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ERRATA

Chapter 1
(i) Page 3, line 9, “glow growers” should be “slow growers”.
(ii) Page 3, lines 30-31 imply that the Fieldfare is a ground nester, whereas it actually has cup nests in trees.
(iii) Page 6, line 10, “that a the” should be “that the”
(iv) Page 9, line 13, “ideals” should be “ideal”

Chapter 2
(i) Equation 2.1 should be:

\[ Mt = Ma - (Ma - Mo)\exp\left\{-\ln\left(\frac{Mo}{c.5}\right)\left(\frac{t}{t_{50}}\right)^p\right\} \]

(ii) In regard to the section in the methods that describes the use of phylogenetic contrasts, it should be noted that all regressions were forced through the origin.

Chapter 3
(i) Table 3.3 legend, “all three independent variables. V, FF and PD…” should be “both independent variables. V and FF…”
(ii) Fig. 3.3 legend, last sentence refers to an earlier draft.
(iii) Page 54, second paragraph, reference to Fig. 2.2 should be to Fig. 2.1.

Chapter 4
(i) In Fig. 4.9 Junco hymenalis is classified as a protected nester, whereas nest sites are in fact variable for this species, sometimes cavities and sometimes in the open.
(ii) Page 57, line 20, “it not specify” should be “it does not specify”
(iii) Fig. 4.5, “horizonal” should be “horizontal”.

Chapter 5
(i) Page 82, 3rd paragraph “If energy is...periods of starvation” is a repeat of the previous paragraph.
(ii) Fig. 5.6 legend, “horizonal” should be “horizontal”.
Chapter 6

Page 122, 2nd sentence, should read “With an ideal food supply, as the maximum fat deposition rate increases, GRI increases, and the probability of fledging decreases by a small amount”

Chapter 7

(i) The methods should state the fact that approval from the Massey University Animal Ethics Committee was obtained for the brood swapping and deprivation experiments.
(ii) “Control” chicks in the deprivation experiment are not controls in the sense that conditions are normal for those chicks (e.g., they may have increased food supply during the experiment) and should be referred to as “non-deprived” chicks.
(iii) Table 7.4 legend, “Treatment chicks (C)…” should be “Treatment chicks (T)…”

Chapter 9

Page 179, paragraph 2; this argument applies to case probabilities only. The frequency interpretation of class probabilities is compatible with determinism and is an objective theory of probabilities.

Appendix 1

*Parus major, P. Montanus,* and *Sturnus vulgarus* are wrongly recorded as unprotected nesters in Table A1.2, whereas in fact they are protected nesters.
Abstract

Tubenoses and swifts develop slowly, and often have a variable food supply. Lack (1968) attributed this to convergent evolution, arguing that slow growth is an adaptation allowing survival in environments with a variable food supply. In this thesis, I test whether there is a general relationship among bird species between slow growth rate and variability in food supply. I analysed data on nestling period, growth rates for mass and wing length, and variability in food supply in birds using phylogenetically independent contrasts.

Variability in food supply may be correlated with feeding frequency, and growth rate is correlated with predation risk. I included these potential confounds in my analysis. Variability in food supply was correlated with nestling period, and negatively correlated with mass and wing growth rate, taking average feeding frequency and predation risk into account. I show that nest site preference is incompletely coadapted with growth rate. The correlations between growth rate and variability in food supply could also be explained by the proximate effect of environmental variability on growth. I therefore tested predictions of Lack’s hypothesis, in comparison to those of growth models assuming facultative growth adjustments in response to variability in food supply. This further supported Lack’s hypothesis.

While Lack proposed that slow growth is an adaptation to variability in food supply, he did not explain the underlying mechanism. I examined three possible mechanisms, along with two alternative explanations where slow growth is not an adaptation to a variable food supply, and tested them with comparative data. I developed two of these models using computer simulations which predicted that survival is increased by reducing maximum lean tissue growth rates and increasing maximum fat deposition rates when food supply is variable. I tested predictions from these models using experiments on the Welcome Swallow, corroborating a model that predicts that lean tissue growth is prioritised over fat deposition but that fat deposition is facilitated by reduced lean tissue growth rates. I also tested whether swifts and tubenoses are adapted to an unpredictably, or predictably, variable food supply, and discuss the degree to which chicks of swifts and tubenoses are well designed for survival in environments with a variable food supply.
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