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ABSTRACT

Anaemia, which is caused by iron deficiency, is a global nutritional disorder of utmost concern. It has been assumed that meat, which contains haem as well as non-haem iron, enhances non-haem iron absorption due to the presence of the “meat factor”. In the experiment reported here, 24 semi-anaemic, 3-week-old piglets were utilised as human nutrition models to assess the effects of dietary lactoferrin, meat and LSF (Low Molecular Weight Sarcoplasmic Fraction) on iron bioavailability during a 4-week feeding period. The parameters that were used as measurements of iron bioavailability were changes in haematological indices, haemoglobin iron repletion efficiency, intestinal morphology and mineral balances. Non-significant (p > 0.05) dietary effects were observed for growth performance and for all the haematological and some histological parameters (small intestine villi height, crypt depth and mucosal thickness). Haemoglobin iron repletion efficiency was highest for the control group and was not significantly different between the other 3 diets. The superiority of the control diet in this respect was not consistent with previous trials and can not be explained. Results suggested that increased retention of calcium, magnesium, phosphorous and manganese tended to inhibit iron absorption. However, the LSF and meat diets significantly (p = 0.003) increased the number of goblet cells/100 µm suggesting that mucin secretion was favoured by these two diets. Additionally, all immunological parameters were significantly (p < 0.05) improved by the LSF diet. As such, the LSF diet can be a potential immunobooster feed ingredient for weanling piglets. Overall, the level of LSF in the LSF diet was insufficient to exert a desirable enhancement of iron bioavailability and betterment of
iron status of the semi-anaemic piglets relative to the control group. However, the diet containing LSF was as effective as the meat diet with respect to these characteristics.
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Foremost, I am deeply indebted to New Zealand International Aid and Development Agency (NZAID) for granting me a slot for New Zealand Development Scholarship – Open category (NZDS-Open) to have my postgraduate study at Massey University, Palmerston North from February 2007 to present.

I wish to express my heartfelt thanksgiving to the immediate-past president of the University of Southern Mindanao, Dr. Virgilio G. Oliva, Sr., for allowing me to have my official leave to study and to the newly-appointed president of the university, Dr. Jesus Antonio G. Derije, my thesis adviser in college, for approving my academic extension. Also, to the immediate-past dean of the College of Veterinary Medicine of the University of Southern Mindanao, Dr. Emerlie R. Okit, for her favourable recommendations and encouragement in all my endeavours.

I am gratified to Ms. Sylvia Hooker (team leader for International Student Support Services), Prof. Kevin J. Stafford (IVABS Postgraduate Director) and Ms. Kathy Hamilton (Postgraduate Studies Administrator for College of Arts and Sciences) for facilitating my academic admission to the university. I am also extending my gratitude to Prof. V. Ravi Ravindran (Animal Nutrition Postgraduate Director) for his acceptance.

I am grateful to my chief supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Patrick C. H. Morel, for taking me as his student despite my IELTS deficiency, for being so helpful in many ways, either solicited or unsolicited, especially in the statistical analyses of my data and for unselfishly sharing his knowledge in animal nutrition and biometrics.
I am expressing my gratitude to my other supervisors, Assoc. Prof. Roger Purchas for his valuable suggestions for the improvement of my thesis. Likewise, to Dr. Brian Wilkinson, for the preparation of the meat extract used during the experiment and for providing me the necessary details regarding the extract.

I am thankful to New Zealand Meat Biological Consortium, through the generous efforts of my chief supervisor, for funding my thesis.

I am truly appreciative to the following: Ms. Maggie Honeyfield-Ross; Ms. Kelly-Ann O Flaherty; Ms. Margreet Hekman and Ed for their technical assistance in setting up the iron trial particularly in the feeding of the piglets and collection of samples (blood, faeces, urine and organs) for laboratory analyses. Additionally, to Karin, Lea, Linda, Charlotte and little Sam for sharing their time during the conduct of the study.

My profound gratitude is likewise extended to the following: Dr. Kay Rutherfurd-Markwick for her expertise in performing the assays for phagocytosis and cell proliferation; Dr. Dave Thomas for his assistance in the collection of intestinal samples, for helping in the processing of the slides and for histological examination of these slides; Ms. Evelyn Lupton and Ms. Elaine Booker for preparing the slides for histological examination; Dr. Cameron Knight and Cathy Davidson for their technical assistance during those times when I encountered problems with the photomicrograph; Ms. Lea Desoutter for helping me in the measurements of my histological parameters; Ms. Janine Shaw for doing the laboratory analyses of blood samples and Ms. Leiza Turnbull for the mineral analyses of faecal and urine samples.
I would like to thank the following for their moral and spiritual support: Mr. and Mrs. Rosauro Roldan and family; Mr. and Mrs. Dennis Pimentel and family; Mr. and Mrs. Rolando Roldan and family; Mr. and Mrs. Angel Carambas; Mr. and Mrs. Dan Corpuz and family; Mr. and Mr. Emerson Tattao and family and Ms. Gladys Agatep. Also, to Rose and Gilbert, Mina and Dan, Angie and Francis, Alfa, Rosalie, Marivic, Edith, Miriam, Jon and to the members of the Philippine Central Association and Cloverlea Bible Study Group.

I am expressing my gratitude to: the staff of the International Student Support Services (Ms. Olive Pimentel, Ms. Sue Flynn, Dianne, Natalia and Matthew); the IVABS postgraduate staff (Allain and Debbie); the IVABS IT technicians (Andrew and his team) and the IT technician in Massey Library (Eric); and the proofreaders (Dr. Natiline Bowker and Ms. Karin Bruckner) for their assistance.

A special gratitude is extended to my friends and fellow scholars: Maris (my tutor in biometrics) and Cheryl for accompanying me at the hospital when I had my miscarriage and surgical operation; to Mimi, Lala, Nilesh, Berna, Doris, Esther, Sunema, Husna, Enny, Joenalyn, Liezel and Rheyda for keeping me up in times of loneliness. Also to Qais and his mother for their prayers.

I would like to express my appreciation to the following: Dr. Rolando J. Garduque; Dr. Elizabeth Catalon; Dr. Vingelle Bautista-Jimenez; Dr. Lilian A. Lumbao; Dr. Teresita S. Panes; Mrs. Elma G. Sepelagio and to the rest of my colleagues in the College of Veterinary Medicine. In addition: to Dr. and Mrs. Cayetano Pomares and Dr. Abraham Castillo and his family for encouraging me to pursue my study in this
university; and to my advisory class, the CVM-USM batch 2009 graduates, for their kind thoughts and inspiring words.

I am also thankful to the following for their moral, spiritual and financial support: Rev. Minister Manuel Rapisura and his family; Mr. Marcial and Dr. Marcelina Bahalla; Engr. and Mrs. Honorato Villaruz; Mrs. Marciana Villaruz (deceased); Dr. Aida Martinez and her family; Mrs. Juliana Repique; Ms. Maribel Villaruz and Prof. Ruth Ellinor Cannen.

I am grateful to my in-laws: Mr. and Mrs. Rolando B. Flores for their patience and understanding as in my desire to maintain my identity as a careerwoman, I don’t seem submissive to them; to my sister-in-law, Dr. Divina Flores-Calvero and her daughters, Leana Denisse and Christina Vien Calvero; Mr. and Mrs. Agripino Palis; Mr. and Mrs. Bert de la Cruz and family; Mr. and Mrs. Petronilo Sarinas and family and Ms. Perla Palis for their love, support and encouragement. Also, to retired T/Sgt. and Mrs. Rodolfo Mendoza for their support.

I wish to express how thankful I am to my husband, Mr. Ranilo M. Flores, for his love, care and devotion as well as for his faithfulness and loyalty to our marriage.

I am so gratified to my family: to my mother, Prof. Rosita Andres-Rapisura, not only for being my best critique but also for her endless love, support, encouragement and understanding; to my uncle, Asst. Prof. Naguib A. Guiamal and my aunt, Prof. Magdalena Andres-Guiamal, for always by my side through all the seasons of my life; to my younger sister, Dr. Liza Mae A. Rapisura-Pagarigan, for bravely moving on for the welfare of her 3 children; to my youngest sister, Ms. Roviline A.
Rapisura, for being clever in taking over my responsibilities while I am away; and to
my nieces, Cheshire Faye and Laeda Yesha Pagarigan, and nephew, Gioven Laeum
Pagarigan, who are the fountain of my inspiration.

To my beloved father, retired Assoc. Prof. Virgilio T. Rapisura (deceased), my
grandmother, Mrs. Carmen M. Andres (deceased) and to my child, whom I never had
the chance to give birth, this piece of work is heartily dedicated.

Above all, to Almighty God for his divine providence.
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABSTRACT</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACKNOWLEDGMENTS</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TABLE OF CONTENTS</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIST OF TABLES</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIST OF FIGURES</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 1 LITERATURE REVIEW</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1 IRON AS A NUTRIENT</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1.1 PHYSIOLOGICAL ROLES OF IRON</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1.2 DIETARY FORMS OF IRON</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1.3 ABSORPTION OF IRON</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1.3.1 Uptake of Iron into Enterocytes</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1.3.2 Export of Iron from Enterocytes to the Blood</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1.4 UTILISATION OF IRON</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1.4.1 Transport of Iron</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1.4.2 Metabolism of Iron</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1.4.2.1 Haem/Haemoprotein synthesis</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1.4.2.2 Storage of iron</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1.4.2.3 Recycling of iron</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1.5 REGULATION OF IRON AND IRON HOMEOSTASIS</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1.5.1 Regulation through Iron-Regulatory Proteins/Iron-Responsive Element</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1.5.2 Regulation of Iron through Hepcidin</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.2.4.1  Haematological Analysis of Blood with EDTA  95
2.2.4.2  Assay of Blood Samples with Heparin  96
2.2.4.2.1 Whole-blood proliferation assay  96
2.2.4.2.2 Phagocytic activity  97
2.2.5 HISTOLOGICAL EXAMINATION OF THE SMALL INTESTINE  98
2.2.6 MINERAL BALANCES  99
2.2.7 ORGAN WEIGHTS  100
2.2.8 GROWTH PERFORMANCE  100
2.2.9 ESTIMATION OF HAEMOGLOBIN IRON REPLETION EFFICIENCY  101
2.2.10 DATA ANALYSES  102
2.3 RESULTS  104
2.3.1 GROWTH PERFORMANCE  104
2.3.2 ORGAN WEIGHTS  105
2.3.3 HAEMATOLOGICAL PARAMETERS  106
2.3.3.1 Haematocrit, Haemoglobin, Red Blood Cells, Mean Corpuscular Volume, Mean Corpuscular Haemoglobin, Mean Corpuscular Haemoglobin Concentration and Red Cell Distribution Width as Parameters in Evaluating Erythrocytes 107
2.3.3.2 Platelets, White Blood Cells, Neutrophils, Lymphocytes, Monocytes, Eosinophils and Basophils  114
2.3.3.3 Total Body Haemoglobin Iron Content, Cumulative Iron Intake and Haemoglobin Iron Repletion Efficiency  122
2.3.3.3.1 Total body haemoglobin iron content  123
2.3.3.3.2 Cumulative iron intake  123
2.3.3.3 Haemoglobin iron repletion efficiency 125
2.3.4 HISTOLOGICAL PARAMETERS 126
2.3.4.1 Duodenum 128
2.3.4.2 Jejunum 128
2.3.4.3 Ileum 129
2.3.5 IMMUNOLOGICAL PARAMETERS 130
2.3.5.1 Change in Phagocytosis 130
2.3.5.2 Change in Cell Proliferation 132
2.3.6 MINERAL BALANCES 133
2.3.6.1 Daily Mineral Intake 134
2.3.6.2 Daily Mineral Retention 134
2.3.6.3 Daily Mineral Faecal Excretion 135
2.3.6.4 Daily Mineral Excretion in the Urine 136
2.3.6.5 Percentage Mineral Retention 138
2.3.6.6 Percentage Mineral Faecal Excretion 138
2.3.6.7 Percentage Mineral Excretion in the Urine 139
2.4 DISCUSSION 140
2.4.1 GROWTH PERFORMANCE 140
2.4.2 HAEMATOLOGY 141
2.4.3 MEASURES OF IRON STATUS 144
2.4.4 INTESTINAL HISTOLOGY 149
2.4.5 IMMUNOLOGY 151
2.4.6 MINERAL BALANCES 151
2.5 CONCLUSIONS 153
2.6 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE STUDIES 154
REFERENCES 155
### LIST OF TABLES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Table 1.3.1 | Physical and biochemical characteristics of human infants and piglets  
(Patterson et al., 2008; Miller & Ullrey, 1987) | 87   |
| Table 2.1  | Nutrient composition of the 4 diets in the experiment                                           | 93   |
| Table 2.2  | Iron (Fe) content (mg/kg) of the 4 diets: as formulated; as chemically analysed for wet and dry forms; and as the basis in the calculation for iron intake of piglets during the experiment (Hills Laboratory, Hamilton, New Zealand) | 94   |
| Table 2.3  | Normal haematology values for pigs (sourced from Shaw, personal communication, March 19, 2009) | 95   |
| Table 2.3.1 | Least squares means at week 0 and week 4 for liveweight (g) of piglets and the average daily gain (g/day), feed intake (g/day) and feed conversion ratio of piglets from week 0 to week 4 | 104  |
| Table 2.3.2 | The least squares means after 4 weeks of the experiment for weights as a percentage of live weight for the kidneys, liver and spleen of piglets | 105  |
| Table 2.3.3 | Significance levels for the effects of diet, pig within diet, week and the interaction between diet and week on haematological parameters of piglets from week 0 to week 4 | 106  |
| Table 2.3.4 | Least squares means for total body haemoglobin iron content (mg), cumulative iron intake (FEI) (mg) and haemoglobin iron repletion efficiency (%) of piglets given the control, lactoferrin, meat and LSF diets during the experiment | 122  |
| Table 2.3.5 | Significance levels for total body haemoglobin iron content (BHbFe) and cumulative feed intake (FEI) of piglets given the control, lactoferrin, meat and LSF on weeks 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4 of the experiment | 123  |
| Table 2.3.6 | Significance levels after 4 weeks of the experiment for the height of the villi (µm), depth of the crypt (µm), mucosal thickness (µm) and goblet cells/100 µm in the small intestine of piglets given the control, lactoferrin, meat and LSF diets | 126  |
Table 2.3.7  Least squares means after 4 weeks of the experiment for the height of the villi (µm), crypt depth (µm), mucosal thickness (µm) and goblet cells/100 µm in the duodenum, jejunum and ileum of piglets given the control, lactoferrin, meat and LSF diets  

Table 2.3.8  The absolute means, mean differences and P values of paired t-test from Day 0 to Day 28 for changes in phagocytosis and cell proliferation (Concanavalin A and Phytohaemagglutinin) of piglets given the control, lactoferrin, meat and LSF diets  

Table 2.3.9  Least squares means and significance levels for daily mineral intake (mg) and daily mineral retention (mg) of piglets given the control, lactoferrin, meat and LSF diets on days 24 to 28 of the experiment  

Table 2.3.10  Least squares means and significance levels for daily mineral faecal excretion (mg) and daily mineral excretion in the urine (mg) of piglets given the control, lactoferrin, meat and LSF diets on days 24 to 28 of the experiment  

Table 2.3.11  Least squares means and significance levels for percentage mineral retention, percentage mineral faecal excretion and percentage mineral excretion in the urine of piglets given the control, lactoferrin, meat and LSF diets on days 24 to 28 of the experiment  

Table 2.4.1  Studies in semi-anaemic piglets fed with meat and meat fractions with emphasis on haemoglobin iron repletion efficiencies (HbRE) as a measure of the improvement in iron status over the duration of the studies
# LIST OF FIGURES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Figure 1.1</td>
<td>The atomic structure of iron with an atomic number of 26</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 1.2</td>
<td>Reduction of ferric iron to ferrous iron by the duodenal cytochrome b (DcytB) and the transport of ferrous iron across the apical membrane by the divalent metal transporter 1 (DMT1)</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 1.3</td>
<td>The transport of iron in the blood stream including its metabolism in the mitochondrion, liver and macrophages</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 1.4</td>
<td>Iron is utilised (right side) as well as absorbed in the enterocytes or stored in the hepatocytes and the reticuloendothelial system (left side). The transport of iron is shown with the corresponding transmembrane importers (a and d – DMT1), transmembrane iron exporter (b – ferroportin), iron-binding plasma carrier protein (c – transferrin) and an intracellular iron-receptor (e)</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 1.5</td>
<td>The NMR structure of hepcidin</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 1.6</td>
<td>Hepcidin’s action on ferroportin expression. Regulatory responses to iron deficiency (left) and iron excess (right) are also illustrated</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 1.7</td>
<td>The HFE, TfR2 and HJV molecules and their effects on hepcidin expression</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 1.8</td>
<td>Regulation of intestinal iron absorption and the negative feedback loop that regulates iron homeostasis</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 1.9</td>
<td>The structure of haem</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 1.10</td>
<td>The myoglobin structure</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 1.11</td>
<td>The actin and myosin structures of a muscle tissue</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 1.12</td>
<td>A muscle structure</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 1.13</td>
<td>The chemical structure of cysteine</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 1.14</td>
<td>The villi of the small intestine</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 1.15</td>
<td>A villous structure showing its capillary network, nerve endings and lymphatic capillary (lacteals)</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2.3.1  Least squares means (+/- SE) for haematocrit (L/L) of piglets given the control, lactoferrin, meat and LSF diets on weeks 0, 1, 2, 3 and 4 of the experiment  

Figure 2.3.2  Least squares means (+/- SE) for haemoglobin (g/L) of piglets given the control, lactoferrin, meat and LSF diets on weeks 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4 of the experiment  

Figure 2.3.3  Least squares means (+/- SE) for number of red blood cells (x10^{12}/L) of piglets given the control, lactoferrin, meat and LSF diets on weeks 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4 of the experiment  

Figure 2.3.4  Least squares means (+/-) SE for mean corpuscular volume (fL) of piglets given the control, lactoferrin, meat and LSF diets on weeks 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4 of the experiment  

Figure 2.3.5  Least squares means (+/- SE) for mean corpuscular haemoglobin (pg) of piglets given the control, lactoferrin, meat and LSF diets on weeks 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4 of the experiment  

Figure 2.3.6  Least squares means (+/- SE) for mean corpuscular haemoglobin concentration (g/L) of piglets given the control, lactoferrin, meat and LSF diets on weeks 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4 of the experiment  

Figure 2.3.7  Least squares means (+/- SE) for red cell distribution width CV (%) of piglets given the control, lactoferrin, meat and LSF on weeks 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4 of the experiment  

Figure 2.3.8  Least squares means (+/- SE) for platelets (x 10^{9} / L) of piglets given the control, lactoferrin, meat and LSF diets on weeks 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4 of the experiment  

Figure 2.3.9  Least squares means (+/- SE) for white blood cells (x 10^{9} / L) of piglets given the control, lactoferrin, meat and LSF diets on weeks 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4 of the experiment  

Figure 2.3.10  Least squares means (+/- SE) for percentage neutrophils in white blood cells (WBC) of piglets given the control, lactoferrin, meat and LSF diets on weeks 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4 of the experiment  

Figure 2.3.11  Least squares means (+/- SE) for percentage lymphocytes in white blood cells (WBC) of piglets given the control, lactoferrin, meat and LSF diets on weeks 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4 of the experiment  

Figure 2.3.12  Least squares means (+/- SE) for percentage monocytes in white blood cells (WBC) of piglets given the control, lactoferrin, meat and LSF diets on weeks 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4 of the experiment
Figure 2.3.13  Least squares means (+/- SE) for percentage eosinophils in white blood cells (WBC) of piglets given the control, lactoferrin, meat and LSF diets on weeks 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4 of the experiment

Figure 2.3.14  Least squares means (+/- SE) for percentage basophils in white blood cells (WBC) of piglets given the control, lactoferrin, meat and LSF diets on weeks 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4 of the experiment

Figure 2.3.15  Least squares means (+/- SE) for total body haemoglobin iron content (mg) and cumulative iron intake (mg) of piglets given the control, lactoferrin, meat and LSF diets on weeks 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4 of the experiment

Figure 2.3.16  Changes in absolute values (+/- SE) and levels of significance for phagocytosis, concanavalin A (Con A) and phytohaemagglutinin (PHA) from Day 0 to Day 28 of piglets given the control, lactoferrin, meat and LSF diets during the experiment