

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# GENOMES IN SPACE AND TIME:

## INSIGHTS INTO THE FUNCTIONAL THREE-DIMENSIONAL ORGANIZATION OF PROKARYOTIC AND EUKARYOTIC GENOMES IN RESPONSE TO ENVIRONMENTAL STIMULI AND CELL CYCLE PROGRESSION

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctorate in Philosophy

In

Genetics

At Massey University, Albany, New Zealand

Ralph Stefan Grand

BSc (Hons)

2014







## ABSTRACT

The specific three-dimensional organization of prokaryotic and eukaryotic genomes and its contribution to cellular functions is increasingly being recognized as critical.

Bacterial chromosomes are highly condensed into a structure called the nucleoid. Despite the high degree of compaction in the nucleoid, the genome remains accessible to essential biological processes such as replication and transcription. Here I present the first high-resolution Chromosome Conformation Capture based molecular analysis of the spatial organization of the *Escherichia coli* nucleoid during rapid growth in rich medium and following an induced amino-acid starvation that promotes the stringent response. My analyses identified the presence of origin and terminus domains in exponentially growing cells. Moreover, I observe an increased number of interactions within the origin domain and significant clustering of SeqA binding sequences, suggesting a role for SeqA in clustering of newly replicated chromosomes. By contrast, "Histone-like" protein (*i.e.* Fis, IHF, H-NS) binding sites did not cluster suggesting that their role in global nucleoid organization does not manifest through the mediation of chromosomal contacts. Finally, genes that were down-regulated after induction of the stringent response were spatially clustered indicating that transcription in *E. coli* occurs at transcription foci.

The successful progression of a cell through the cell cycle requires the temporal regulation of gene expression, the number and condensation levels of chromosomes and numerous other processes. Despite this, detailed investigations into how the genome structure changes through the cell cycle and how these changes correlate with functional changes have yet to be performed. Here I present the results of a high resolution study in which we used synchronized Fission yeast (*Schizosaccharomyces pombe*) cells to investigate changes in genome organization and transcription patterns during the cell cycle. The small size of the Fission yeast genome makes this organism particularly amenable to studies of the spatial organization of its chromosomes. I detected cell cycle dependent changes in connections within and between chromosomes. My results show that chromosomes are effectively circular throughout the cell cycle and that they remain connected even during the M phase, in part by the co-localization of

repeat elements. Furthermore, I identified the formation and disruption of chromosomal interactions with specific groups of genes in a cell cycle dependent manner, linking genome organization and cell cycle stage specific transcription patterns. Determining the structure and transcript levels for matched synchronized cells revealed: 1) that telomeres of the same chromosome co-localization throughout the cell cycle, effectively circularizing the chromosomes; 2) that genes with high transcript levels are highly connected with other genomic loci and highly expressed genes at specific stages of the cell cycle; 3) that interactions have positive and negative effects on transcript levels depending on the gene in question; and 4) that metaphase chromosomes assume a 'polymer melt' like structure and remain interconnected with each other. I hypothesize that the observed correlations between transcript levels and the formation and disruption of cell cycle specific chromosomal interactions, implicate genome organization in epigenetic inheritance and bookmarking.

Over the course of mitochondrial evolution, the majority of genes required for its function have been transferred and integrated into nuclear chromosomes of eukaryotic cells. The ongoing transfer of mitochondrial DNA to the nucleus has been detected, but its functional significance has not been fully elucidated. To determine whether the recently detected interactions between the mitochondrial and nuclear genomes (mt-nDNA interactions) in *S. cerevisiae* are part of a DNA-based communication system I investigated how the reduction in interaction frequency of two mt-nDNA interactions (COX1-MSY1 and Q0182-RSM7) affected the transcript level of the nuclear genes (MSY1 and RSM7). I found that the reduction in interaction frequency correlated with increases in MSY1 and RSM7 transcript levels. To further investigate whether mt-nDNA interaction could be detected in other organisms and characterize their possible functional roles, I performed Genome Conformation Capture (GCC) on Fission yeast cell cycle synchronized in the G1, G2 and M phases of the cell cycle. I detected mt-nDNA interactions that vary in strength and number between the G1, G2 and M phases of the Fission yeast cell cycle. Mt-nDNA interactions formed during metaphase were associated with nuclear genes required for the regulation of cell growth and energy availability. Furthermore, mt-nDNA interactions formed during the G1 phase involved high efficiency, early firing replicating origins of DNA replication. Collectively, these results implicate the ongoing transfer of regions of the mitochondrial genome to the nucleus in the regulation of nuclear gene transcription and cell cycle progression following exit from metaphase. I propose that these

interactions represent an inter-organelle DNA-mediated communication mechanism.





## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank my supervisor Dr Justin O'Sullivan for taking me on to do my PhD and for his guidance throughout. Having someone to discuss ideas with has been extremely beneficial to my development, thank you Justin. I am also grateful for the opportunities you gave me, your wisdom, continued support and constructive criticism.

Thank you to my co-supervisors Professor Rob Martienssen, Professor Paul Rainey, and Dr Austen Ganley for being there to answer my questions, discuss ideas, and for your support. Thank you also to Dr Beatrix Jones for helping me with my statistical questions and Professor Philippe Collas for accommodating me in his lab.

Thank you to all the staff and students in building 11, 12 and 14 that have come and gone over the years that I have been at Massey, in particular past and present members of the O'Sullivan group, as well as members of Austen Ganley's and Evelyn Sattlegger's group, for all your help, time, use of equipment, consultation and friendship. I could not have asked for a better bunch of encouraging and understanding people to work with.

During my PhD (and entire time at Massey University) I have had the privilege of meeting some amazing people. My laboratory buddy and partner in crime Robbie Wilson, the best lab demonstrator ever Jarod Young, Chris Rodley, Martina Dautel, Jyothsna Visweswaraiyah, Saumya Agrawal, Mack Saraswat, Lutz Gehlen, Matthew Woods, and Andrew Cridge to name a few, thank you all very much for your time, knowledge and friendship. A special thanks to Lutz for teaching me how to program, it was great fun to learn and helped me extensively during my PhD. To all of those whose name is not mentioned that have helped me in some way, my apologies; thank you for your friendship and I am very appreciative of the time you took to help me out.

I would like to thank my parents and brother for their ongoing support and belief in me through the good and the bad times. Without them none of this would have been possible. Thank you.

To all my friends, thank you for being understanding on all the occasions when I could not do things because of work and being there when I had the time. Whether it was a fishing trip away for a few days, a gaze at the night sky, a beer or glass of wine, thanks you all for being my friends and supporting me throughout.



# TABLE OF CONTENT

ABSTRACT	I
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	V
TABLE OF CONTENT	VII
FIGURES	XIII
TABLES	XVI
ABBREVIATIONS	XVII
1 INTRODUCTION	2
<b>1.1 THE THREE-DIMENSIONAL ORGANIZATION OF GENOMES</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>1.2 THE THREE HIERARCHICAL LEVELS OF GENOME ORGANIZATION</b>	<b>4</b>
1.2.1 THE PRIMARY LEVEL OF GENOME ORGANIZATION: THE LINEAR ARRANGEMENT OF CHROMOSOMES	4
1.2.1.1 DNA replication of circular and linear chromosomes	7
1.2.2 THE SECOND LEVEL OF GENOME ORGANIZATION: SUPERCOILING AND PROTEIN BINDING ARE REQUIRED FOR THE COMPACTION OF CHROMOSOMES	9
1.2.2.1 Supercoiling is the primary mechanism for the secondary level organization of bacterial genomes	9
1.2.2.2 Efficient packaging of eukaryotic genomes is primarily accomplished by histone proteins	11
1.2.1 THE TERTIARY LEVEL OF GENOME ORGANIZATION: GENOMES IN SPACE	12
1.2.1.2 The spatial organization of the bacterial nucleoid	14
1.2.1.3 The spatial organization of eukaryotic genomes	18
1.2.1.3.1 Metaphase chromosomes	23
<b>1.3 GENOMES IN TIME</b>	<b>24</b>
1.3.1 THE RESPONSE TO ENVIRONMENTAL STIMULI	24
1.3.2 THE RESPONSE TO CELL CYCLE PROGRESSION	24
<b>1.4 DNA TRANSFER BETWEEN ORGANELLES</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>1.5 CENTRAL QUESTIONS</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>1.6 METHODS FOR THE STUDY OF SPATIAL ORGANIZATION</b>	<b>30</b>
1.6.1 MOLECULAR BIOLOGY TECHNIQUES FOR THE IDENTIFICATION OF CHROMOSOME ORGANIZATION	32
1.6.1.1 Cross-Linking	32
1.6.1.2 Chromosome Conformation Capture (3C)	35
1.6.1.3 Circular Chromosome Conformation Capture (4C)	36
1.6.1.4 Chromosome Conformation Capture Carbon-Copy (5C)	37
1.6.2 GLOBAL IDENTIFICATION OF DNA INTERACTIONS	37
1.6.2.1 Genome Conformation Capture (GCC)	38

1.6.2.2	Hi-C	39
1.6.3	METHODS TO LOCALIZE PROTEINS AT CHROMOSOME INTERACTION SITES	40
1.6.3.1	Combined 3C-ChIP-Cloning (6C)	40
1.6.3.2	Chromatin Interaction Analysis Using Paired-End Tag Sequencing (Chia-PET)	42
1.6.4	WHAT DO THE INTERACTIONS CAPTURED REPRESENT	42
<b>1.7</b>	<b>GOALS OF THE THESIS</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>GENOME CONFORMATION CAPTURE REVEALS THAT THE <i>ESCHERICHIA COLI</i> CHROMOSOME IS ORGANIZED BY REPLICATION AND TRANSCRIPTION</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>2.1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>47</b>
<b>2.2</b>	<b>RESULTS</b>	<b>49</b>
2.2.1	ORIGIN AND TERMINUS DOMAINS EXIST WITHIN THE <i>E. COLI</i> NUCLEOID	51
2.2.2	INTERACTIONS WITHIN THE ORI AND TER REGIONS ARE LINKED TO REPLICATION	52
2.2.3	CLUSTERING OF MATP AND SEQA BINDING SITES LINK NUCLEOID STRUCTURE AND REPLICATION	57
2.2.4	INTRA- OR INTER-NAP BINDING SITE CLUSTERING DOES NOT CONTRIBUTE TO THE GLOBAL ORGANIZATION OF THE <i>E. COLI</i> NUCLEOID.	60
2.2.5	GENES UP OR DOWN REGULATED FOLLOWING SHX TREATMENT EXIST IN DIFFERENT SPATIAL ENVIRONMENTS CONFIRMING FUNCTIONAL COMPARTMENTALIZATION OF THE NUCLEOID.	62
<b>2.3</b>	<b>DISCUSSION</b>	<b>66</b>
2.3.1	IS THE <i>E. COLI</i> NUCLEOID SHAPED AS A SAUSAGE OR ROSETTE?	67
2.3.2	REPLICATION CONTRIBUTES TO NUCLEOID ORGANIZATION THROUGH SEQA.	68
2.3.3	WHAT ROLE DOES THE MATS5-10 LOOP PLAY IN NUCLEOID ORGANIZATION?	70
2.3.4	DO "HISTONE-LIKE" NAPs PLAY A ROLE IN GLOBAL NUCLEOID STRUCTURE?	70
2.3.5	DO TRANSCRIPTION FOCI HAVE A ROLE IN NUCLEOID ORGANIZATION?	71
2.3.6	DOES A NUCLEOLUS-LIKE STRUCTURE FORM WITHIN THE <i>E. COLI</i> NUCLEOID?	72
2.3.7	EPISTATIC INTERACTIONS AND THE CHROMOSOME INTERACTION NETWORK	73
2.3.8	CONCLUSION	73
<b>2.4</b>	<b>MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	<b>74</b>
2.4.1	STRAINS AND GROWTH CONDITIONS	74
2.4.2	GENOME CONFORMATION CAPTURE (GCC)	74
2.4.3	PRODUCTION OF EXTERNAL LIGATION CONTROLS FOR GCC LIBRARY PREPARATION	75
2.4.5	GENOME CONFORMATION CAPTURE NETWORK ASSEMBLY, EFFECTS OF SAMPLE PRODUCTION AND PROCESSING, AND BIOINFORMATICS ANALYSIS	76
2.4.6	QUANTIFICATION OF THE EFFECTS OF BAR-CODING, SEQUENCING AND BIOLOGICAL REPLICATES ON NETWORK PATTERNS	77
2.4.7	FILTERING BASED ON LIGATION CONTROLS	78
2.4.8	COLLECTOR'S CURVE	79
2.4.9	ANALYSIS OF LOOP SIZE AND INTERACTING FRAGMENT DISTRIBUTIONS	80
2.4.10	INTERACTION FREQUENCY VERSUS DISTANCE FROM THE ORIGIN OF REPLICATION	81
2.4.11	GENOME COPY NUMBER	81
2.4.12	TRANSCRIPTION MICROARRAY	81

2.4.13	GENE ONTOLOGY (GO) TERM ENRICHMENT ANALYSIS OF THE SIGNIFICANTLY UP AND DOWN REGULATED GENES	82
2.4.14	CORRELATING TRANSCRIPTION LEVEL WITH INTERACTION FREQUENCY	82
2.4.15	TRANSCRIPTION REGULONS	83
2.4.16	GC CONTENT AND TRANSCRIPTION LEVELS	83
2.4.17	MATS, SEQA, SLMA AND NAP CLUSTERING ANALYSES	83
2.4.18	INTERACTIONS AND CLUSTERING OF GENES THAT SIGNIFICANTLY CHANGE THEIR EXPRESSION LEVEL UPON SHX TREATMENT	84
<b>2.5</b>	<b>DATA ACCESS</b>	<b>84</b>
<b>2.6</b>	<b>FUNDING</b>	<b>84</b>
3	GLOBAL ANALYSES OF CELL CYCLE DEPENDENT CHANGES IN FISSION YEAST GENOME ORGANIZATION REVEAL CORRELATIONS WITH ALTERATIONS IN TRANSCRIPT LEVELS	85
<b>3.1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>86</b>
<b>3.2</b>	<b>RESULTS</b>	<b>88</b>
3.2.1	<i>S. POMBE</i> GENOME ORGANIZATION CHANGES THROUGHOUT THE CELL CYCLE	88
3.2.2	CHANGES IN INTERACTIONS BETWEEN REPEAT CONTAINING GENOMIC REGIONS CONTRIBUTE TO CELL CYCLE SPECIFIC GENOME ORGANIZATION AND GENE EXPRESSION	96
3.2.3	CELL CYCLE DEPENDENT INTERACTIONS ARE NOT JUST ABOUT GENE REGULATION	100
3.2.4	GENES WITH HIGH TRANSCRIPT LEVELS THROUGHOUT THE CELL CYCLE ARE ASSOCIATED WITH A HIGH PROPORTION OF INTER-CHROMOSOMAL CO-LOCALIZATION.	105
3.2.5	GENES DIFFERENTIALLY REGULATED DURING THE G1 – G2 – M CELL CYCLE PHASE TRANSITIONS WERE LINEARLY AND SPATIALLY CO-LOCALIZED	110
<b>3.3</b>	<b>DISCUSSION</b>	<b>114</b>
<b>3.4</b>	<b>CONCLUSION</b>	<b>117</b>
<b>3.5</b>	<b>MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	<b>118</b>
3.5.1	STRAINS, GROWTH CONDITIONS AND SYNCHRONIZATION	118
3.5.2	SYNCHRONIZATION EFFICIENCY	118
3.5.3	CHROMATIN ISOLATION FOR GENOME CONFORMATION CAPTURE (GCC)	119
3.5.4	PRODUCTION OF EXTERNAL LIGATION CONTROLS FOR GCC LIBRARY PREPARATION	120
3.5.5	NETWORK ASSEMBLY	121
3.5.6	SIGNIFICANCE CUT-OFF CALCULATIONS	121
3.5.7	COLLECTOR'S CURVE	122
3.5.8	HEAT MAPS	122
3.5.10	RNA EXTRACTION	123
3.5.11	TRANSCRIPTOME ANALYSIS	123
3.5.12	THE CHROMOSOME DISTRIBUTION OF GENES WITH HIGH, LOW, AND DIFFERENTIAL TRANSCRIPT LEVELS	124
3.5.13	LOOP LENGTHS OF INTERACTIONS WITHIN CHROMOSOMES	124
3.5.14	THE DETERMINATION OF GENOME CONNECTIVITY AND CO-LOCALIZATION (CLUSTERING) LEVELS	125
3.5.15	GENE ONTOLOGY (GO) ANALYSIS	125

3.5.16	EXTRACTING GENES ASSOCIATED WITH DNA INTERACTIONS FOR GO AND TRANSCRIPTION OVERLAP ANALYSES	125
3.5.17	DETERMINING THE LIKELIHOOD OF ASSOCIATION WITH SPECIFIC INTERACTIONS	126
4	MITOCHONDRIAL-NUCLEAR DNA INTERACTIONS CONTRIBUTE TO THE REGULATION OF NUCLEAR TRANSCRIPT LEVELS AND THE REGULATION OF CELL CYCLE PROGRESSION	127
<b>4.1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>129</b>
<b>4.2</b>	<b>RESULTS</b>	<b>132</b>
4.2.1	MT-NDNA INTERACTIONS CONTRIBUTE TO THE REGULATION OF NUCLEAR TRANSCRIPT LEVELS AS PART OF THE INTER-ORGANELLE COMMUNICATION SYSTEM	132
4.2.2	MT-NDNA INTERACTIONS VARY THROUGHOUT THE <i>S. POMBE</i> CELL CYCLE	136
4.2.3	MT-NDNA INTERACTIONS ARE NOT ENRICHED FOR NUCLEAR ENCODED MITOCHONDRIAL GENES.	142
4.2.4	MT-NDNA INTERACTIONS SPECIFICALLY FORMED DURING METAPHASE OCCUR WITH GENES REQUIRED FOR CELL GROWTH AND DNA SYNTHESIS	144
4.2.5	G1 PHASE MT-NDNA INTERACTIONS ARE ASSOCIATED WITH HIGH EFFICIENCY, EARLY REPLICATING ORIGINS OF DNA REPLICATION	149
<b>4.3</b>	<b>DISCUSSION</b>	<b>152</b>
4.3.1	MT-NDNA INTERACTIONS REGULATE NUCLEAR ENCODED GENES IN <i>S. CEREVISIAE</i>	152
4.3.2	MT-NDNA INTERACTIONS DETECTED AT SPECIFIC PHASES OF THE <i>S. POMBE</i> CELL CYCLE ARE IMPLICATED IN THE ESTABLISHMENT OF TRANSCRIPTION AND INITIATING DNA REPLICATION	153
<b>4.4</b>	<b>MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	<b>156</b>
4.4.1	STRAINS AND GROWTH CONDITIONS	156
4.4.1.1	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	156
4.4.1.3	<i>Schizosaccharomyces pombe</i>	157
4.4.2	HARVESTING CELLS AND CHROMATIN PREPARATION	157
4.4.3	CHROMOSOME CONFORMATION CAPTURE (3C) SAMPLE PREPARATION	157
4.4.4	QUANTITATIVE 3C ANALYSES	158
4.4.5	RNA EXTRACTION	158
4.4.6	QUANTITATIVE REVERSE TRANSCRIPTION-PCR	159
4.4.7	THE GENERATION OF <i>S. POMBE</i> DATA	159
4.4.8	SIGNIFICANCE CUT-OFF CALCULATIONS	159
4.4.9	EXTRACTING NUCLEAR GENES ASSOCIATED WITH MT-NDNA INTERACTIONS FOR GO AND TRANSCRIPTION ANALYSES	160
4.4.10	DETERMINING IF NUCLEAR ENCODED GENE SETS ASSOCIATED WITH MT-NDNA INTERACTIONS WERE DETECTED IN SPECIFIC TRANSCRIPTION DATA SETS	160
4.4.11	DETERMINING WHETHER NUCLEAR RESTRICTION FRAGMENTS INVOLVED IN MT-NDNA INTERACTIONS OVERLAP WITH NUCLEAR ENCODED MITOCHONDRIAL GENES AND ARS SITES	161
5	DISCUSSION AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS	163
<b>5.1</b>	<b>CONSERVED AND STRUCTURAL VS DYNAMIC AND FUNCTIONAL</b>	<b>165</b>

<b>5.2</b>	<b>RESPONSE TO THE ENVIRONMENT AND CELL CYCLE PROGRESSION</b>	<b>170</b>
5.2.1	THE LINEAR AND SPATIAL CLUSTERING OF CO-REGULATED GENES	171
5.2.3	SPATIAL BOOKMARKING?	175
<b>5.3</b>	<b>REPEAT ELEMENTS MAY BE INVOLVED IN THE ESTABLISHMENT OF SPECIFIC TRANSCRIPTIONAL PROFILES</b>	<b>176</b>
<b>5.4</b>	<b>SHARING INFORMATION FOR A MUTUAL BENEFIT</b>	<b>178</b>
5.4.1	MT-NDNA INTERACTIONS PARTICIPATE IN THE COORDINATION OF MITOCHONDRIAL AND NUCLEAR PROCESSES	178
5.4.2	MITOCHONDRIA IN NEURONS	179
5.4.3	IMPLICATIONS FOR THE CO-EVOLUTION OF OTHER HOST-PARASITE INTERACTIONS	180
<b>5.5</b>	<b>FINAL REMARKS</b>	<b>181</b>
6	BIBLIOGRAPHY	183
7	APPENDIX I	212
8	APPENDIX II	239
9	APPENDIX III	253
10	APPENDIX IV	264





## FIGURES

Figure 1.1. Nuclear architecture is functionally linked to the organization and sorting of regulatory information. ....	5
Figure 1.2. DNA in eukaryotic cells is wound around nucleosomes that interact to form a chromatin fibre. ....	13
Figure 1.3. Molecular methods for the detection of chromosomal interactions. Refer to footnote for the figure legend. ....	34
Figure 2.1. Ori and Ter domains are present within the <i>E. coli</i> nucleoid. ....	50
Figure 2.2. There is a reduction in the number of long distance interactions in the SHX treated nucleoids. ....	53
Figure 2.3. Origin proximal interactions are more frequently detected. ....	54
Figure 2.4. There is an origin preferential reduction in the number of partners each restriction fragment interacts with in the SHX treated cells. ....	56
Figure 2.5. Binding sites for nucleoid associated proteins MatP and SeqA exhibit differing degrees of spatial clustering within the exponential and SHX treated <i>E. coli</i> nucleoids. ....	58
Figure 2.6. Annotated genes with transcripts that were up (644 genes) or down (687 genes) regulated following SHX treatment existed in different spatial environments. Refer to footnote for figure legend. ....	64
Figure 2.7. Genes whose transcript level changes upon SHX treatment did not correlate with genomic GC content and the raw transcript levels did not correlate with interaction frequency. ....	65
Figure 2.8. Spatial model of exponential phase nucleoid organization in <i>E. coli</i> . ....	68
Figure 2.9. Interactions attributed to H-NS clustering identified by Wang et al. 2011 were confirmed as occurring between A) <i>gadA:gadB</i> and B) <i>aceA:yddB</i> (indicated by the grey bars linking the ORFs (black boxes)). ....	71
Figure 2.10. Biological replicates of the <i>E. coli</i> interaction networks were highly correlated at the <i>HhaI</i> restriction fragment level. ....	77
Figure 2.11. A collectors curve was generated to determine the level of saturation of interaction detection. ....	80
Figure 3.1. The spatial organization of the <i>S. pombe</i> genome changes throughout the cell cycle. Refer to footnote for figure legend. ....	90
Figure 3.2. Correlation plots of <i>S. pombe</i> interaction networks for individual biological replicates and for each cell cycle phase. ....	91
Figure 3.3. The number of unique interactions detected was not fully saturated. ....	92
Figure 3.4. There is a marked increase in the number of within chromosome interactions with a loop length of up to ~5Kb in M phase chromosomes. ....	93
Figure 3.5. Interactions within chromosomes were predominantly shared by the three cell cycle phases, while interactions between chromosomes were largely cell cycle specific. ....	94
Figure 3.6. All three chromosomes were circularized throughout the cell cycle. ....	95
Figure 3.7. Centromere clustering was observed in heat maps of interactions involving repetitive loci. Moreover, repeat regions appear to demarcate the genome. ....	97
Figure 3.8. Repeat elements contribute to the formation of cell cycle specific genome organization. ....	98

Figure 3.9. Co-localisation between LTR elements occurred predominantly between chromosomes or LTR elements that were > 50 Kb apart on the same chromosome. ...	99
Figure 3.10. Genes that are differentially regulated during the G2 – M – G1 cell cycle transitions are overrepresented within interactions that form during this period of the cell cycle. Refer to footnote for figure legend.....	101
Figure 3.11. Description of gene sets used for GO and overlap analysis.....	102
Figure 3.12. Genes with high transcript levels are highly conserved throughout the cell cycle while low transcript level genes are not. ....	105
Figure 3.13. Genes with high transcript levels are more highly connected to the genome and co-localize more frequently than low transcript level genes. ....	106
Figure 3.14. The percentage of inter- compared to intra-chromosomal co-localization for genes with high, low and differential transcript levels. ....	108
Figure 3.15. Genes with high transcript levels were non-randomly distributed across one or more chromosomes at each stage of the cell cycle. ....	109
Figure 3.16. Genes that undergo differential expression during cell cycle phase transitions are found in specific interaction environments and co-localize in a cell cycle specific way. ....	112
Figure 3.17. Cell cycle specific differentially regulated genes are non-randomly distributed across the linear chromosomal sequences. ....	113
Figure 3.18. The level of cell cycle phase synchronization was calculated using the septation index of <i>S. pombe</i> cells before and after synchronization. ....	119
Figure 4.1. Mt-nDNA interactions require active mitochondrial reverse transcriptase machinery. ....	134
Figure 4.2. Knocking out mitochondrial encoded reverse transcriptase activity results in increased transcript levels of nuclear genes that are involved in mt-nDNA interactions. ....	135
Figure 4.3. Deletion of <i>MRS1</i> (BY4741 $\Delta mrs1$ ), a nuclear gene involved in splicing mitochondrial type-I introns, has no significant effect on the frequency of the <i>COX1-MSY1</i> interaction in glucose grown yeast cells. ....	136
Figure 4.4. Mitochondrial interaction networks for the biological replicates were highly correlated at the <i>Asel</i> restriction fragment level.....	137
Figure 4.5. Mt-nDNA interactions are cell cycle dependent.....	138
Figure 4.6. Mito-nuclear DNA interactions are largely specific to each cell cycle phase. By contrast, a large number of within mitochondrial interactions were unique to the M phase of the cell cycle.....	140
Figure 4.7. Mitochondrial fragments that interact with nuclear chromosomes also interact with other mitochondrial fragments and M phase mitochondrial genomes are the most highly connected. ....	141
Figure 4.8. Mt-nDNA interactions do not preferentially involve nuclear encoded mitochondrial genes. ....	143
Figure 4.9. Nuclear genes associated with mt-nDNA interactions formed specifically during metaphase are enriched in biological functions related to genomic DNA synthesis and energy regulation. ....	145
Figure 4.10. Mt-nDNA interactions that formed specifically during metaphase were associated with highly transcribed nuclear genes required for ribosome function. ....	147
Figure 4.11. Mt-nDNA interactions do not globally correlate with the differential regulation of nuclear genes. ....	148

Figure 4.12. G1 phase mt-nDNA interactions are enriched for high efficiency, early replicating autonomously replicating sequences (origins of replication)..... 150

Figure 4.13. Three mitochondrial fragments are involved in the majority of the interactions with ARS sites during the G1 phase of the cell cycle. .... 151

## TABLES

Table 1.1 Advantages and disadvantages of the different molecular methods for the detection of chromosomal interactions .....	41
Table 2.1. A summary of <i>E. coli</i> chromosomal interactions .....	52
Table 2.2. MatS loci were highly clustered in exponentially growing cells. ....	58
Table 2.3. SeqA sites cluster within the <i>E. coli</i> nucleoid. Refer to footnote for the table legend.....	59
Table 2.4. SlmA is highly connected with the genome but not with other SlmA sites. ..	61
Table 2.5. H-NS, IHF and Fis sites do not exhibit spatial clustering. ....	61
Table 2.6. Genes up and down regulated in response to SHX treatment were enriched in specific Gene Ontology terms. ....	63
Table 2.7. Genes that were highly expressed in exponential (exp) cells became weakly expressed in SHX treated cells and vice versa. ....	67
Table 2.8 <i>Escherichia coli</i> strain used in this study.....	74
Table 2.9. PCR primers used to generate the ligation controls used in this study.....	75
Table 2.10. Barcoding, sequencing lane and biological replicates did not affect the correlation between samples.....	78
Table 2.11. The number of annotated <i>E. coli</i> genes that were significantly up or down regulated in response to SHX treatment.....	82
Table 3.1. A large proportion of interactions detected at each phase of the cell cycle were within chromosomes.....	92
Table 3.2. The restriction fragments involved in a high frequency interaction detected within chromosome II both contained LTR elements. ....	99
Table 3.3. The absence of the interaction between two LTR elements in chromosome II in G2 phase was associated with the up regulation of an overlapping gene. ....	99
Table 3.4. The number of genes that were significantly differentially regulated during each <i>S. pombe</i> cell cycle transition. ....	104
Table 3.5. Table highlighting the number of genes that had the highest (top 5%) and lowest (bottom 5%) transcript levels at each cell cycle phase and whether they were differentially regulated during cell cycle transitions. ....	104
Table 3.6. <i>Schizosaccharomyces pombe</i> strains used in this study. ....	118
Table 3.7. An example calculation of the cell culture synchronization efficiency.....	119
Table 3.8. Ligation controls used in this study.....	121
Table 4.1. The number of interactions within the mitochondrial genome and between the mitochondrial and nuclear genomes varies at different phases of the <i>S. pombe</i> cell cycle. ....	140
Table 4.2. The numbers of <i>S. pombe</i> genes within the highest, lowest, and differential transcript levels at each stage of the cell cycle. ....	144
Table 4.3. <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> strains used in this study. ....	156
Table 4.4. Primers and probes used in this study.....	158

## ABBREVIATIONS

h	hour
min	minute
s	second
mmol	millimole
temp	temperature
Rm	room
O/N	overnight
OD	optical density
nm	nano-meter
MQ	milliQ
H <sub>2</sub> O	water
μl	microliters
rpm	revolutions per minute
°C	degrees celsius
μg	micrograms
U	units
v/v	volume per volume
w/v	weight per volume
ml	milliliters
g	g-force
Amp	ampicillin
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
V	volts
bp	base pair
Kb	kilo-base pair
Mb	mega-base pair
NTC	no template control
EM	electron microscopy
μm	micro-meter
GCC	genome conformation capture
LTR	long terminal repeat
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic Acid
mtDNA	mitochondrial DNA
nDNA	nuclear DNA
mt-nDNA	mitochondrial – nuclear DNA interactions

3C	chromosome conformation capture
4C	circular chromosome conformation capture
5C	chromosome conformation capture carbon copy
6C	combined 3C-ChIP-cloning
ChIA-PET	chromatin interaction analysis with paired-end tag sequencing
G1 phase	growth one phase of the cell cycle
S phase	DNA synthesis phase of the cell cycle
G2 phase	growth two phase of the cell cycle
M phase	metaphase of the cell cycle
PE	paired-end