a culture of copying ............................................... 67
Figure 9: Suggested solutions for dealing with plagiarism ..................................... 69
Figure 10: Interviewees’ perception of the seriousness of plagiarism ....................... 72
Figure 11: Comparative analysis of plagiarism cases ............................................. 108
Figure 12: A plagiarism model suitable for New Zealand ...................................... 118

2. APPENDICES

Appendix A: Permissions from interviewees ......................................................... 123
Appendix B: Survey plagiarism questions ............................................................. 124
Appendix C: Question template for interviewees ................................................. 125

3. REFERENCES ...................................................................................................... 126
Is there anything whereof it may be said, See, this is new? It hath been already of old time, which was before us.

– Ecclesiastes 1:10

Plagiarize! Let no one else’s work evade your eyes; remember why the good Lord made your eyes; so don’t change your eyes: but plagiarize, plagiarize, plagiarize; Only be sure to always – to call it, please – research.

– Tom Lehrer (Lobachevski)

Good artists imitate, great artists steal – (attributed to Pablo Picasso); Talent imitates, genius steals – (attributed to TS Eliot); Talent borrows, genius steals – (Stephen Morrissey)