

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# Hybridization in North Island Tree Weta



**Natasha Mckean**

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science in  
Zoology  
Massey University, March 2014



## Abstract

Hybridization has important implications for species concepts, understanding the speciation process, as well as the issue of sister species coexistence and conservation. Tree weta (genus *Hemideina*) are an interesting natural system for studying hybridization, as some species have multiple chromosome races that can interbreed, and all are broadly parapatric with at least one other species in the wild, so there are many opportunities for natural hybridization to occur. It is not known in many cases whether species pairs are hybridizing in the wild, or whether introgression occurs. This study focused on the interactions between *H. thoracica* and its two neighbouring species; *H. crassidens* and *H. trewicki*.

Surveys of one area of sympatry between *H. thoracica* and *H. crassidens*, and one between *H. thoracica* and *H. trewicki*, were conducted to see if the parent species and putative hybrids could be easily distinguished, and to find out the relative ratios of the parents and putative hybrid individuals. Weta from the parent populations were studied in areas where they are sympatric as well as allopatric to look for evidence of possible divergence and/or introgression in sympatry. These studies showed that where these species pairs are sympatric, parent forms were predominant, with few morphological intermediates, despite parent species existing in similar proportions. *Hemideina thoracica* and *H. trewicki* differed in sympatry regarding both size and possibly life history, with *H. thoracica* females being larger and both sexes maturing later than *H. trewicki*. *Hemideina thoracica* and *H. crassidens* showed possible evidence of introgression, but no evidence of divergence in sympatry.

Karyotypes, a mitochondrial locus, and eight nuclear loci were examined for evidence of introgression between the species pairs in sympatry. All putative hybrids (morphological intermediates) from both species pairs were found to be genetic hybrids, with strong evidence of being F<sub>1</sub> hybrids. No evidence was found for introgression of karyotypes or of mitochondrial haplotypes in either case. No evidence of introgression was found at nuclear loci for *H. thoracica* and *H. trewicki*. However, *H. thoracica* and *H. crassidens* showed some overlap at nuclear markers in sympatry, suggesting a low level of introgression. There was also a sex-bias in the production of F<sub>1</sub> hybrids, with most having a *H. crassidens* mother.

*Hemideina thoracica* appears to interact differently with its two neighbouring species; *H. crassidens* and *H. trewicki*. *Hemideina thoracica* and *H. trewicki* appear to be reproductively isolated, and are possibly exploiting different niches. *Hemideina thoracica* and *H. crassidens* by contrast, showed no evidence of divergence and are presumably dealing with strong interspecific competition, as well as introgression where they meet. These two species are unusual in maintaining a bimodal hybrid zone in the apparent absence of assortative mating. They also contrast with Haldane's rule, as F<sub>1</sub> males have some level of fertility, while females are likely infertile. A sex-bias in the production of F<sub>1</sub> hybrids may be due to 'sexual exclusion', and so could possibly provide an explanation of how *H. thoracica* has managed to displace *H. crassidens* from much of its former range.



## Acknowledgements

I would like to thank all of those who have helped me throughout the course of this project.

Firstly, thanks to my supervisors Dr Mary Morgan-Richards & Dr Steve Trewick for guidance, feedback and enthusiasm.

Thanks to my grandmother Ruth Mckean and other family and friends for support and encouragement.

Thanks also to Shaun Neilson, Dr Mariana Bulgarella, Dylan Anderson, Emily Koot, Phoebe Donovan, Rose Gregerson, Lorraine Peoples, Tamera Clapperton and Ellen Williamson for help collecting live weta and field surveys, as well as Anne Kim and Louisa Sivyer for help feeding and caring for live weta.

Dr Mariana Bulgarella for weta samples and helpful advice, Dr Priscilla Wehi and Niki Minnards for data on weta morphology, Dr Rashmi Kant for positive control samples for work on *Wolbachia*, and Dr Edwina Dowle for weta mitochondrial genomes and help with mapping against *Wolbachia*.

Paul Barrett, Tracy Harris, Cleland Wallace and Trish McLenachan for equipment and help in the lab, Rachel van Heugten, Victoria Twort and Briar Smith for microsatellite and nuclear sequence primers, and Lizzie Daly and Michael Gemmell for their help with software.



## Contents

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| <b>Abstract</b> .....   | <b>II</b>  |
| <b>Acknowledgements</b> .....   | <b>IV</b>  |
| <b>List of figures</b> .....  | <b>X</b>   |
| <b>List of tables</b> .....   | <b>XIV</b> |
| <br>  |            |
| <b>Chapter 1: Introduction</b> .....  | <b>1</b>   |
| <b>1.1.1 Hybridization</b> .....  | <b>1</b>   |
| <b>1.1.2 Hybrid zones</b> .....   | <b>2</b>   |
| <b>1.1.3 Selection for divergence</b> .....   | <b>3</b>   |
| <b>1.1.4 Species concepts</b> .....   | <b>3</b>   |
| <b>1.1.5 Conservation</b> .....   | <b>4</b>   |
| <b>1.1.6 Tree weta</b> .....  | <b>4</b>   |
| <b>1.1.7 Aims</b> .....   | <b>6</b>   |
| <b>1.2 References</b> .....   | <b>7</b>   |
| <br>  |            |
| <b>Chapter 2: Tree Weta in Sympatry</b> .....   | <b>13</b>  |
| <b>2.1 Introduction</b> .....   | <b>13</b>  |
| <b>2.1.1 Issues with sympatry</b> .....   | <b>13</b>  |
| <b>2.1.2 Patterns in sympatric populations</b> .....                                    | <b>13</b>  |
| <b>2.1.3 Tree weta</b> .....  | <b>14</b>  |
| <b>2.1.4 Aims</b> .....   | <b>16</b>  |
| <b>2.2 Methods</b> .....  | <b>17</b>  |
| <b>2.2.1 Study sites</b> .....  | <b>17</b>  |
| <b>2.2.2 Identification of species</b> .....  | <b>17</b>  |
| <b>2.2.3 Ratio of parent species and hybrids</b> .....                                  | <b>19</b>  |
| <b>2.2.4 Morphological Characters</b> .....   | <b>19</b>  |
| <b>2.3 Results</b> .....  | <b>23</b>  |
| <b>2.3.1 Ratio of Parent Species and Hybrids in Sympatry</b> .....                      | <b>23</b>  |
| <b>2.3.2 Colouration</b> .....  | <b>26</b>  |
| <b>2.3.3 Prolateral hind tibia spines</b> .....   | <b>28</b>  |
| <b>2.3.4 Size differences</b> .....   | <b>29</b>  |
| <b>2.3.5 Stridulatory ridges</b> .....  | <b>30</b>  |
| <b>2.4 Discussion</b> .....   | <b>31</b>  |
| <b>2.4.1 Morphological characters for the parent species and putative hybrids</b> ..... | <b>31</b>  |
| <b>2.4.2 Ratio of parent species</b> .....  | <b>32</b>  |
| <b>2.2.3 <i>Hemideina thoracica</i> and <i>Hemideina trewicki</i></b> .....             | <b>32</b>  |
| <b>2.4.4 <i>Hemideina thoracica</i> and <i>Hemideina crassidens</i></b> .....           | <b>33</b>  |
| <b>2.4.5 Reproductive character displacement</b> .....                                  | <b>34</b>  |
| <b>2.4.6 Conclusion</b> .....   | <b>35</b>  |
| <b>2.5 References</b> .....   | <b>36</b>  |



|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| <b>Chapter 3: Introgression</b> .....                                       | <b>39</b> |
| <b>3.1 Introduction</b> .....   | <b>39</b> |
| 3.1.1 Introgression .....   | 39        |
| 3.1.2 Tree Weta .....   | 39        |
| 3.1.3 Potential outcomes of hybridization .....                             | 40        |
| 3.1.4 Range expansion and genetic patterns .....                            | 41        |
| 3.1.5 Aims .....  | 42        |
| <b>3.2 Methods</b> .....  | <b>43</b> |
| 3.2.1 Specimens and locations .....   | 43        |
| 3.2.2 Karyotype .....   | 45        |
| 3.2.3 DNA Extraction .....  | 45        |
| 3.2.4 Mitochondrial data .....  | 46        |
| 3.2.5 Nuclear Sequences .....   | 47        |
| 3.2.6 Microsatellite loci .....   | 48        |
| 3.2.7 Validity of Nuclear Loci .....  | 49        |
| 3.2.8 Population structure .....  | 49        |
| 3.2.9 Estimating introgression .....  | 51        |
| <b>3.3 Results</b> .....  | <b>52</b> |
| 3.3.1 Karyotype .....   | 52        |
| 3.3.2 Mitochondrial sequences .....   | 54        |
| 3.3.3 Nuclear Loci .....  | 55        |
| 3.3.4 Microsatellites .....   | 58        |
| 3.3.5 Putative F <sub>1</sub> hybrids and backcrosses .....                 | 60        |
| 3.3.6 Population Structure .....  | 62        |
| 3.3.7 Estimates of introgression .....                                      | 68        |
| <b>3.4 Discussion</b> .....   | <b>70</b> |
| 3.4.1 <i>Hemideina thoracica</i> and <i>H. trewicki</i> .....               | 70        |
| 3.4.2 <i>Hemideina thoracica</i> and <i>H. crassidens</i> .....             | 70        |
| 3.4.3 Introgression? .....  | 71        |
| <b>3.5 References</b> .....   | <b>73</b> |
| <br>  |           |
| <b>Chapter 4: Discussion</b> .....  | <b>78</b> |
| 4.1.1 Summary of findings .....   | 78        |
| 4.1.2 Reproductive barriers and weta behaviour .....                        | 78        |
| 4.1.3 Introgression .....   | 80        |
| 4.1.5 Applying species concepts to North Island tree weta .....             | 81        |
| 4.1.6 Comparison of species pairs and future interaction .....              | 81        |
| 4.1.7 Conclusion .....  | 82        |
| <b>4.2 References</b> .....   | <b>83</b> |
| <br>  |           |
| <b>Appendix A: Preliminary data on hybrid viability and fertility</b> ..... | <b>86</b> |

## Contents

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| <b>5.1 Introduction</b> .....   | <b>86</b>  |
| <b>5.1.1 Hybrid viability and fertility</b> .....   | <b>86</b>  |
| <b>5.1.2 <i>Wolbachia</i></b> .....   | <b>86</b>  |
| <b>5.2 Methods</b> .....  | <b>88</b>  |
| <b>5.2.1 Captive Conditions</b> .....   | <b>88</b>  |
| <b>5.2.2 Size of F<sub>1</sub> Hybrids</b> .....  | <b>88</b>  |
| <b>5.2.3 Mating Behaviour</b> .....   | <b>89</b>  |
| <b>5.2.4 Egg Production</b> .....   | <b>89</b>  |
| <b>5.2.5 Male Fertility</b> .....   | <b>89</b>  |
| <b>5.2.6 <i>Wolbachia</i></b> .....   | <b>90</b>  |
| <b>5.3 Results</b> .....  | <b>92</b>  |
| <b>5.3.1 Size of Hybrid Weta</b> .....  | <b>92</b>  |
| <b>5.3.2 Mating Behaviour</b> .....   | <b>93</b>  |
| <b>5.3.3 Egg production</b> .....   | <b>93</b>  |
| <b>5.3.4 Male fertility</b> .....   | <b>94</b>  |
| <b>5.3.5 <i>Wolbachia</i></b> .....   | <b>94</b>  |
| <b>5.4 Discussion</b> .....   | <b>96</b>  |
| <b>5.4.1 Hybrid viability and fertility</b> .....   | <b>96</b>  |
| <b>5.4.2 <i>Wolbachia</i></b> .....   | <b>97</b>  |
| <b>5.4.3 Conclusion</b> .....   | <b>97</b>  |
| <b>5.5 References</b> .....   | <b>98</b>  |
| <br>  |            |
| <b>Appendix B: Morphological and colour characters for Manawatu <i>Hemideina crassidens</i></b> .....   | <b>100</b> |
| <b>Appendix C: Morphological and colour characters for Manawatu <i>Hemideina thoracica</i></b> .....    | <b>101</b> |
| <b>Appendix D: Morphological and colour characters for Hawke's Bay <i>Hemideina thoracica</i></b> ..... | <b>102</b> |
| <b>Appendix E: Morphological and colour characters for Hawke's Bay <i>Hemideina trewicki</i></b> .....  | <b>103</b> |
| <b>Appendix F: Morphological and colour characters for putative hybrids</b> .....                       | <b>104</b> |
| <b>Appendix G: Morphological and colour characters for Wellington <i>Hemideina crassidens</i></b> ..... | <b>105</b> |
| <b>Appendix H: Morphological and colour characters for Taupo <i>Hemideina thoracica</i></b> .....       | <b>106</b> |
| <b>Appendix I: Extra tibia length data for Manawatu and allopatric populations</b> .....                | <b>107</b> |
| <b>Appendix J: Mitochondrial CO1 haplotypes</b> .....   | <b>108</b> |
| <b>Appendix K: Alleles for nuclear sequences</b> .....  | <b>111</b> |
| <b>Appendix L: Karyotype and genetic information for all populations and individuals</b> .....          | <b>112</b> |



## List of Figures

- Figure 1.1:** Distribution of three New Zealand species of tree weta (*Hemideina*). Distributions of chromosome races within species are delineated by dotted lines, with number representing chromosome number of males (XO). The distributions of the *H. thoracica* and *H. crassidens* chromosome races were taken from Morgan-Richards & Wallis (2003) and Morgan-Richards (2000) respectively. Two areas of sympatry that are the focus of this work are indicated. .... 6
- Figure 2.1:** Proportions of mixed and single-species harems in the Turitea Valley over a breeding season, where *H. Thoracica* and *H. crassidens* occur in sympatry. (Proportions of the two species in this area are currently unknown, but the data does show that mixed species harems are in any case fairly common). Taken from Wehi et al. (2014). .... 15
- Figure 2.2:** Distribution of three New Zealand species of tree weta (*Hemideina*). Distributions of chromosome races within species are delineated by dotted lines, with number representing chromosome number of males (XO). The distributions of the *H. thoracica* and *H. crassidens* chromosome races were taken from Morgan-Richards & Wallis (2003) and Morgan-Richards (2000) respectively. The four sympatric and two allopatric populations sampled in this chapter are indicated. .... 18
- Figure 2.3:** Prolateral leg spines on the right hind tibia for three weta found in the Manawatu. A: *Hemideina thoracica* specimen with 3 spines. B: Putative hybrid with '3.5' spines. C: *Hemideina crassidens* with 4 spines. .... 21
- Figure 2.4:** The relative proportions of weta at different ages for the two species where they overlap in the Kahutawera Valley in the Manawatu region. A: *H. thoracica*, B: *H. crassidens*. .... 24
- Figure 2.5:** Proportions of weta at different ages where they exist in sympatry at Mohi Bush Scenic Reserve. A: *H. thoracica*; B: *H. trewicki*. .... 25
- Figure 2.6:** Adult female specimens from the three North Island species of tree weta (genus *Hemideina*), showing typical colouration. Colour characters that were used in this study are indicated. .... 27
- Figure 2.7:** A: Juvenile male hybrid of *H. thoracica* and *H. crassidens*. B: Adult male hybrid of *H. thoracica* and *H. trewicki*. Photos not to scale. .... 28
- Figure 2.8:** Size distribution (hind tibia length) of adult female North Island tree weta (genus *Hemideina*) collected from the wild for the four sympatric populations and allopatric population of *H. thoracica* and *H. crassidens*. Different letters represent significantly different pairs of populations as given by the Tukey's test. .... 29
- Figure 2.9:** 95% CI for the means of the total number stridulatory ridges for each population of the three species examined in this study (genus *Hemideina*). Different letters represent significantly different pairs of populations as given by the Tukey's test. .... 30

**Figure 3.1:** The range of potential genetic results of sister species meeting. Different colours represent two hypothetical species and hybrids suffer selective disadvantage from very low (left) to high (right). ..... 41

**Figure 3.2:** Distribution of three New Zealand species of tree weta (*Hemideina*). Distributions of chromosome races within species are delineated by dotted lines, with number representing chromosome number of males (XO). The distributions of the *H. thoracica* and *H. crassidens* chromosome races were taken from Morgan-Richards & Wallis (2003) and Morgan-Richards (2000) respectively. The four sympatric and three allopatric populations sampled in this chapter are indicated. .... 44

**Figure 3.3:** A = Karyotype for an *H. crassidens* specimen, B = karyotype for an *H. thoracica* specimen, C = karyotype for an *H. trewicki* specimen. D = F<sub>1</sub> hybrid from *H. thoracica* and *H. crassidens*. E = F<sub>1</sub> hybrid from *H. thoracica* and *H. trewicki*. All mitotic spreads belong to male weta. .... 53

**Figure 3.4:** The three North Island tree weta species (genus *Hemideina*) are polymorphic for a 645bp sequence from the mtDNA CO1 gene. Integer Neighbour-Joining network showing genetic distances between haplotypes from the four sympatric populations of North Island tree weta (genus *Hemideina*), with the four clades separating into the three species (with *H. crassidens* having two clades), and the Manawatu hybrids (putative *H. thoracica* x *H. crassidens*) nearly all belonging to one *H. crassidens* clade. Colours represent sampling locations/species of weta, size of circles scaled by sample size..... 54

**Figure 3.5:** The three North Island tree weta (*Hemideina* spp.) are polymorphic for the nuclear gene Sperm flagella protein as revealed by sequencing 250 bp. Integer neighbour joining network showing relationships of 7 alleles identified at the Sperm flagella protein locus. Allele H is not shown here due to unresolved ambiguities. Colours represent sampling locations/species of weta, size of circles scaled by sample size..... 56

**Figure 3.6:** The three North Island tree weta (*Hemideina* spp.) are polymorphic for the nuclear gene Testis kinase 1 as revealed by DNA sequencing 269 bp. Integer neighbour joining network showing relationships of 10 Testis kinase 1 alleles identified. Allele F is not shown here due to unresolved ambiguities. Colours represent sampling locations/species of weta, size of circles scaled by sample size. .... 57

**Figure 3.7:** Diagram showing an approximation of the results for microsatellite locus HR35, with possible introgression from *H. crassidens* to *H. thoracica* in the Manawatu. *Hemideina crassidens* has more alleles than shown here (n=20), so blue alleles are not as frequent as depicted in the figure. .... 61

**Figure 3.8:** Population structure for the seven parent populations of North Island tree weta (genus *Hemideina*) examined in this study without F<sub>1</sub> hybrids. Graphs show average results of 10 structure iterations for K=2 to K=6, showing that populations structure could be inferred for all K values up to K = 5. .... 63/64

**Figure 3.9:** Genetic structure of *Hemideina thoracica* and *H. crassidens* and F<sub>1</sub> hybrids from the sympatric Manawatu population. Average results of 10 Structure iterations for K=2 and K=3, showing that K= 2 gives a much cleaner distinction between the two species..... 66

List of figures

**Figure 3.10:** Genetic structure of *Hemideina thoracica* and *H. trewicki* and F<sub>1</sub> hybrid from the sympatric Hawke’s Bay population. Average results of 10 Structure iterations for K=2 and K=3, showing that K= 2 gives a much cleaner distinction between the two species. .... 67

**Figure 3.11:** Probability that individuals belong to a particular parent or hybrid class for the sympatric *H. thoracica* and *H. trewicki* weta from Hawke’s Bay, showing strong support for the original classifications of individuals in this species pair. .... 68

**Figure 3.12:** Probability that individuals belong to a particular parent or hybrid class for the sympatric *H. thoracica* and *H. crassidens* weta from the Manawatu, showing strong support for the original classifications of individuals in this species pair, with the possible exception of one *H. crassidens* individual. .... 68

**Figure 3.13:** BayesAss estimates of gene flow between the sympatric species pairs with 95% confidence intervals, showing that gene-flow is between low and non-existent in both cases. .... 69



## List of Tables

|   |    |
|---|----|
| <b>Table 2.1:</b> Combination of characters that are known to differ between the three North Island <i>Hemideina</i> species, which were used to identify weta from parent populations and putative hybrids. ....   | 18 |
| <b>Table 2.2:</b> Sample sizes for each of the parent populations and the number of adult females available that were measured from each. ....  | 20 |
| <b>Table 2.3:</b> Observed numbers of parent and hybrid forms in the Kahutawera Valley, with calculated expected numbers (if the weta were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium). ....   | 23 |
| <b>Table 2.4:</b> Sex differences within and between <i>H. thoracica</i> and <i>H. crassidens</i> in the Kahutawera Valley. ....  | 23 |
| <b>Table 2.5:</b> Observed numbers of parent and hybrid forms at Mohi Bush Scenic Reserve, with calculated expected numbers (if the weta were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium). ....  | 24 |
| <b>Table 2.6:</b> Sex differences within and between <i>H. thoracica</i> and <i>H. trewicki</i> at Mohi bush Scenic Reserve. ....   | 25 |
| <b>Table 2.7:</b> Number of prolatral hind tibia spines on each leg for the four parent populations, putative hybrids, and two allopatric populations examined in this study. ....  | 28 |
| <b>Table 3.1:</b> Sample sizes for populations of tree weta (genus <i>Hemideina</i> ) from North Island New Zealand used to obtain genetic data. Karyotype and mitochondrial data were collected from a subset of the total sample (nuclear data was collected for all individuals). *Data obtained in previous studies (Morgan-Richards et al 2001; Bulgarella et al 2014). .... | 43 |
| <b>Table 3.2:</b> Microsatellite loci used in this study for the three North Island <i>Hemideina</i> species, along primer information and source. * Fluorescently labelled primer. ....  | 49 |
| <b>Table 3.3:</b> Sample size and karyotype for each sympatric population and putative hybrids from the two species pairs of North Island tree weta (genus <i>Hemideina</i> ). ....   | 52 |
| <b>Table 3.4:</b> MtDNA haplotypes observed in each population and putative hybrids from three tree weta species ( <i>Hemideina</i> spp.) from North Island New Zealand, including sample size. Colours represent species of origin. ....   | 55 |
| <b>Table 3.5:</b> Size range of alleles for six microsatellite loci and number of shared and private alleles observed in each tree weta species ( <i>Hemideina</i> spp.). (Number of private alleles are given in brackets). ....   | 58 |
| <b>Table 3.6:</b> Eight nuclear markers provide evidence of species specific alleles within each tree weta species in North Island New Zealand. Sample size and observed alleles for all populations, without putative hybrids. Coloured alleles/genotypes are private to that species. Putatively introgressed alleles are coloured according to population of origin. ....      | 59 |



List of tables

**Table 3.7:** Genetic data for the putative hybrids from the Manawatu region where *H. thoracica* and *H. crassidens* are sympatric. The three loci in the table all showed fixed differences between the two putative parent species. Red alleles belong to *H. thoracica* and blue alleles belong to *H. crassidens*. \*Determined in previous study..... 60

**Table 3.8:** Genetic data for the putative hybrid from the Hawke’s Bay region where *H. thoracica* and *H. trewicki* are sympatric. The four loci in the table showed fixed difference between the putative parent species. Red alleles belong to *H. thoracica* and purple alleles belong to *H. trewicki*. ..... 60

**Table 3.9:** Pairwise  $F_{ST}$  values for all parent populations examined in the three North Island tree weta (genus *Hemideina*), showing higher differentiation between species than populations within species. .... 62

**Table 3.10:** Results from the Evanno method comparing K values 2-6, showing that K = 2 has the most support, followed by K = 3, and then K = 5. .... 65

**Table 3.11:** Results for the Evanno method of comparison for the *H. thoracica* and *H. crassidens* sympatric populations in the Manawatu, with 10 iterations for each K value, showing that K = 2 has the most support..... 66

**Table 3.12:** Results for the Evanno method of comparison for the *H. thoracica* and *H. trewicki* sympatric populations in the Hawke’s Bay, with 10 iterations for each K value, showing that K = 2 has the most support..... 67

**Table 5.1:** Information for each hybrid weta, along with the experiments that each weta was involved in. .... 88

**Table 5.2:** Information for loci and primer pairs used in this study for the detection of *Wolbachia* in the three *Hemideina* species..... 91

**Table 5.3:** Sex, age and size data for each hybrid weta (*Hemideina* spp), along with instar at maturity..... 91

**Table 5.4:** Summary of mating behaviour displayed for each hybrid weta..... 93

**Table 5.5:** Age and presence or absence of eggs in the ovarian tissue of female  $F_1$  hybrids between *H. crassidens* and *H. thoracica*..... 94

**Table 5.6:** Results for captive breeding experiments with  $F_1$  hybrid *H. thoracica* x *H. crassidens* males. .... 94

**Table 5.7:** Results for weta DNA amplification with *Wolbachia*-specific PCR primers. \*Bands of the wrong size class were present. .... 95

**Table 15.1:** Alleles for sperm flagella protein locus..... 104

**Table 15.2:** Alleles for testis kinase 1 locus. .... 104

**Table 10.1:** Putative Hybrids between *H. thoracica* and *H. crassidens* from the Manawatu..... 111

List of tables

**Table 10.2:** Putative Hybrids between *H. thoracica* and *H. trewicki* from Hawke's Bay..... **111**

