

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Spatial ecology of delphinids in Queen Charlotte Sound, New Zealand: Implications for conservation management

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy in Marine Ecology
Massey University, Albany, New Zealand



**Cheryl Lynne Cross
2019**



This thesis is dedicated to my parents. Without you, I would not exist. You have supported me during every major decision I have made without judgement. I am eternally grateful for you, your love and your support. I could not have done this without you.

I also dedicate this to the memory of my Grandma Cross. I have felt your strength along this challenging path. I miss you.

“It is not the mountain ahead of you that wears you down, it’s the pebble in your shoe”

–Muhammad Ali (and Robert W. Service)

Frontispiece

—Robert Frost

Abstract

Understanding species' ecological interactions and area usage depends on clear insight into their temporal and spatial patterns. Such information combined with recognition of regional human-invested interests, is crucial for developing conservation management efforts. Queen Charlotte Sound (QCS), South Island, New Zealand is a unique environment inhabited by diverse marine life, including several cetacean species. The area is subject to rising levels of anthropogenic activity inclusive of marine farming, tourism and vessel traffic. With conservation management in mind, this thesis focused on three key delphinid species: Hector's (*Cephalorhynchus hectori*), bottlenose (*Tursiops truncatus*) and dusky dolphins (*Lagenorhynchus obscurus*). Specifically, this study sought to: 1) explore long-term historical temporal and spatial trends in delphinid occurrence 2) identify recent patterns in delphinid distribution, density and range 3) investigate delphinid species' habitat use 4) initiate research of regional swim-with-dolphin tourism.

Dolphin sighting data were: 1) collated from tour vessel logbooks spanning 1995–2011 and 2) collected during dedicated surveys aboard opportunistic platforms from 2011–2014. Dynamic and static environmental variables were sourced from local government agency databases to use in analyses with both datasets. Historical delphinid presence (from logbook data) was correlated with dynamic environmental variables during two separate time frames (1995–2002; 2003–2011), using Generalized Additive Models (GAMs) and Generalized Linear Models (GLMs). Spatial patterns of these sightings were explored across temporal periods (*i.e.*, seasons; blocks of year). Dedicated survey data were used to generate kernel density estimates and to determine species' range and central range. These dolphin density estimates were correlated with static and dynamic habitat parameters using (GAMs). Spatial predictions were then generated from the resultant significant variables. Bottlenose dolphin engagement in swim-with-dolphin encounters was assessed according to several proxies using Linear Models (LMs) and GLMs.

A total of 5,295 historical records consisting of 6,055 delphinid sightings were compiled, demonstrating a long-term presence of the focal species. Of these, Hector's dolphins consistently had the highest trip encounter rate. Seasonal patterns indicated peaks in occurrence for Hector's during summer/autumn, bottlenose during autumn/winter and dusky dolphins during winter/spring. Further investigation with GAMs suggested that each

species' presence was associated with a unique set or range of dynamic variables. Annual variation occurred amongst all species. During both historical time frames (1995–2002 and 2003–2011), Hector's dolphin occurrence was associated with higher SST values. Bottlenose dolphins displayed an association with mid-low SST (during 1995–2002) and with high turbidity (during 2003–2011). Dusky dolphins were influenced by low SST (during both time frames) and from 2003–2011 were also influenced by low turbidity and mid-value tidal range. Spatial patterns illustrated that Hector's and dusky dolphins have become more restricted in their use of QCS over time. Finally, logbook data indicated an increased prevalence of swim-with-dolphin encounters, suggesting an expansion of local tourism from 2004–2011.

A total of 677 dedicated opportunistic surveys were completed. These equalled 1,613 hrs of search effort spanning 263 km². Sighting rate calculations indicated that Hector's and bottlenose dolphins occurred more frequently than dusky dolphins. Seasonality was particularly notable amongst Hector's dolphins, whereas the sighting and encounter rates were higher during summer and autumn. The collective range of all species suggests that delphinids utilized most of QCS. However, both the range and central range of Hector's dolphins were more limited. Notable spatial patterns included peaks in Hector's dolphin density mid-Sound, during summer/autumn and peaks in bottlenose dolphin density toward the outer Sound during summer/autumn. Temporal overlap was relatively high for bottlenose and Hector's dolphins (0.67) and low for Hector's and dusky dolphins (0.22), while spatial overlap was quite low for all species combinations. The patterns explored here offer evidence of temporal and spatial multi-species habitat partitioning within QCS. This may be due to the broader ecological trends within New Zealand and is likely attributed to the availability and movement of prey.

Habitat models (GAMs) indicated a unique set of significant drivers associated with dolphin density for each species. Hector's dolphins displayed an association with dynamic and static variables (SST, fluorescence, depth, slope and distance to the closest marine farm). Dusky dolphins were influenced by the same variables, as well as year. Consistency with the earlier models in the association with SST for Hector's (higher values) and dusky dolphins (lower values) was detected. Bottlenose dolphins were only influenced by static variables (depth, slope and distance to the closest marine farm) and year. The habitat differences suggested by these models offer further insight to the ecological meaning of dolphin spatial patterns in QCS. In particular, these findings offer additional evidence of delphinid resource partitioning, specifically on a trophic scale. This likely occurred because all three species exhibit both dietary

and foraging plasticity. While similarities were observed between comparable studies in other areas, the presence of some variation is likely due to unique physical and hydrographic regional characteristics. Spatial predictions that were generated from significant model variables were valuable in estimating potential locations of dolphin density beyond sighting locations, including areas that they previously occupied.

Data representing animal area usage, like those presented here, are integral to conservation management, especially amidst growing anthropogenic influences, like tourism. This first ever tourism-based study in QCS indicated bottlenose dolphins as the main target species for swim-with-dolphin activity. A total of 190 bottlenose dolphin swim encounters were assessed according to several proxies. Interactions were very short (\bar{x} =4.2 min), with most dolphin reactions neutral (82.9 %), suggesting animal disinterest. Swim encounters occurred regularly, irrespective of group composition or behavioural state. Furthermore, tour operators travelled great distances (\bar{x} =11.7 km) amongst dolphin groups to complete swim encounters, demonstrating pursuit of interaction. Collectively, these proxies suggest a lack of dolphin engagement in swim activity.

This thesis encompassed the first multi-species comprehensive assessment of delphinid density, range, habitat use and swim-with-dolphin tourism in QCS. It established a baseline of data, contributing to regional ecological knowledge. Detailed evidence of when and where three sympatric dolphin species utilized QCS was provided. Moreover, this work established an understanding of delphinid inter-specific interactions and associations with habitat variables. Applications of the findings presented here include contributions to developing comprehensive conservation management and further research. Periods and regions of high density and predicted density may be considered in regional management decisions regarding anthropogenic use of the Sound and during the design of future surveys.

Acknowledgements

Along the way that you discover that, a PhD is much more than a research project and a written document. It is an opportunity for intellectual, emotional and personal growth that will test your limits. It is a journey; the road less travelled. The assistance and insight of supportive and generous people lend to its fruition. As such, I gratefully acknowledge those people in my life that have supported me throughout my journey, without whom, this project would not have been a reality.

First and foremost, I thank my family, my support system. Thank you mom and dad for taking me to the beach as a kid, where I fell in LOVE with the ocean. This is truly where it all began, seeing my first dolphin, digging up mole crabs on the beach and visits to the aquarium. You have bestowed upon me the determination, dedication and compassion that have allowed me to complete this project. You have given me unwavering support and love in all that I have done. Thank you for listening and for always being there, despite the thousands of miles between us. I love you.

I thank my family members who have gone out of their way to show me their love half way around the world. I love you all. All of your letters, emails, phone conversations and gifts have made a huge difference and have made me feel a lot closer to home. Special thanks to Mariah Kane for all the phone conversations and for your ongoing encouragement; and to Bev and Dan Kane for your love and confidence in me. Thanks for the inspiration and encouragement from Sharon Goodenow, Cathy and Marc Pipas, and Helene Haverlick, and to Stephi Pipas for coming all the way over here and sharing in this experience with me. I thank my sister and brother in law Melanie Cross and Robert Harris and my nephews Aidan and Cameron for your love and support.

I extend my sincere gratitude to my supervisors for their advice and support. To my primary supervisor, Karen A. Stockin, first off, thank you for our initial meeting at the SMM conference in Quebec City where I first learned of the Marlborough Sounds (after stalking you a bit...hehehehe). Thank you for choosing me for this project and for providing me with the opportunity to grow, learn and to make a contribution to science. I am grateful for this unique opportunity and for your support and advice. To my supervisor, Deanna Clement, thank you

for helping me get setup when I was in the South Island, and for a place to work at Cawthron. All of your constructive, insightful edits have greatly improved this thesis. To Mat Pawley, thank you for your patient nature and giving my confidence a boost by encouraging me to learn R and understand statistics. Thanks for all the meetings, helpful hints and ideas for shortcuts. To Rachel Summers, you are a GIS wizard! Thanks for all the phone calls, helping me to solve some tricky problems, and for your friendship. To Dianne Brunton, thank you for enhancing my PhD experience by supporting me to attend conferences, for the financial assistance and for your advice in the final stretch.

Thank you to the people that made this project possible to begin with. Thank you to Roy Grose and Andrew Baxter of the New Zealand Department of Conservation for encouraging this project to take place and for your insight on the project's scope. Thank you to the generous support of Dolphinwatch and Nature Tours in Picton for sponsoring the data collection and always making room for myself or volunteers on the boat. I know that it wasn't always easy. Special thanks to Captain Paul Keating for the witty banter and for believing in me. Thanks to Glen and Marion McNeilley, John Bevins, Natasha and Paul Luxton and guides Larissa, Kate, Aillie and Paul. Thanks to Tony Crapper of Beachcomber Cruises for access to your vessel and to Captains Bruce and Matt McLeod. Matt your silly jokes always made me smile. This project would not have been possible without the data collection and all of your support that made it possible!!! I am also grateful to Zoe and Les Battersby for consistently maintaining your records for so long. Thanks for the incredible interviews Zoe. Thanks also to Dan and Amy Englehaupt for your great record keeping skills.

I acknowledge the network of people who have offered scientific advice and input on this project. To Matt Pinkerton, Mark Hadfield and David Plew of NIWA thank you for access to the physical data I used and for your advice and help in processing and understanding this. Thank you Steve Ulrich of MDC for help with this data as well and for your advice and for directing me towards important, helpful documents. Thanks to Karlien Heyns for your help with the MDC data and to Fleur Tiernan for letting me come along to help with the data collection. Thank you to the Picton Harbour Master and Marlborough Marine Radio for the vessel data that you shared with me and to Glen Rowe of LINZ for providing me with tide data. Thanks to Ben Knight of Cawthron Institute for your helpful advice and input. Lance Garrison of NOAA thank you for your advice and for all the time you spent teaching me about data organization, analysis and GIS during my Masters, the skills I brought here with me were

invaluable. To Dave Kerstetter, Amy Hirons and Ed Keith, thanks for being great advisors, for all that I learned from you during my Masters and all that you did to help me get this far. You had a passion for education Dr. Keith, rest in peace.

I acknowledge the team of supportive people at Massey that helped with problem solving for all of the “fun” issues that arose. Special thanks to Freda Mickisch, Cynthia Sim and Annette Warbrooke for all of your administration help. Thanks to Anil Malhotra and all the helpful ITS staff for helping to clear up all the computer problems so I could do my work. To the GRS and finance staff, especially Janene deRidder and Debbie Sparkes thanks for answering all of my questions. Thank you to the helpful input of the research librarians including Corrine, Jane Clark and Lexie Hughes. I thank the members of the Coastal-Marine Research Group: Anna Meissner, Sarah Dwyer, Krista Hupman, Jochen Zaeschmar, Laura Torre, Dan Godoy, Cat Peters and Blair Outhwaite. Thanks Monika Merriman for your trailblazing research in the Marlborough Sounds! Thanks to Manue Martinez for coming to Picton and the advice at the start of my project. Thanks to Sonja Clemens and Cat Lea for all of the logistical support.

The support I received when I was located in Picton was invaluable. Thank you to Barry Mills of the Mariners Mall for generously offering me a place to work. Thanks also to the Harbour Master and Marlborough Marine Radio for being my office buddies in our wee offices above Fresh Choice grocery store. Thanks to all the people at DOC in Picton for allowing me to print documents and data sheets, for the tea breaks and for occasional desk space in the beginning. I thank the many volunteers who helped with data collection, entry and organization. Particular thanks are extended to Laure-Lou Marquer, Yei-Hsuan Huang, Diane Pook, Ruth White, Sue Kerr, Ethan Wrigglesworth and Josh Thoreson, you guys really helped things go more smoothly! Thanks to Lance McMillan for building the data entry masterpiece that allowed for organization with the historical data entry.

Thanks to the support of all the colleagues and friends I have made at Massey that have brightened my days with conversations, lunches, laughs and also tears. Thanks Anna Meissner, for all of the conversations (especially all the frantic ones) and for all of your helpful advice. Raj Koirala, you were a supportive officemate. Your company and friendship made analysis and writing brighter, especially in building 86! Lorre Torre-Williams, thanks for the friendship and support especially during the tough times. Hemanta Yadav, you are a great office buddy. Thanks especially for your company working on Saturdays! Michelle Roper, thanks for being

a great lunch buddy and for all the great chats as we took this journey together. Libby Liggins and David Aguirre thanks for all your team spirit in the lab and for all the great advice during the marine meetings. Sincere thanks to Laureline Meynier for the chats, advice, encouragement, smiles and laughs. You've been a huge help and good friend. Thank you to Davie Eme for your advice and help. Weihong Ji, thank you for your positive energy and being a great office neighbour. Marleen Bailing, thanks for the chats and advice and for keeping magnum in the lab to entertain us all. Thanks to Wesley Web for your helpful hints and silly jokes. Thanks to Sarah Dwyer and Krista Hupman for your input. Thank you to all the other smiling faces at Massey and building 5 including Akshya Illangovan, Vanessa Arranz-Martinez, Manuela Barry, Sarah Wells, Emma Betty and Odette Howarth. I extend gratitude to my colleagues Emily, Josh, Gaby, Leonard, Uzma and Vera at PaCE for your support and comradery.

I am grateful for the support from the new friends I have made while I have been in New Zealand. It would have been REALLY dull without out you all! To my neighbour Bryan Ansley, you were like a grandpa to me and I was lucky to have as a next door neighbour, rest in peace Bryan. To Jemma "Feral" Funnell I am so happy to be your friend. Thanks for always listening to me and for the good times. To the Wither Hills crew, thanks for making me a part of a team. Kate Trayling, my American connection, so glad for your friendship and all of our nice dinners. To my friends Jenifer and Brian Hublely and Carlie Green, Siobain Browning thanks for your friendship and adventures in Picton. To Kevin, thank you for setting up the wine research fund. To Lindi so happy to have met you, thanks for your support, encouragement, great conversations and love of Chardonnay. Thanks Akshya for all the support, great times and our eerie, mirrored emotions. Pach, I cherish our friendship and our connection. To Mark Svendson and Dan Rodgers thanks for all the fun nights at your house singing, dancing and laughing! Thanks Laura Torre and Matt Williams for your friendship and nice beach days. Thanks Pick and Henry for the fun times. Thanks Emily King for the adventures in Picton and Auckland. Fadia Al Abbar, thanks for your help, I am sure we will be doing something cetacean related in the future together. Thanks to my cat Madz for bringing me happiness during a particularly tough time, I hope you are in a happy place now.

I am lucky for my amazing friends around the world that have been there for me. Jennifer Heldmann you are an inspiring scientist, role model and friend. Thanks for your support during this PhD and for all your care packages. Gina Rappucci thanks for always being there, for all the chats and for always listening to my rants. You are a rock! Thanks Ismail Khair for the

lasting friendship and for always checking in on me. Stephanie Healey, Andrea Darnell, Suzy Angely (ma soeur Antillaise) and Tracey Beard thanks for your messages and phone calls that always bring a smile to my face. Tracey, I still can't believe two girls from NYM ended up in NZ at the same time! Thanks to Amanda Kogut, Mike Berrigan, Meghan Reina, Meika Kalinoski, Shiyana Valentine, Bridgett Watts and Tom Kupiec for your lasting friendships. Dave Kerstetter, thank you for your great advice and confidence in me and for encouraging me to pursue my PhD. To Liam Wright, thank you for welcoming me into your home and for being there for me when I first arrived, it was priceless. Thanks to Brooke Porter for picking me up at the airport and showing me around Auckland in the beginning. Huge thanks to Stephanie, Gina, Raj and Melanie for the prompt return of last minute chapter reviews!! Huge help!! Shannon Meirzon "SS," thanks for your friendship and your dedication in proof reading my emmended version, you're a star!

I extend my sincere gratitude to those people that have contributed to health and well-being and happiness. Thank you Suzie, Theresa, Robin, Lindi, Linda, Kohei, Liz and Faith! Thanks to the Waikawa boxing club, Scottie and muay thai kickboxing, the great instructors at the Massey gym (especially Billie, Luke and Karl), Picton yoga and Zumba and all the great yoga instructors at KP for bringing some peace into my life. Thanks Mark Whitwell, you are an inspiration! Thanks to Clive Herrigan and the Waikawa Boating Club for teaching me to sail.

I acknowledge the financial contribution from the Massey University Doctoral Scholarship, and the financial support from the New Zealand Department of Conservation, New Zealand King Salmon and the Interislander Ferry. Apologies to anyone I unintentionally left out here.

I appreciate this experience in Queen Charlotte Sound and New Zealand. Thanks to the ocean and all its creatures for inspiring me since childhood! To the dolphins, I can only hope that I have made things a little bit better for you.

"They always say that time changes things, but you actually have to change them yourself."

—Andy Warhol

Table of Contents

Dedication	iii
Frontispiece	iv
Abstract	v
Acknowledgements	viii
Table of contents	xiii
List of figures	xviii
List of tables	xxi
List of abbreviations	xxiii
Publications and presentations	xxv

Chapter 1: General Introduction

1.1 Introduction	3
1.2 Focal species' biology, habitat and range	3
1.2.1 Hector's dolphins.....	4
1.2.2 Bottlenose dolphins	5
1.2.3 Dusky dolphins	6
1.3 Animal interactions, sympatry and resource partitioning	8
1.3.1 Why investigate these interactions	10
1.4 Survey and data sources	10
1.5 Habitat use and habitat modelling	12
1.6 Threats and anthropogenic influences.....	14
1.6.1 Threats to focal species.....	14
1.6.2 Anthropogenic influences in Queen Charlotte Sound.....	16
1.7 Management.....	17
1.7.1 Marine mammal management in New Zealand.....	18
1.7.2 Local management.....	19
1.8 Cetacean tourism.....	20
1.8.1 History and trends.....	20
1.8.1.1 Global.....	20
1.8.1.2 New Zealand	21
1.8.2 Cetacean tourism research	24
1.8.2.1 Global.....	24
1.8.2.2 New Zealand	25
1.8.2.3 Swim-with-cetacean research	25
1.8.3 Implications of cetacean tourism.....	25

1.9 Thesis structure and rationale.....	26
---	----

Chapter 2: The value of long-term historical records: Assessing delphinid trends in Queen Charlotte Sound, New Zealand

2.1 Introduction	31
2.2 Methods.....	34
2.2.1 Study site	34
2.2.2 Data collection and processing	35
2.2.2.1 Environmental Data.....	36
2.2.3 Data analysis.....	37
2.2.3.1 Temporal trends.....	37
2.2.3.2 Association with environmental correlates.....	38
2.2.3.3 Spatial trends: Distribution and density.....	41
2.2.3.4 Trends in swim-with-dolphin tourism	41
2.3 Results	42
2.3.1 Temporal trends	43
2.3.2 Summary of environmental correlates and model selection	48
2.3.2.1 Hector’s dolphins.....	48
2.3.2.2 Bottlenose dolphins	50
2.3.2.3 Dusky dolphins	52
2.3.3 Spatial trends: Distribution and density.....	54
2.3.3.1 Hector’s dolphins.....	54
2.3.3.2 Bottlenose dolphins	54
2.3.3.3 Dusky dolphins	54
2.3.4 Trends in swim-with-dolphin tourism	61
2.4 Discussion	63
2.4.1 Temporal trends	63
2.4.2 Association with environmental correlates.....	64
2.4.2.1 SST	65
2.4.2.2 Turbidity	65
2.4.2.3 Tide.....	66
2.4.2.4 Year	67
2.4.3 Spatial trends: Distribution and density.....	67
2.4.4 Trends in swim-with-dolphin tourism	70
2.5 Limitations and conclusions.....	72

Chapter 3: Distribution, relative density and range of sympatric delphinids in Queen Charlotte Sound, New Zealand

3.1	Introduction	77
3.2	Methods	79
3.2.1	Study site	79
3.2.2	Data collection	81
3.2.3	Data analysis	82
3.2.3.1	Survey effort	82
3.2.3.2	Sightings and group sizes	83
3.2.3.3	Temporal relative density	84
3.2.3.4	Spatial relative density and range	84
3.2.3.5	Species overlap	85
3.3	Results	86
3.3.1	Survey effort	86
3.3.2	Sightings	90
3.3.3	Group sizes	90
3.3.4	Temporal patterns: Relative density and temporal overlap	92
3.3.5	Spatial patterns: Distribution, spatial relative density and range	95
3.3.5.1	Hector’s dolphins	95
3.3.5.2	Bottlenose dolphins	97
3.3.5.3	Dusky dolphins	99
3.3.6	Spatial overlap	101
3.3.6.1	Overall	101
3.3.6.2	Seasonal	101
3.4	Discussion	104
3.4.1	Ecological interpretation of delphinid spatio-temporal trends	104
3.4.2	Conservation management	108
3.5	Limitations and conclusions	108

Chapter 4: Habitat use of delphinids in Queen Charlotte Sound, New Zealand

4.1	Introduction	113
4.2	Methods	116
4.2.1	Study site	116
4.2.2	Data collection	116
4.2.2.1	Environmental data	116
4.2.2.2	Dolphin sighting and survey effort data	118
4.2.3	Data analysis	118

4.2.3.1	Processing	118
4.2.3.2	Habitat preference	120
4.2.3.3	Modelling framework.....	120
4.3	Results	122
4.3.1	Dolphin sighting and survey effort data	122
4.3.2	Environmental Data	125
4.3.3	Habitat preferences	127
4.3.3.1	Hector’s dolphins	127
4.3.3.2	Bottlenose dolphins	127
4.3.3.3	Dusky dolphins	127
4.3.3.4	Interspecific comparisons	129
4.3.4	Habitat model selection and predictions.....	129
4.3.4.1	Hector’s dolphins	129
4.3.4.2	Bottlenose dolphins.....	133
4.3.4.3	Dusky dolphins	137
4.4	Discussion	143
4.4.1	Interspecific comparisons	143
4.4.2	Hector’s dolphins.....	143
4.4.3	Bottlenose dolphins	145
4.4.4	Dusky dolphins	147
4.5	Limitations and conclusions.....	149

Chapter 5: The first insights of swim-with-dolphin tourism in Queen Charlotte Sound, New Zealand

5.1	Introduction	155
5.2	Methods.....	159
5.2.1	Study site	159
5.2.2	Data collection.....	159
5.2.3	Data analysis.....	166
5.3	Results	168
5.3.1	Characteristics of swim-with-dolphin tourism	168
5.3.1.1	Target species, group dynamics and behaviour	168
5.3.1.2	Geographic origin of swim attempts and operator techniques.....	170
5.3.2	Measures of bottlenose dolphin engagement in swim tourism.....	173
5.3.2.1	Likelihood bottlenose dolphin sightings resulted in swim encounters	173
5.3.2.2	Duration of bottlenose dolphin swim attempts	173
5.3.2.3	Distance operators travelled to track dolphins during swim encounters	176

5.3.2.4	Bottlenose dolphin reactions.....	177
5.4	Discussion	179
5.4.1	Measures of bottlenose dolphin engagement in swim tourism.....	179
5.4.1.1	Likelihood bottlenose dolphin sightings resulted in swim encounters	179
5.4.1.2	Duration of bottlenose dolphin swim attempts	182
5.4.1.3	Distance operators travelled to track dolphins during swim encounters	184
5.4.1.4	Bottlenose dolphin reactions.....	186
5.5	Limitations and conclusions.....	187
Chapter 6: General Discussion		
6.1	Summary of research findings.....	191
6.1.1	Overall encounter/sighting rates	191
6.1.2	Seasonal trends	191
6.1.3	Spatial trends	192
6.1.4	Environmental correlations.....	193
6.1.5	Swim-with-dolphin tourism.....	193
6.2	Conservation management	194
6.2.1	Current local management	194
6.2.2	Management applications and recommendations	195
6.2.2.1	Spatial and temporal trends/habitat use.....	195
6.2.2.2	Swim-with-dolphin tourism data.....	198
6.2.2.3	Broader application of research.....	198
6.3	Future research	200
6.4	Major contributions and concluding remarks.....	202
References.....		203
Appendices		240

List of Figures

Chapter 1

Figure 1.1. Distribution and range of Hector’s dolphins.....	4
Figure 1.2. Global distribution of bottlenose dolphins.....	6
Figure 1.3. Global distribution of dusky dolphins	7
Figure 1.4. Anthropogenic influences in Queen Charlotte Sound	17
Figure 1.5. Current countries involved in marine mammal tourism..	21
Figure 1.6. Current locations in New Zealand with permits to conduct cetacean tours.....	23

Chapter 2

Figure 2.1. Study area within Queen Charlotte Sound.....	34
Figure 2.2. A summary of the data available from tour vessel log books.....	40
Figure 2.3. Delphinid sightings recorded in tour vessel logbooks	43
Figure 2.4. Annual trip encounter rates for Hector’s, bottlenose and dusky dolphins	45
Figure 2.5. Seasonal trip encounter rates for Hector’s, bottlenose and dusky dolphins.	46
Figure 2.6. Partial residual plots from the best fit GAM of Hector’s dolphin occurrence in QCS from 1995–2002.	48
Figure 2.7. Partial residual plots from the best fit GAM of bottlenose dolphin occurrence in QCS from 1995–2002	51
Figure 2.8. Partial residual plots from the best fit GAM of bottlenose dolphin occurrence in QCS from 2003–2011.	51
Figure 2.9. Partial residual plots from the best fit GAM of dusky dolphin occurrence in QCS from 1995–2002.	52
Figure 2.10. Partial residual plots from the best fit GAM of dusky dolphin occurrence in QCS from 2003–2011.	53
Figure 2.11. Distribution of Hector’s dolphins in QCS from 1995–2011.....	55
Figure 2.12. Seasonal distribution of Hector’s dolphins in QCS from 1995–2011.....	56
Figure 2.13. Distribution of bottlenose dolphins in QCS from 1995–2011	57
Figure 2.14. Seasonal distribution of bottlenose dolphins in QCS, from 1995–2011.....	58
Figure 2.15. Distribution of dusky dolphins in QCS from 1995–2011.....	59
Figure 2.16. Seasonal distribution of dusky dolphins in QCS from 1995–2011	60
Figure 2.17. Total number of annual trips and percentage of trips including dolphin swim encounters from dolphin tour logbook records	61

Figure 2.18. Total number of intended dolphin swim trips and percentage of trips with actual dolphin swim encounters during dolphin tours in QCS from 2004–2011.....	62
Figure 2.19. Percentage of swim encounters per species that occurred during dolphin tours in QCS from 1995–2011.....	62

Chapter 3

Figure 3.1. Study area indicating major points of interest and sections of QCS	80
Figure 3.2. Search effort from surveys in QCS.....	87
Figure 3.3. Seasonal survey effort standardized within a 3x3 km grid from surveys in QCS during December 2011–April 2014.....	89
Figure 3.4. Seasonal sighting and encounter rates of Hector’s dolphins	93
Figure 3.5. Seasonal sighting and encounter rates of bottlenose dolphins.....	93
Figure 3.6. Seasonal sighting and encounter rates of dusky dolphins.....	93
Figure 3.7. Central range and range of Hector’s dolphins.	95
Figure 3.8. Seasonal kernel density estimates of Hector’s dolphins.	96
Figure 3.9. Central range and range of bottlenose dolphins.....	97
Figure 3.10. Seasonal kernel density estimates of bottlenose dolphins	98
Figure 3.11. Central range and range of dusky dolphins.	99
Figure 3.12. Seasonal kernel density estimates of dusky dolphins.	100
Figure 3.13. Depiction of overall range overlap for species combinations.....	103

Chapter 4

Figure 4.1. The study area indicating depth grid, sites of environmental data collection and the locations of marine farms	117
Figure 4.2. All dolphin sightings in QCS during December 2011–April 2014.	124
Figure 4.3. Bottom gradient of QCS.	125
Figure 4.4. Partial residual plots of best fit GAM of Hector’s dolphin habitat use	131
Figure 4.5. Predicted density of Hector’s dolphins in QCS.....	132
Figure 4.6. Partial residual of best fit GAM of bottlenose dolphin habitat use	135
Figure 4.7. Predicted density of bottlenose dolphins in QCS.	136
Figure 4.8. Partial residual plots of best fit GAM of dusky dolphin habitat use.....	139
Figure 4.9. Predicted density of dusky dolphins in QCS in 2012	140
Figure 4.10. Predicted density of dusky dolphins in QCS in 2013	141
Figure 4.11. Predicted density of dusky dolphins in QCS in 2014	142

Chapter 5

Figure 5.1. The species with which swim encounters took place in QCS.	169
Figure 5.2. The behavioural categories of bottlenose dolphins prior to initial vessel approach and prior to the first swim attempt during swim encounters.....	170
Figure 5.3. The origin of bottlenose dolphin swim encounters in QCS.....	171
Figure 5.4. Methods of swimmer orientation in reference to bottlenose dolphins during swim encounters in QCS.....	172
Figure 5.5. Comparison of the mean duration of individual swim attempts, total swim attempts and swim encounters	174

List of Tables

Chapter 2

Table 2.1. Trip encounter rate of delphinids in QCS during time frames 1995–2011, 1995–2002 and 2003–2011 collected from tour vessel logbooks.	44
Table 2.2. Environmental correlates associated with delphinid presence in QCS	47
Table 2.3. Summary of final model forms and output for delphinid occurrence in QCS from time frames 1995–2002 and 2003–2011.	49
Table 2.4. Linear model term output values and associated calculation allowing for meaningful interpretation of the results.	49

Chapter 3

Table 3.1. Specifications of tour vessels used for data collection in QCS from December 2011–April 2014.	81
Table 3.2. Seasonal effort during surveys in QCS.	88
Table 3.3. Delphinid group sizes during surveys in QCS.	91
Table 3.4. Schoener’s <i>D</i> values indicating overall temporal overlap of all delphinid species’ combinations	94
Table 3.5. Overall range and central range of all delphinid species within QCS.	94
Table 3.6. Scheoner’s <i>D</i> values indicating overall calculated spatial overlap of all delphinid species’ combinations	102
Table 3.7. Scheoner’s <i>D</i> values indicating overall seasonal spatial overlap for all delphinid species’ combinations	102

Chapter 4

Table 4.1. Summary of initial models representing factors influencing delphinid density in QCS from 2012–2014	121
Table 4.2. Delphinid sightings per species according to year and season	123
Table 4.3. Summary statistics of delphinids per grid cell per season and year	123
Table 4.4. Summary of dynamic environmental variables by season and year in QCS from December 2011–April 2014.	126
Table 4.5. Habitat preferences for delphinids in QCS.	128

Table 4.6. Parameter estimates of variables in best fit GAM for Hector’s dolphins	130
Table 4.7. AIC and deviance explained for all candidate GAMs for Hector’s dolphins.	130
Table 4.8. Parameter estimates of variables in best fit GAM for bottlenose dolphins.....	134
Table 4.9. AIC and deviance explained for all candidate GAMs for bottlenose dolphins...	134
Table 4.10. Parameter estimates of variables in best fit GAM for dusky dolphins	138
Table 4.11. AIC and deviance explained for all candidate GAMs for dusky dolphins.	138
Table 4.12. Studies of bottlenose dolphin association with static variables	147

Chapter 5

Table 5.1. Categories and variables of data collected	159
Table 5.2. Vessel approach methods at initiation of dolphin swim attempts.....	163
Table 5.3. Swimmer orientation in reference to dolphins.	163
Table 5.4. Behavioural state of dolphins.....	165
Table 5.5. Dolphin reactions to swim tourism.	165
Table 5.6. Summary of initial models representing proxies for dolphin engagement	167
Table 5.7. Vessel approach methods by tour operator during swim encounters.....	172
Table 5.8. Parameter estimates of significant variables in final GLM for likelihood that a bottlenose dolphin sighting resulted in a swim encounter.....	173
Table 5.9. Parameter estimates of significant variables selected in the final LM for swim attempt duration.	175
Table 5.10. Parameter estimates of significant variables selected in final LM for distance operators travelled to track dolphins during swim encounters.....	177
Table 5.11. Parameter estimates of significant variables selected in final GLM for bottlenose dolphin reactions to swim attempts.....	178
Table 5.12. Studies indicating dolphin swim attempt mean duration	183
Table 5.13. Studies indicating mean number and range of dolphin swim attempts	184

List of Abbreviations

AIC	Akaike information criteria
ANOVA	Analysis of variance
BSS	Beaufort sea state
CI	Confidence interval
CTD	Conductivity temperature depth
df	Degrees of freedom
DOC	Department of Conservation
<i>e.g.</i>	<i>exempli gratia</i> , for example
ER	Encounter rate
<i>et al.</i>	<i>et alii</i> , and others
GAM	Generalized additive model
GIS	Geographic information system
GLM	Generalized linear model
GME	Geospatial modelling environment
GPS	Global positioning system
hp	horsepower
<i>i.e.</i>	<i>id est</i> , in other words
IDW	Inverse distance weighted
IUCN	International Union for Conservation of Nature
IWC	International Whaling Commission
KDE	Kernel density estimate
km	Kilometres
LM	Linear model
LINZ	Land Information New Zealand
m	Metre
MDC	Marlborough District Council
min	Minute
mm	Millimetres
MPAs	Marine protected areas
MSIMT	Marlborough Sounds Integrated Management Trust
MSRMP	Marlborough Sounds Resource Management Plan
MODIS	Moderate resolution imaging spectroradiometer

NIWA	National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research
NOAA	National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration
NZ	New Zealand
NZTM	New Zealand transverse mercator
OISST	Optimum interpolation sea surface temperature
pers. comm.	Personal communication
pers. obs.	Personal observation
PoPs	Platform of opportunity
QCS	Queen Charlotte Sound, New Zealand
SCUFA	Self-contained underwater fluorescence apparatus
SD	Standard deviation
SE	Standard error
<i>sp.</i>	Unspecified species within a certain genus
SR	Sighting rate
SST	Sea surface temperature
subsp.	Subspecies
TER	Trip encounter rate
VIFs	Variation inflation factors
°	Degrees
°C	Degrees Celsius

Publications and presentations

The following presentations and report were produced during this PhD, based on the findings presented in this thesis.

Conferences

Cross, C.L., Clement, D., and K. A. Stockin. 2013. Queen Charlotte Sound, NZ: A region of high species diversity and significance for nationally endangered cetacea. *20th Biennial conference of the Society for Marine Mammalogy, Dunedin, NZ* (poster).

Cross, C.L., M. D. M. Pawley, R. Summers and K. A. Stockin. 2017. Characterizing the distribution and habitat of Hector's dolphins using GIS. *20th Annual conference of the Society for Conservation GIS, Monterey, CA, USA* (oral).

Cross, C.L., M. D. M. Pawley, D. Clement and K. A. Stockin. 2017. The first quantitative investigation of Hector's dolphin density and habitat use in Queen Charlotte Sound, New Zealand. *22nd Biennial conference of the Society of Marine Mammalogy, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada* (oral).

Administrative report to the Department of Conservation

Cross, C.L. 2013. Queen Charlotte Sound: A habitat for marine mammals. *Interim report to the Department of Conservation, Picton, New Zealand*. 36 p.