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**Abstract**

This thesis investigates the extent of interdependency between the sulfur (S) and nitrogen (N) assimilation pathways in the commercially important, S-accumulating, monocot species, onion CUDH2107 (*Allium cepa* L.), to elucidate some of the regulatory points of cross-talk between these two pathways.

To test the interactions between the two pathways, a factorial S x N depletion experiment was set up. Plants were grown in short day conditions to maintain the pre-bulbing stage after which they were transferred to long day conditions to promote bulbing. At the end of the short day conditions, the plants were harvested as leaf, pseudo stem and root and at the end of the long day conditions, as leaf, bulb and roots, for each of the four treatments. The four treatments comprised of control treatment (designated C; comprising 14 mM N and 2 mM S), low S treatment (designated –S; comprising 14 mM N and 0.25 mM S), low N treatment (designated –N; comprising 3.5 mM N, 2 mM S) and coupled low S and N treatment (designated –S-N; comprising 0.25 mM S and 3.5 mM N).

In terms of changes in biomass, both the root and the shoot biomass tended to be higher under the –S treatment at the bulbing stage, although these changes were only significant for the root biomass. Under the –N and the –S-N treatments, the shoot biomass was much lower when compared with the control plants at both the pre-bulbing and the bulbing stage, although no change in the root biomass was observed. The exception was at the bulbing stage under the –S-N treatment where the root biomass was significantly higher when compared with the control plants.

At the transcriptional level in response to the -S treatment, the relative transcript abundance of commonly used S-starvation marker genes, *AcHAST1;1LIKE1* and *AcAPSR1* increased in both root and the leaf tissue and was more marked at bulbing. In contrast, transcript abundance of *AcAPSK1*, which marks a bifurcation in the S-assimilation pathway, decreased. At bulbing, a decrease in the relative transcript abundance of *AcATPS1*, *AcSIR1* and *AcOASTL3* in the leaf tissue and *AcATPS1*, *AcAPSK1*, *AcOASTL2*, *AcNRT2;1LIKE1* and *AcNiR1* in the root tissue was observed in response to the –S treatment. However, in response to N deprivation, under the –N as well as –S-N treatment, the transcript abundance of *AcHAST1;1LIKE1* and *AcAPSR1* was dramatically reduced in the roots with a significant induction in the leaf tissue at both the stages. In addition, relative transcript abundance of *AcATPS1*, *AcAPSK1* and *AcSOX1* also increased whereas *AcOASTL2*, *AcNR1*
and AcNiR1 decreased under the –N and the –S-N treatments in the leaf tissue, at pre-bulbing. However, at bulbing, transcript levels of AcOASTL2 and AcNR1 also increased under both, the –N and the –S-N treatments. In the roots, at pre-bulbing, the relative transcript abundance of AcHAST1;1LIKE1, AcNRT2;1LIKE1 and all the down-stream reductive S and N assimilation genes investigated declined, while the transcript abundance of AcAPSK1 increased. A similar response was observed at the bulbing stage for most genes except AcSOX1 and AcOASTL3 which increased and AcOASTL1, AcOASTL2 and AcNRT2;1LIKE1 showed no change. Similar to the leaf under the –S-N treatment, the transcriptional profile of the genes investigated in the roots under the –S-N treatment also showed a dominant response to N depletion.

In terms of protein accumulation and enzyme activity, AcSiR1 declined in the –S treatment but accumulated in the –N and the –S-N treatment in the leaf tissue at pre-bulbing whereas at bulbing, a decline in protein accumulation was observed under all three treatments. The AcSiR1 enzyme activity declined under the –S and the –N treatment but remained unchanged under the –S-N treatment in the leaf tissue at the pre-bulbing as well as the bulbing stage. In the roots, AcSiR1 accumulated under the –S treatment in both the stages whereas activity remained unchanged. No AcSiR1 protein could be detected under the –N treatment at both stages and in the –S-N treatment at pre-bulbing, whereas the activity increased under these treatments at both stages. Under the –S treatment in the leaf tissue, AcNiR1 accumulated slightly at both pre-bulbing and bulbing whereas the activity remained unchanged. Under the –N and the –S-N treatments, AcNiR1 declined in the leaves at pre-bulbing but accumulated at the bulbing stage. However, the activity remained unchanged at the pre-bulbing stage and was below the assay detection limit at bulbing. In the roots, the AcNiR1 accumulation response was similar to that in the leaf tissue under each treatment at both the stages, whereas the activity declined under all treatments at both stages except at the pre-bulbing stage under the –S treatment where it remained unchanged.

The accumulation of a set of targeted metabolites was also compared over the four treatments. A decline in the S containing flavour precursors, including the lachrymatory factor, thiopropanal-S-oxide, was observed in all tissues in response to low S supply. However, glutathione only declined in the leaf at the bulbing stage. An effect of the –S treatment on the accumulation of N-containing metabolites was observed as an accumulation of the amino-acids in the pseudo-stem and the bulb. In contrast, a decline in the accumulation of the amino-acids and derivatives was observed in the leaf at bulbing. In
response to the –N treatment, most of the N-containing metabolites declined systemically, including the N-pathway cysteine precursor, O-acetylseryeine and serine. Flavonol glucosides accumulated in a tissue-specific manner in the pseudostem at the pre-bulbing stage but declined in the bulb tissue. Generally, sugars accumulated systemically at both developmental stages whereas sugar phosphates accumulated only in the leaf and root tissue at the pre-bulbing stage. The lachrymatory factor thiopropanal-S-oxide, accumulated in the leaf at the pre-bulbing stage but declined at the bulbing stage in response to the –N treatment. The metabolite accumulation profile in the plants under the –S-N treatment was similar in all tissues to that of the –N treatment at both the stages.

The results from the factorial experiment suggest a hierarchy of N nutrition over S nutrition in A. cepa, where the incorporation and accumulation of S metabolites as well as bulb formation is regulated by N availability.

A putatively novel point of interaction between the S-assimilation and the N-assimilation pathways via sulfite reductase (AcSiR1) and nitrite reductase (AcNiR1) was also investigated. Recombinant AcSiR1 and AcNiR1 were each able to reduce both sulfite and nitrite, although with a higher specific activity for the physiological substrate in each case. Further, solid phase binding assay indicated a positive interaction between the two recombinant proteins, although this could not be confirmed by Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC). In addition to this, in a short term S x N depletion experiment with Arabidopsis, AtSiR1 transcripts only declined in the –S-N treatment in the leaves whereas AtNiR1 transcripts declined in the –S, -N as well as –S-N treatment in wild type plants. In the roots, AtSiR1 transcripts decline in both the –N and the –S-N treatment in the roots whereas no significant change was observed in the AtNiR1 transcripts. In a sir1 T-DNA knock-down line of Arabidopsis, the AtSiR1 and the AtNiR1 transcripts did not change in response to any treatment in both leaf and the roots.

Substrate redundancy between AcSiR1 and AcNiR1, in vitro, along with the other interaction studies suggest that although both AcSiR1 and AcNiR1 can reduce both substrates, the possibility of this being a direct point of cross-talk between the two pathways is not conclusively established.
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Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1 Project overview

Sulfur (S) and nitrogen (N) are two of the essential macronutrients required by plants to sustain growth and reproduction. These nutrients are incorporated in plants via the multistep S and N-assimilation pathways respectively. This process is an integral part of the planet’s natural recycling system, the sulfur and the nitrogen bio-geological cycles. Since the drop in SO2 emissions across the globe, especially in the western Europe, S deficiency in crop species such as wheat and rapeseed has become a major agricultural concern (Hawkesford & De kok, 2007). This has led to increasing interest in studying S incorporation mechanism and the genes involved in the S-assimilation pathway in plants. However, the S-assimilation pathway is indirectly regulated by optimal N supply as the precursor to cysteine, o-acetyl-L-serine is a product of acetylation of the amino acid serine (Droux et al., 1998). Due to its low bioavailability as a nutrient in the soil, farming practices of un-capped N fertilization has led to excessive leaching of N through the soil and into water-bodies which has, in-turn, increased the problem of eutrophication (V. H. Smith & Schindler, 2009). Hence nitrogen availability and increasing nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) in plants with limited N-fertilization has been a long standing goal of agricultural research.

For S-accumulating commercially important species such as the members of the Brassicaceae, a lot of research studying the interaction between the S and N-assimilation pathway has been done, as members of this family accumulate S-containing secondary metabolites known as glucosinolates which are nutritionally important for their antioxidant properties (Kliebenstein et al., 2001). In another commercially important plant genus, the Alliums, S is also important as it is a major component of the flavour compounds known as the cysteine sulfoxides which also contribute towards the characteristic pungency of the bulb (Eady et al., 2008; McCallum et al., 2011; McManus et al., 2012). The bulbs in Alliums form a sink for reduced sulfur compounds which are then mobilized during flowering (Lee et al., 2013). The reduced S-compounds stored in the bulbs are also nutritionally important, adding to the commercial value of the bulb.

As the reductive assimilation of S is dependent on precursors from the N-assimilation pathway, it is important to study the interactions between these two assimilation pathways in an effort to understand how cross-talk might regulate the assimilation of down-stream metabolites under limiting S or N conditions. Interestingly, the N and S-assimilation
pathways also share an uncanny similarity, as an enzyme in the S-assimilation pathway, sulfite reductase (SiR) has a structurally and functionally similar counterpart in the N-pathway, nitrite reductase (NiR) (Krueger & Siegel, 1982; Nakayama, Akashi & Hase, 2000; Lukat et al., 2008). Both of these enzymes are co-localized in the plastids and assist in the six electron reduction of sulfite/nitrite to sulfide/ammonia. Although some functional redundancy in vitro, between these two enzymes has been shown in prokaryotes (Lukat et al., 2008), as well as in higher plants such as spinach (Krueger & Siegel, 1982), the possibility of interaction between the N and S-assimilation pathway through this commonality has not been investigated before. Thus the focus of this study has been to examine the effect of S and/or N depletion on key enzymes of the S and N-assimilation pathways and the downstream accumulation of metabolites. A secondary aim is to explore the possibility of interaction between the S and N pathway indirectly as enzyme recruitment or directly as protein-protein interaction between AcSiR1 and AcNiR1.

For the first part of the study, a factorial experiment was designed with four different combinations of N and S concentrations leading to a N and S replete treatment (C; 14 mM N and 2 mM S), low S treatment (-S; 14 mM N and 0.25 mM S), low N treatment (-N; 3.5 mM N and 2 mM S) and a low S and N treatment (-S-N; 3.5 mM N and 0.25 mM S). The response of key S and N assimilation genes was investigated at the transcriptional and translational level for two developmental stages, the pre-bulbing stage and the bulbing stage in roots and leaves. Accumulation of major S and N metabolites and also the S-containing volatiles were then determined for roots and leaves and, where possible, also the pseudo-stem and the bulb.

For the second part of the study, recombinant AcSiR1 and AcNiR1, generated using the E. coli expression system, were used to establish enzyme redundancy and to study the possibility of a direct interaction as a protein-protein complex. As reverse genetics approaches could not be explored as a means to study the recruitment of AcSiR1 or AcNiR1 under limited expression of the either of the genes for A. cepa, an Arabidopsis SIR knock-down line (sir1-1), recently characterized by Khan et al., 2010, was used to study the repercussions of limited AtSiR1 expression on the N-assimilation pathway, mainly AtNiR1 expression. A short term S and N deprivation factorial experiment was also conducted for both the wild type and the sir1-1 line to investigate any transient recruitment at the transcriptional level.
1.2 Overview of the essential elements of plants

A fascinating and rather important capability of living cells is to take up substances from the environment and subsequently assimilate these to synthesize their own cellular components. This phenomenon of taking up chemical compounds needed for growth and metabolism may be defined as nutrition and the substances required, as nutrients. Various specific metabolic processes help convert these nutrients into cellular components or substances required for energetic reactions. The term “metabolism” thus encompasses all of the chemical reactions that occur in a living cell to sustain life. Nutrition and metabolism are therefore interconnected phenomena.

The exclusivity of plants is in the fact that all of the essential nutrients required are inorganic. Plants use solar radiation as an energy source and convert it to chemical energy in the form of organic compounds, a process supported by the uptake of inorganic nutrients from the soil and the atmosphere (Mengel and Kirkby 2001).

A precise set of criteria for an element to be essential, as opined by Arnon and Stout (1939), states that:

1- It must be required for the completion of the life cycle of the plant.
2- The deficiency is specific for the element in question.
3- The element is directly involved in the nutrition of the plant (e.g. required for an enzyme to work or constituent of an essential metabolite).

Based on the growth requirement for a given nutrient, the essential elements are divided into two groups namely the macronutrients and the micronutrients. The macronutrients, as suggested by the name, are consumed in larger quantities and make up between 0.2% to 4% each of plant dry weight. These are nitrogen (N), phosphorous (P), potassium (K), calcium (Ca), sulfur (S) and magnesium (Mg) (Mengel and Kirkby 2001).

The micronutrients are required only in very minute quantities, and mainly are constituents of enzyme co-factors and prosthetic groups and are required for catalytic activities of different enzymes such as the Mo-dependent enzyme nitrate reductase and the Fe-dependent class of oxido-reductases (Mengel and Kirkby 2001).
1.3 Sulfur (S) as an essential macronutrient

Sulfur constitutes between 0.1 to 1% of plant dry weight and is required for synthesis of the amino acids cysteine and methionine (Khan et al., 2008). Sulfur containing compounds are important for numerous aspects of crop quality and for the natural resistance of plants to various bacterial and viral pathogens as well as insect pests. Plants require sulfur to synthesize a spectrum of S compounds with diverse physiological functions. For example, the tripeptide glutathione, an S-containing compound, is required for plant response to abiotic stress (increase in reactive oxygen species, uptake of heavy metals). Another example is the secondary S metabolite group, the glucosinolates, which are pathogen-directed defense compounds synthesized by plants. Finally, The thiol group of cysteine in proteins maintains protein structure by the formation of disulfide bridges between two cystine residues. Sulfur is also found in vitamins and co-factors (Saito, 2004) and is also incorporated into sulfoquinovosyl diglycerol (SQDG), a sulfolipid, which is a component of the thylakoid-membrane, found in all photosynthetically active organisms. Given the importance of this macronutrient, much research has been directed towards understanding the pathway leading to its uptake and incorporation in plants, which will be discussed in further detail in the next section.
1.4 Sulfur assimilation in plants

Sulfur assimilation in plants plays a key role in the sulfur cycle in nature. The incorporation of sulfur into cysteine in plants can begin by the uptake of sulfate by the roots via specialized transporters or as inorganic sulfur from the soil or as sulfur dioxide gas from the atmosphere. In the recent times, depletion of sulfur-containing air pollutants has led to sulfur deficiency in many agriculture areas of the world, such as Western Europe (Saito, 2004).

Figure 1.1 Sulfur cycle in nature Sulfate taken up by the soil is assimilated by plants (green arrow) and algae. Soil microorganisms mineralize organic sulfur to sulfate via dissimilatory metabolism. Volatile dimethylsulfide (DMS) made by algae by conversion of dimethylsulfoniopropanoate (DMSP) is also released into the atmosphere along with volatile compounds from volcanoes and SO₂ gas as industrial pollutant. These compounds are then oxidized back to sulfate in the atmosphere and are recycled via precipitation as rain. From Takahashi et al. (2011)
The need to understand the mechanisms of sulfur assimilation in detail has been readily recognized and much work has been done in terms of understanding the mechanisms involved at a molecular and biochemical level. A simplified representation of sulfur assimilation pathway in plants, with the enzymes involved and their cellular localization, is depicted below:

**Figure 1.2 Schematic representation of plant sulfur assimilation and subcellular localization of its major enzymes** The genes are abbreviated as SULTR (sulfate transporter), ATPS (ATP sulfurylase), APR (APS reductase), SIR (sulfite reductase), SAT (serine acetyltransferase), OAS-TL (O-acetylsertine thiolyase) γ-ECS (γ-glutamylcysteine synthetase), GSHS (glutathione synthetase) APSK (APS kinase), SOX (Sulfite oxidase), SOT (sulfotransferase). Dashed lines represent multiple reaction steps; dotted lines indicate unconfirmed transport steps. Modified from Takahashi et al., 2011.
1.4.1 Uptake mechanisms of sulfate from the soil

Sulfate (SO$_4^{2-}$) is the most oxidized and the most common form of sulfur in nature. Plants primarily make use of the proton gradients across the membrane (proton/sulfate co-transport system) to mediate sulfate influx into plants and within the plant compartments (Takahashi et al., 2011). Specialized membrane proteins called sulfate transporters, distributed throughout the plant, are involved in this process. The sulfate transporters possess 12 membrane-spanning domains and belong to a large family of cation/solute co-transporters (Hawkesford, 2003). In addition, these proteins also contain a STAS (sulfate transporter and antisigma factor antagonists) domain which has been shown to be involved in protein-protein interactions between SULTR1;2 and OAS-TL in Arabidopsis, and may have a regulatory function in sulfur sensing (Shibagaki & Grossman, 2010). Twelve genes have been identified to encode the sulfate transporter family in Arabidopsis and rice (Oryza sativa), whereas 10 transporter genes have been characterized in wheat (Triticum aestivum). Studies, in silico, have suggested a 14 gene family for poplar and 15 for grape (Vitis vinifera). The members of the multi-gene sulfate transporter family are classified under four subfamilies from SULTR1 through to 4 according to their amino acid sequences. A fifth group of transporters has also been proposed which shares low, but definite similarities with the other transporters based on the genome database for Arabidopsis and wheat. However, more recently a member of this group, SULTR5:2, was shown to be a high affinity molybdate transporter (Tomatsu et al., 2007).

SULTR1 gene family is designated as high affinity transporters which are induced under S starvation (Buchner et al., 2010). The group comprises of three members, SULTR1;1, 1;2 and SULTR1;3 in Arabidopsis, V.vinifera, T. aestivum and O. sativa and only one member has been identified so far in poplar. The SULTR1;1 and 1;2 are involved in the initial sulfate uptake from the rhizosphere (Saito, 2004). SULTR1;3, a phloem localized high affinity transporter, is involved in long distance source to sink translocation (Yoshimoto et al., 2003). The most strongly represented member of the family SULTR1;1 shows species specific variation in localization and expression under S limiting conditions. For example, SULTR1;1 in wheat, is duplicated and expressed as SULTR1;1a and SULTR1;1b and is expressed in multiple tissues in both root and the shoot (Buchner et al., 2010). In Arabidopsis, expression of AtSULTR1;1 is confined mainly to lateral root cap, root hairs, cortex and the epidermis of the roots with low level expression in auxiliary buds of leaves.
under low external sulfate (Takahashi et al., 2000). In rice, four splice variants have been suggested for this gene with tissue specific expression of at least one of the variants, OsSULTR1;1b.

Group 2 transporters are low affinity transporters localized in vascular tissues and are involved in the uptake of sulfate from the plant apoplast into vascular cells. SULTR2;1 along with root to shoot transport, is also involved in transport of S to the seed as indicated by presence in the base of the silique (Awazuhara et al., 2005). The group consists of two members, SULTR2;1 and 2;2 in Arabidopsis, O. sativa, V. vinifera and P. trichocarpa and one member in T. aestivum.

Group 3 transporters are described as leaf expressed and have five representatives in the Arabidopsis, T. aestivum, P. trichocarpa and V. vinifera transporter families. In common with group 2, these too are low affinity transporters (Hawkesford, 2003). A member of the group, SULTR3;1, has been recently characterized as a chloroplast localized sulfate transporter in Arabidopsis (Cao et al., 2013). The members of the group 3 family may also be involved in sulfate translocation within the various compartments in developing seeds, as T-DNA lines of different members of this group show an increased ratio of sulfate to total sulfur with a decline in cysteine content indicating reduced sulfate metabolism in seeds (Zuber et al., 2010).

The group 4 family contains two transporters, at least, in Arabidopsis, one each in O. sativa and T. aestivum, six in P. trichocarpa and five members in V. vinifera. This group is responsible for sulfate transport from the vacuole to the cytoplasm, especially under sulfate limitation, when the demand for S increases (Kataoka et al., 2004).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>Group 3</th>
<th>Group 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arabidopsis. sp</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O. sativa</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. aestivum</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. trichocarpa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. vinifera</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.1 Sulfate transporter gene family members for different species classified into four different groups, with the number of members in each group for each species indicated (From Buchner et al., 2004, 2010, Kopriva et al., 2009). For V. vinifera reference Arabidopsis sequences were also aligned against V. vinifera sequences using the NCBI database.
1.4.2 S-reductive assimilation

Sulfate reduction and cysteine biosynthesis is a multistep process involving a series of enzyme-catalyzed steps, cross-talk with other metabolic pathways and certain regulatory mechanisms. The role played by each enzyme in this process is discussed in detail below.

1.4.2.1 Adenosine-5’-triphosphate sulfurylase (EC 2.7.7.4)

ATP sulfurylase (ATPS) characterizes the entry point for sulfate into the sulfur assimilation pathway. Sulfate, before assimilation, needs to be activated to adenosine-5’-phosphosulfate (APS). This is brought about by linking sulfate to a phosphate residue via an anhydride bond with the consumption of ATP and release of pyrophosphate (Takahashi et al., 2011). This reaction is catalyzed by ATPS.

In most higher plants investigated so far, ATPS activity has been found both in the chloroplast as well as cytosol (Lunn et al., 1990; Klonus et al., 1994; Rotte & Leustek, 2000). Most photosynthetic organisms investigated so far contain at least two copies of the ATPS gene except *S. moellendorffii*. In *A. cepa*, although only one ATPS gene copy exists, protein fractionation of chloroplasts followed by spot alignment using 2D-PAGE suggested the existence of two isoforms (Thomas, 2008). In *Arabidopsis* and *Populus trichocarpa*, four genes coding for ATPS gene have been found. All four genes from the *Arabidopsis* family have been reported to encode only the plastidic form, and so it is hypothesized that the cytosolic form is produced by translation from an alternate start codon (Yi et al., 2010). However, the existence of both cytosolic and plastidic forms is not universal in all species. The role of the cytosolic ATPS, if present, is also still unclear, as activated APS needs to be transported to plastid for further reduction. As APS is also required for the sulfation reaction via adenosine 5’ phosphosulfate kinase (APSK; EC 2.7.1.25) to form 3-phosphoadenosine 5’ phosphosulfate (PAPS), the activity of the cytosolic isoform may be linked to cytosolic APS kinase and involved in provision of PAPS for secondary metabolism (Rotte & Leustek, 2000). A possible post-transcriptional regulation for ATPS, at least in *Arabidopsis*, has been suggested. Three out of the four genes (excluding ATPS2) of *Arabidopsis* are targeted by microRNA (mir395) which is in turn regulated by the
transcription factor SLIM1 that is inducible by sulfate deficiency (Kawashima et al., 2011). Cleavage of specific ATPS transcripts by mir395, leading to reduction in translation and hence activity, has also been predicted for *O. sativa, M. trunculata, S. bicolor, S. lycopersicum, P. trichocarpa, Z. mays* and *V. vinifera* (Liang, Yang, & Yu, 2010). This specific regulation suggests a differential function of the three targeted isoforms from ATPS2. In wheat however, ATPS has not been found to be a target for mir395 (Li, Zheng et al., 2013), indicating that regulation by mir395 might not be universal in all plant species. In addition, R2R3-MYB transcription factors have also been found to target *ATPS1* and *ATPS3* in *Arabidopsis* (Kopriva et al., 2012). Therefore it can be safely said that even though there is a functional redundancy at some level, the different ATPS isoforms have differential and specialized biological functions (Kopriva et al., 2009).

1.4.2.2 Adenosine-5′-phosphosulfate reductase (EC 1.8.4.9)

APS is reduced to sulfite by APS reductase (APSR). In common with ATPS, APSR gene also encodes a transit peptide to direct the mature protein into the plastids. The mature protein of APSR consists of 2 domains. The N domain resembles PAPS reductase and the C-terminal domain exhibits homology similar to the redox protein, thioredoxin (Bick et al., 1998). Unlike the APSR found in sulfur-reducing bacteria, plant APSRs contains a 4Fe-4S cluster as a sole co-factor (Kopriva et al., 2001). In most vascular plants, APSR is encoded by a small gene family (Kopriva et al., 2009). The two-electron reduction of APS to sulfite, catalyzed by APSR is thiol-dependent. A reduced cysteine residue of APSR reacts with APS to form an enzyme-(Cys)-S-SO₃⁻ intermediate releasing AMP, which is then reduced with GSH to liberate SO₃²⁻. The enzyme is later regenerated by a second GSH molecule (Saito, 2004). For sulfur accumulating species like the Alli ums, where GSH-derived reduced compounds are accumulated, it has been shown that ATPS and APSR form a supra-molecule *in-vitro*, suggesting that the assimilation flux may be preferentially directed in favor of reductive assimilation instead of the oxidative pathway *via* APS kinase (Cumming et al., 2007).

APSR is strongly regulated at the transcriptional level through feedback inhibition from thiol-containing compounds such as cysteine and GSH, nitrate deficiency and CO₂ deficiency. Conversely, an increase in transcript level of the APSR gene family is observed under OAS accumulation and sulfate deficiency (Lee et al., 2011). More recently, a bZIP
transcription factor, long hypocotyl 5 (HY5), was identified as a key regulator of APSR transcription, specifically targeting APSR1 and 2. The regulation of APSR by OAS or nitrate deficiency was disrupted in the HY5 mutants, suggesting its involvement in nitrate to sulfate assimilation signaling (Lee et al., 2011).

1.4.2.3 Sulfite reductase (EC 1.8.7.1)

Sulfite reductase (SiR) catalyzes the six-electron reduction of sulfite to sulfide. It is a plastid-localized enzyme which uses ferredoxin as electron donor in photosynthetic cells and NADPH in non-photosynthetic cells (Saito, 2004). *Arabidopsis* and most other species have only a single gene copy for SiR which encodes a protein product with a transit peptide to direct the mature protein into the plastids. It shares structural and functional similarities with another enzyme, nitrite reductase, which operates in the nitrogen-assimilation pathway. Considering the cytotoxic nature of sulfite, the importance of this enzyme as a regulatory milestone in the sulfate assimilation has gained importance only recently. The recent finding has corroborated its importance in the assimilatory pathway where SiR knockouts were found to be all seedling lethal (Khan et al., 2010). SiR knockdowns in *Arabidopsis thaliana* are either seedling lethal or strongly retarded in growth. The surviving line *sir1-1*, exhibited an activity of 17-28% compared to wild type, suggesting that a minimum threshold expression and activity is required in plants for survival and growth. Radioactive labeling experiments showed a 28-fold decrease in incorporation of $^{35}$S into S containing amino acids and metabolites, mainly cysteine and GSH. Complementation of mutants with *35S:SiR* restored the wild type thiol levels corroborating the evidence for the significance of SiR as a control point in the pathway (Khan et al., 2010). Similar work done in tomato using RNAi generated SiR knock-down lines has shown the importance of this gene in preventing early senescence by keeping sulfite accumulation below cytotoxic level in plants (Brychkova et al., 2013), hence reinforcing the cytotoxic nature of sulfite and the functional importance of SiR as an essential sulfite scavenger.
1.4.2.4 The serine acetyl transferase (SAT) (EC 2.3.1.30)-O acetylserine (thiol) lyase (OASTL) (EC 4.2.99.8) Complex

The final step in the pathway is the incorporation of sulfide in the OAS backbone, leading to formation of cysteine. This crucial step also links carbon and nitrogen assimilation with sulfur assimilation. This step is achieved conjointly by two enzymes, namely serine acetyltransferase (SAT) and O-acetyl serine (thiol) lyase (OASTL) which do so by forming a complex called the cysteine synthase complex. Both these enzymes are found in three major compartments of the cell: the cytosol, the mitochondrion and the plastid. SAT catalyzes the formation of O-acetyl serine (OAS) from serine and acetyl-CoA. OAS, being the connecting link for S, N and C pathways, plays a major regulatory function and has been shown to be a limiting factor for S assimilation (Saito, 2004).

The Arabidopsis SAT family encodes five genes, three of which play a major role in OAS formation (Kopriva et al., 2009). These major isoforms of SAT have been shown to be functionally redundant (Watanabe et al., 2010). The group produced quadruple mutant combinations for all five genes, three of which then showed dwarfism. The quintuple mutant however, was embryo lethal. The three cytosolic isoforms have been shown to play a major role during seed development, whereas the mitochondrial isoform was shown to play the dominant role in cellular OAS formation.

OASTL catalyzes the formation of cysteine from OAS and hydrogen sulfide. This catalytic reaction requires pyridoxal phosphate as a cofactor. The enzyme OASTL belongs to a large family comprising nine isoforms in Arabidopsis. Other higher plants possess six to ten OASTL genes (Kopriva et al., 2009). As a part of the hetero-oligomeric complex cysteine synthase, OASTL is found to be inactive but the excess of free OASTL present as a homodimer seems to be required to achieve full SAT activity and conversion of OAS to cysteine (Takahashi et al., 2011). Excess OAS can dissociate the complex but this event can be overcome by the stabilizing effect of sulfide, indicating a mechanism of regulation in the pathway (Takahashi et al., 2011). Cysteine can be synthesized in three major compartments of the plant, the cytosol, mitochondria and chloroplasts (Heeg et al., 2008). Null mutants of all of the major OASTL isoforms are able to develop normally and so this along with studies done on SAT isoform mutants suggests that there is free exchange of sulfide, OAS and
cysteine across these organelle membranes. The results also suggest that the cytosol and not the plastid is the major cysteine synthesizing compartment (Watanabe et al., 2010).

Assimilation via the cysteine synthase complex is not the only assimilaton pathway for sulfate existing in plants. APS kinase (E.C 2.7.1.25) forms a divergent oxidative pathway from APSR and catalyzes the formation of PAPS, which is exported from the chloroplast. In Arabidopsis and other members of the Brassicaceae, PAPS is a substrate for the formation of an array of S-containing glucosinolate compounds whereas in non-glucosinolate accumulating species it is the sulfate donor for brassinosteroids, jasmonates and other sulfonated compounds (Mugford et al., 2009; Raviliouset al., 2012).

### 1.4.2.5 Sulfite oxidase (EC 1.8.3.1)

Sulfite oxidase (SOX), a fairly recent addition to the well characterized S-assimilation pathway genes, is a molybdenum-containing enzyme localized in the peroxisomes. The reaction catalysed uses molecular oxygen as an electron donor to oxidize sulfite back to sulfate releasing a molecule of hydrogen peroxide (Hänsch et al., 2007). The enzyme has been shown to function to protect plants from sulfite toxicity. For example, over-expression of ZmSO in tobacco lines has been shown to increase tolerance to sulfite stress while the enzyme in tomato has been shown to induce sulfite accumulation under no-light conditions (Xia et al., 2012; Brychkova et al., 2013). SOX activity has also been shown to protect plants against exposure to high concentration of SO₂. Recently, the importance of SOX for sulfite detoxification in seed germination has also been elucidated by Xia et al (2014) showing its expression to be crucial for timely germination of Arabidopsis seeds exposed to sulfite.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SULTR</th>
<th>ATPS</th>
<th>APSR</th>
<th>SiR</th>
<th>SAT</th>
<th>OASTL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arabidopsis</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O. sativa</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. bicolor</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. trichocarpa</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.2 Summary of the reductive S-assimilation genes and members in the gene family in different plant species. **SULTR:** sulfate transporter, **ATPS:** ATP sulfurylase, **APSR:** adenosine 5' phosphosulfate reductase, **SiR:** sulfite reductase, **SAT:** serine acetyl transferase, **OASTL:** O-acetylserine thiolyase (From Kopriva et al., 2009)
1.5 S-starvation responses and pathway regulation in plants

In the past decade, the technological advancement in sequencing and especially the streamlining of the “omics” platform has led to a much more precise understanding of responses involved in sulfur starvation in plants at the genetic level.

At a physiological level, the sulfur starvation response is characterized by pale green young leaves and an increase in root to shoot ratio (Ferreira & Teixeira, 1992; Hell, 1997). This phenotype at the transcriptional and post-transcriptional level, relates to an initiation of a whole network of responses involving genes not only from the S-assimilation pathway but also the N and the C assimilation pathways.

At a transcriptional level, the genes involved in S reductive assimilation are induced by S limitation via a feedback mechanism involving the accumulation of downstream products of the pathway such as OAS, cysteine and GSH (Takahashi et al., 2011). This is seen as an up-regulation in the sulfate transporter genes, mainly the members of the high affinity sulfate transport family, SULTR1;1 and SULTR1;2 which are responsible for uptake of sulfur from the roots. Of these, SULTR1;1 is more responsive to S limitation and is more highly induced compared to SULTR1;2 as the protein encoded by it has been shown to have a lower $K_m$ for sulfate (Takahashi et al., 2000). However, the expression of SULTR1;1, differs between monocots and dicots as unlike dicots, SULTR1;1 is expressed in both the root and the shoot in monocots and no SULTR1;2 homolog has been found in monocots. Thus in monocots, SULTR1;1 in induced in both the roots as well as the shoots as shown by S-deprivation studies in wheat and rice (Buchner et al., 2010). The de-repression of the S-assimilation pathway in response to S limitation is also characterized by the up-regulation of APSR and SAT (Hirai et al., 2003; Takahashi et al., 2011).

An additional layer of complexity is added by the integration of the S-responsive transcription factor SLIM 1 and microRNA miR395, which accumulate under S limiting conditions and regulate the expression of several sulfate transporters and ATPS for increased uptake and flux of $SO_4^{2-}$ under S limiting conditions (Kawashima et al., 2011). Although much work has been undertaken to dissect the regulation of the pathway the sensory mechanism for S status is still unclear (Rouached et al., 2009). Only very recently,
two allelic mutants of \textit{AtSULTR1;2} have been characterized and shown to exhibit an S-deprivation response independent of internal $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ status, thus hinting at the possibility of the protein encoded, SULTR1;2 being a part of the external $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ sensory complex in plants (Zhang et al., 2014). The fact that AtSULTR1;2 has also been shown to interact with \textit{OASTL} via the AtSULTR1;2 STAS domain may give indications as to how internal $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ sensing is achieved in plants (Shibagaki & Grossman, 2010; Zhang et al., 2014).

Apart from the transcriptional regulation, down-stream mechanisms, such as protein-protein interaction and to some extent post-translational modifications are also responsible for pathway-regulation. The importance of cysteine-synthase complex in the regulation of the S starvation response has already been discussed but not much is known about the importance of post-translational modifications in S-assimilation pathway regulation, although some evidence hints at more species specific role via this mechanism (Durenkamp, De Kok & Kopriva, 2007).

\section*{1.6 Nitrogen (N) assimilation in plants}

Nitrogen is required for synthesis of amino acids, proteins, ATP, chlorophyll, nucleic acids, lipids and a number of plant metabolites (Kusano et al., 2011). Approximately 20-50 g of nitrogen is required per kg of dry biomass in all non-leguminous plants. This high requirement in non N$_2$ fixing plants makes it the limiting factor for growth in most cropping systems (Xu et al., 2012). Nitrogen from various sources is incorporated into the organic form via the assimilation of ammonia. Plants are capable of taking up nitrogen from the environment in various forms. From the soil, it can be taken up as ammonium or amino acids by plants adapted to low pH and reducing soils such as in arctic/tundra region but more commonly, it is taken up as nitrate under higher pH and aerobic soil conditions (Fig 1.2) (Masclaux-Daubresse et al., 2010). The nitrate assimilation pathway and enzymes involved are depicted below:
**Figure 1.3** Schematic representation of nitrate assimilation and the enzymes involved in plants. NR- nitrate reductase, NiR- nitrite reductase, GS- glutamine synthase, GOGAT- glutamine-oxoglutyrate amino transferase. From Ohyama et al; 2012
### 1.6.1 Uptake mechanisms of nitrate from the soil

Nitrate available in the soil is taken up via a specialized family of membrane proteins called the nitrate transporters. In plants, two groups of nitrate transporters (NRT1 and NRT2) have been found to facilitate the uptake and distribution of nitrate. The *NRT1* gene family mediates a low-affinity transport system (LATS) with the exception of *AtNRT1.1* in Arabidopsis, which is a dual affinity transporter and also a nitrate sensor (Ho et al., 2009). *NRT1* comprises a large family of 53 genes in *Arabidopsis*, 51 of which are expressed and show different tissue patterns in the plant (Masclaux-Daubresse *et al.*, 2010).

The *NRT2* gene family, mediating a high-affinity transport system, is yet to be fully functionally characterized in higher plants. In *Arabidopsis*, the *NRT2* group comprises of 7 genes of which *AtNRT2.1* has been shown to be involved in induced high affinity nitrate transport. Nitrate reductase (*NR*) mutant in *Arabidopsis*, which accumulated a high level of NO$_3^-$ but reduced amount of downstream N metabolites, exhibited elevated *AtNRT2.1* expression indicating that NO$_3^-$ itself is the inducer for the gene (Tsay et al., 2007). Very recently, *AtNRT2;1* has also been shown to be involved in a negative feedback loop with ethylene biosynthesis where *AtNRT2;1* plays a positive role in ethylene signaling, but ethylene production down-regulates *AtNRT2;1* (Zheng et al., 2013). Another member of the family, *NRT2;4* has been characterized to encode as a plasma membrane localized high affinity nitrate transporter expressing in both roots and shoots and involved in sulfate uptake and translocation under N limiting conditions (Kiba et al., 2012).

### 1.6.2 Nitrate assimilation

Nitrate, after being taken up by the roots, is assimilated into ammonia, which is further metabolized to form glutamate via the glutamate synthetase-glutamine-oxoglutryate amino transferase (GS/GOGAT) pathway. The pathway involved in nitrate reduction up until ammonium synthesis is described in detail in the following section.
1.6.2.1 Nitrate reductase (NR) (E.C 1.7.99.4)

Nitrate reduction takes place in both roots and shoots but is spatially separated between cytoplasm, where nitrate reduction takes place, and the plastids/chloroplast where the nitrite reduction occurs. The first step in the pathway, the reduction of nitrate to nitrite is catalyzed by nitrate reductase (NR) in the cytosol. NR is found to be active as a homodimer in which each monomer comprises three prosthetic groups: flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD), a molybdenum cofactor (MoCo) and a heme group (Masclaux-Daubresse et al., 2010). In higher plants, two main forms of NR are found: a NADH - specific form which is the most common and a NAD(P)H bi-specific form which is present either in conjunction with the former one, as in maize, barley, rice and soybean, or as the sole isoform, as in Betula pendula. A third NADPH specific form is found in fungi and mosses, but has not yet been discovered in any higher plant species (Meyer & Stitt, 2001). The two classes of genes encoding the NR apoenzyme and the cox gene encoding the Mo cofactor have been identified and although the enzyme is thought to be localized in the cytosol, an association with the plasma membrane (PM-NR) has been reported in corn roots and barley (Masclaux-Daubresse et al., 2010).

The expression of the NR gene is inducible by light and is regulated by the bZIP transcription factors HY5 and HYH (Jonassen et al., 2008). Interestingly, HY5 has also been shown to regulate the APSR response to nitrate deficiency, thus forming a common regulatory point between the two pathway and highlighting a possible link of nitrate to the S-assimilation pathway (Lee et al., 2011).

1.6.2.2 Nitrite reductase (NiR) (E.C 1.7.2.1)

After nitrate is reduced, the nitrite is translocated to the chloroplast/plastid where it is further reduced to the ammonium ion. This reaction, catalyzed by the second enzyme in the pathway, nitrite reductase (NiR) is a six-electron reduction utilizing ferredoxin as an electron donor, in common with the SiR in the sulfate assimilation pathway discussed previously. The gene encodes a transit peptide for translocation of the mature protein into the chloroplast. In roots, NiR is plastid localized and uses NADPH as the electron donor. NiR, unlike NR, is a holoenzyme and has two redox centers: a siroheme-Fe centre and an iron-
sulfur cluster (Crawford, 1995). The \textit{Nii} genes (\textit{NiR}) encoding the NiR enzyme have been characterized in many plant species including \textit{Arabidopsis} where only one \textit{NiR} copy exists and the gene number for most species varies from one to two (Meyer and Stitt, 2001).

Ammonium, from nitrite reduction, is then assimilated in the plastid/chloroplast by GS/GOGAT cycle by which ammonium is fixed onto a glutamate molecule by GS to form glutamine. Glutamine then reacts with 2-oxoglutarate to form 2 molecules of glutamate, in a reaction catalyzed by GOGAT. Glutamate, the most diverse product of nitrogen metabolism can then be used as a metabolite, a signaling molecule, an energy-yielding substrate, a nutrient or a structural determinant in proteins (Forde & Lea, 2007).

1.7 N-starvation response and pathway regulation in plants

At a physiological level, the N starvation response comprises pale green old leaves and an increase in primary root length over lateral root growth (Castaings et al., 2009). This response is different from that seen in S starvation where the younger leaves exhibit the pale green phenotype and not the old tissue. This has been attributed of re-mobilization of N from older tissues and incorporation into new leaves to contribute to growth (Bieker & Zentgraf, 2013).

At the transcriptional level, unlike S-assimilation pathway, the primary N-assimilation pathway is de-repressed under nitrate replete conditions and thus genes involved in primary N assimilation, such as nitrate reductases and the nitrite reductase, are down-regulated under nitrate deficiency when the pathway is repressed (Bieker & Zentgraf, 2013). The N-assimilation pathway is feedback-regulated by its downstream products, such as ammonium and amino acids. A 150 bp cis-acting element in the promoter region of \textit{NRT2;1} has been shown to be responsible for both the positive regulation by nitrate deficiency and the negative regulation by N metabolites (Girin et al., 2007). This also forms a point of cross talk between the N and C metabolism, as \textit{NRT2;1} expression has also been shown to be activated by the addition of sugars (Lejay et al., 2008).
Another point of regulation in the pathway is the molybdenum containing enzyme NR, which is also nitrate responsive, but another layer of regulation is added by light as in the dark it is inactivated by phosphorylation of a conserved serine residue and the binding of 14-3-3 proteins (Lillo & Appenroth, 2001).

From a review of both N and S-assimilation pathways, it is evident that regulatory mechanisms, though specific, are not always exclusive to a certain pathway but are more often points of cross-talk between two or more pathways. Therefore, to dissect any regulatory mechanism in a pathway, it is also important to appreciate its position in a network of signalling pathways.

### 1.8 Similarities between plant SiRs and NiRs: Structure, function and localization

Sulfite reductase (SiR) and nitrite reductase (NiR) each belong to a family of oxidoreductases with conserved siroheme and iron-sulfur cluster domains (Appendix 1 and 2). While functional redundancy has been characterized extensively between the two enzymes in prokaryotes, not much work has been done in characterizing the functional redundancy between assimilatory SiR and NiR in plants. Interestingly in the unicellular red algae *Cyanidioschyzon merolae*, a novel variant of the ferredoxin-dependent sulfite reductase with substrate specificity for nitrite has been identified (Sekine et al., 2009). Conversely, in *Wolinella succinogenes* cytochrome *c* nitrite reductase has been shown to reduce sulfite (Lukat et al., 2008). In plant, although this redundancy has only been investigated in crude spinach so far, studies done on recombinant maize sulfite reductase by the Nakayama group in Japan have shown that a single amino acid substitution at the distal site of the siroheme can drastically change the substrate specificity to nitrite (Krueger & Siegel, 1982; Nakayama et al., 2000).

At a structural level, both enzymes share the same prosthetic groups with a siroheme and 4Fe-4S cluster and both utilise reduced ferredoxin as an electron donor for the six electron reduction of sulfite or nitrite in chloroplasts (Fig 1.4A). Alignment of SiR and NiR sequences from different monocot and dicot species, including onion (Fig 1.4B), not only show the four highly conserved cysteine residues which form the point of the 4Fe-4S ligation (Crane...
& Getzoff, 1996), but also the conserved α-helix and β-strands flanking the conserved cysteine residues in the predicted secondary structure (appendix 2).

**Figure 1.4** Schematic representation of the S and N-assimilation pathway with sequence similarity between AcSiR1 and AcNiR1. **A.** Similarity between the six electron reduction of sulfite and nitrite shown with an indication of interaction between the two enzymes (modified from Davidian et al., 2012, Ohyama et al., 2012). **B.** CLUSTAL alignment of AcNiR1 and AcSiR1 sequences showing conserved cysteine residues highlighted in black. In the secondary structure, the blue colour and letter e denotes the α-helix, while the red colour and letter h denotes the β-sheet.
Phylogenetic comparison of AcSiR1 and AcNiR1 with other monocot and dicot sequences (Fig 1.5) shows both enzymes group distinctly. However, it should be noted that the distance from other monocot sequences might be due to a lack of annotated SiR sequences from monocot species, other than the grasses, in the NCBI database.

Figure 1.5 Evolutionary relationships of SiR and NiR protein sequences analyzed using the MEGA6 software. The evolutionary history was inferred using the Neighbour-Joining method. The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (1000 replicates) are shown next to the branches. The evolutionary distances were computed using the number of differences method and are expressed as the number of amino acid differences per sequences.
The sulfur and nitrogen assimilatory pathways are two major metabolic pathways in plants, which assimilate and incorporate these macronutrients. Proper functioning of these two multi-step pathways has been shown to be essential for plant survival. However, some of the intermediates of the N and S-assimilation pathways such as sulfite and nitrite are strong nucleophiles and can cause extensive damage to the plant upon accumulation (Gruhlke & Slusarenko, 2012). Adaptive measures to counter the accumulation of sulfite include its oxidation to the less toxic state, sulfate, by peroxisome-localized sulfite oxidase (Brychkova et al., 2007). Sulfite is also diverted to other pathways such as formation of sulfolipids by UDP-Sulfoquinovose synthase (Sanda et al., 2001). In contrast, not many nitrite consumption pathways exist in plants other than the recently elucidated nitrate reductase (NR)-dependent conversion of nitrite to nitric oxide (Zhao et al., 2009). Thus the substrate redundancy between SiR and NiR could be exploited as an alternative pathway for consumption of both sulfite and nitrite by plants (Crane & Getzoff, 1996). In onion, a preferentially sulfur accumulating species, it is therefore hypothesized that this redundancy could have a further important role during N or S stress where either enzyme could be recruited to provide a demand driven increased reduction of sulfite or nitrite.

1.9 The genus Allium

Allium comprises a large growing genus with around 750 known species with the latest, Allium akirense, having been discovered only very recently (Friesen & Fragman-sapir, 2014). The taxonomic classification is complicated, with the genus first being placed under the family Liliaceae in the older angiosperm classification. However, under the latest APGIII classification, the following hierarchy has been adopted (Khedim et al., 2013):

- **Clade**: Monocot
- **Order**: Asparagales
- **Family**: Amaryllidaceae
- **Subfamily**: Allioideae
- **Genus**: Allium

Members of the genus are broadly described as perennial plants with formation of a storage organ, mostly a bulb but also a rhizome or swollen root (Fritsch & Friesen, 2002). A
The striking characteristic of the members of this genus is the synthesis of unique glutathione derived secondary metabolites, collectively referred to as $S$-alk(en)yl-$\text{L}$-cysteine-sulfoxides (ACSOs) (Randle et al., 1995). The most notable of these are $\text{trans}-(+)-S$-(1-propenyl)$\text{L}$-cysteine-sulfoxide ($1$-PRENCSO; isoalliin) and $-(+)-S$-(2-propenyl)$\text{L}$-cysteine-sulfoxide ($2$-PRENCSO; alliin) found in $A$. cepa (onion) and $A$. sativum (garlic), respectively. These are major contributors to the characteristic aroma and pungency commonly associated with the garlic and onion respectively. Upon tissue disruption, the ACSOs react with an enzyme released called alliinase (E.C 4.4.1.4), giving rise to a range of thiosulfimates. It is the combination of these thiosulfimates which produces the characteristic flavour and aroma properties associated unique to some allium species (Kopsell & Randle, 1999). Within the genus, however, there is a large variation in accumulation of these compounds. Some species produce only a few cysteine sulfoxides (CSOs) and inactive alliinase such as the members of subgenus $Melanocrommyum$, hence lacking any odour while other species such as $A$. sativum, $A$. cepa, $A$. fistulosum accumulate large quantities of ACSOs (Fritsch & Friesen, 2002).

The genus is globally distributed with some members, such as $A$. schoenoprasum, even existing in the sub-arctic belt. The greatest amount of species variation is seen for Alliums stretching from the Mediterranean basin to central Asia. Another centre of species diversity exists in the western North America that comprises of several subgroups of the genus. This widespread occurrence with local centres of diversity indicates adaptation to diverse ecological niches (Kamenetsky & Rabinowitch, 2006).

Following the discovery of the anti-bacterial activity of allicin, a cysteine derived organosulfur compound which is also responsible for the characteristic garlic flavour (Cavallito & John, 1944), characterization of other flavour compounds became increasingly important for different species in this genus. One of the more commercially important Allium, that has been extensively studied and also accumulates the active organosulfur compounds, the ACSOs, $Allium$ $cepa$, has been the crop of choice for this study and has been discussed in detail in the following section.
1.9.1 Onion \((Allium cepa \text{ L.})\)

\(A.\ cepa\), commonly known as onion, is one of the oldest cultivated vegetables with records hinting at domestication of this species reaching as far back as 2700 B.C in Egypt and the 6th century BC in Indian writings (Fritsch & Friesen, 2002). It is now cultivated as a biennial with the bulb forming in the first year leading to emergence of an umbel in the second growing season. As one of the principle Alliums and also an economically/horticulturally important crop, onion owes its culinary value to a series of volatile sulfur compounds arising from the amino acid cysteine which is the first \((S)\)-containing product of the reductive assimilation pathway. Four major ACSOs have been found in \(A.\ cepa\) so far. These are \textit{trans-}(\textit{+})-\textit{S}-(1-propenyl)-\textit{L}-cysteine-sulfoxide (1-PRENCSO; isoalliin), \textit{(+)}-\textit{S}-methyl-\textit{L}-cysteine-sulfoxide (MCSO), \textit{(+)}-\textit{S}-proyl-\textit{L}-cysteine-sulfoxide (PCSO) and cycloalliin. Of these, 1-PRENCSO is the most abundant (Kopsell & Randle, 1999). The earliest work describing a protein involved in the enzymatic degradation of ACSOs in \(A.\ cepa\) was done by Kupiecki & Virtanen (1960) and by Schwimmer et al., (1960). Following this, detailed work on S-containing flavour compounds in \(A.\ cepa\) was done by Carson & Wong (1961) where, using gas liquid partition chromatography, they identified several flavour associated S-volatiles. However, it wasn’t until 1971 that thiopropanal-S-oxide, which is formed due to alliinase activity on 1-PRENCSO, was identified as the lachrymatory factor in onion by Brodnitz & Pascale in 1971. Following the understanding of the importance of this class of S compounds in determining the commercially appreciated trait of flavour in the bulb, much work was done to elucidate the importance of S fertilization in the accumulation of these flavour precursors (Randle et al., 1995). The sink capacity for secondary sulfur compounds differentiates the S metabolism in \(A.\ cepa\) from the model species \textit{Arabidopsis}. Whereas \textit{Arabidopsis} and other members of the family Brassicaceae accumulate sulfated secondary compounds, namely glucosinolates that arise from a bifurcation in the S-assimilation pathway post APS production, \(A.\ cepa\) accumulates reduced S compounds and secondary compounds arising from post cysteine synthesis (Kliebenstein et al., 2001; McCallum et al., 2011). Differences in pathway regulation of S assimilation also differs from other species. For instance, sulfur metabolism in \textit{Allium cepa} and \textit{Brassica oleracea} responded differently upon \(H_2S\) exposure. As opposed to \textit{B.oleracea}, an increase in secondary sulfur compounds and sulfate was observed in \(A.\ cepa\) upon \(H_2S\) exposure suggesting differences in the feedback regulation of the pathway (Durenkamp et al., 2007). Furthermore, APS reductase activity was found to be differentially regulated in both species (Durenkamp et al., 2007). \(A.\ cepa\), has also been
shown to form a complex between ATP sulfurylase and APS reductase in vitro. However, the existence of this complex has not yet been validated in other species (Cumming et al., 2007). These anomalies, along with other physiological differences, such as the presence of a storage organ in A. cepa, unlike Arabidopsis, make it an interesting candidate to study the regulation of the S-assimilation pathway and its significance to the species.

1.10 Concluding statement and research hypothesis

A cepa is the fourth most important horticultural export commodity in New Zealand and is a culinary delight all over the world valued for its unique pungent flavor (Aitken & Hewett, 2014). As such, the growing interest in optimizing breeding and producing enhanced flavor has led to an increased interest in the scientific community to unravel the biochemical pathways which confer it its characteristic flavors. There has been much progress in that direction with most of the S assimilation enzymes characterized. Work done by Thomas (2008) suggested that the primary assimilation enzyme AcATPS1, was positively regulated by S supply and not demand driven by internal cysteine, supporting the idea of a feed-forward mechanism. The formation of complex supra-molecules such as ATPS-APSR has also been established in vitro, hinting at the possibility of more such interactions in the network (Cumming et al., 2007). Considering the importance of sulfur in plant development, it is also wise to speculate that the pathway is much more tightly regulated in this species; not only by the enzymes involved in S-assimilation, but also through the various integrated pathways such as the nitrogen and carbon-assimilation pathways. A possible cross-talk between another enzyme in the S-assimilation pathway, namely sulfite reductase and a structurally and functionally similar enzyme in the N-assimilation pathway, nitrite reductase, may form an additional regulatory check point in the S assimilation network, with possible recruitment of AcNiR1 during S starvation to assimilate the excess cytotoxic sulfite accumulated in the plant and vice versa.

1.10.1 Hypothesis

“1.) A significant cross-talk might exist between the sulfur and nitrogen assimilatory pathways in the S-accumulating species Allium cepa, and 2.) A functional redundancy between the sulfite reductase and nitrite reductase (AcSiR1 and AcNiR1) may form as a yet unreported important point of interaction between these two assimilation pathways.”
1.10.2 Research objectives

The objective outlined to test the first part of the hypothesis was:

- To investigate, in a controlled factorial experiment using the *A. cepa* CUDH2107 line, the effect of either sulfate depletion or nitrate depletion or both (S x N) on the S and N-assimilation pathways at the transcriptional, and wherever possible, the translational and the metabolite level.

The objectives outlined to test the second part of the hypothesis were:

- To establish substrate redundancy between AcSiR1 and AcNiR1 *in vitro* by expressing and purifying recombinant AcSiR1 and AcNiR1 and determining activity against both sulfite and nitrite for each enzyme.

- To investigate the possibility of an indirect interaction between AcSiR1 and AcNiR1 as enzyme recruitment, by determining expression, accumulation and activity of AcSiR1 and AcNiR1 in leaf and root tissues of *A. cepa* plants obtained from the controlled S x N factorial experiment.

- To test the possibility of indirect interaction, as transient recruitment at a transcriptional level, using the model species *Arabidopsis*, along with the recently characterized *AtSir1* T-DNA insertion line *sir1-1* (Khan et al., 2010), in a short term factorial experiment (S x N).

- To investigate the possibility of a direct interaction between AcSiR1 and AcNiR1 in the form of a protein-protein interaction via an ELISA based solid phase binding assay and a tag free technique isothermal titration calorimetry (I.T.C).

The *A. cepa* line to be used in this thesis is a double haploid line (CUDH2107) obtained from Plant & Food Research, Lincoln. The *Arabidopsis sir1-1* line was a gift from Dr. Markus Wirtz (Centre for Organismal Studies, University of Heidelberg, Germany).
Chapter 2: Materials and methods

2.1 Plant propagation and harvesting methods

2.1.1 Plant material

A double haploid genotype of *A. cepa*, CUDH2107 was obtained from Plant & Food Research, Lincoln, NZ (developed in Cornell University, Ithaca, N.Y). A T-DNA insertion line of *AtSiR1, sir1-1* (Khan et al., 2010) was a gift from Dr. Markus Wirtz (Centre for Organismal Studies, University of Heidelberg, Germany).

2.1.2 Plant propagation

Seeds of *A. cepa* CUDH2107 were germinated directly on a sand mixture containing 1 mm diameter sand (K-1 white sand), 2 mm diameter sand (K-2 white sand) and <0.3 mm diameter sand in the ratio 6.6:6.6:1. The K-1 and K-2 grade sand was obtained from Industrial Minerals (NZ) Ltd. and the <0.3 mm diameter sand from J61W silica sand, Industrial Sands, Waikato Ceramics, Hamilton. A total of 48 pots (+3 extra pots labelled as “indicator” to monitor *AcFT4* expression) were arranged randomly in 6 blocks and each pot was harvested once as indicated in the layout below. The arrangement of pots were nitrogen treatment_sulfur treatment_developmental stage at which to be harvested where 1 refers to N+/S+ and 2 refers to N-/S- for treatments and to pre-bulbing and bulbing for stage at which the pot is to be harvested.

![Figure 2.1 layout of the S x N factorial experiment indicating placement of pots in different blocks and stage for harvesting each pot.](image)

For each pot (Daltons, Auckland), 2 kg of sand mix was used. Fifteen seeds were germinated per pot in water for 10 days, after which seedlings were thinned to 6 per pot. After 10 days, the plants were watered with their respective treatments. The media for the –S treatment was modified from Freeman and Mossadeghi, (1967). The –N and the –S-N treatment was
composed by Dr. Stephen Trolove (Plant & Food Research, NZ) such that the concentrations of cations (Ca:K:Mg) and the ratio of NH₄⁺:NO₃⁻ is balanced in each treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>-S</th>
<th>-N</th>
<th>-S-N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chemical</td>
<td>[mM]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KNO₃</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂SO₄</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KH₂PO₄</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₄H₂PO₄</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgSO₄.7H₂O</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg(NO₃)₂.6H₂O</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca(NO₃)₂.4H₂O</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRON-EDTA</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Micronutrient stock solution (80 X)</th>
<th>gm/L of micronutrient stock solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H₃BO₃</td>
<td>2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnCl₂.4H₂O</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnSO₄.7H₂O</td>
<td>0.176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuEDTA</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂MoO₄.2H₂O</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.1 Recipe for different S x N treatments as formulated by Dr. Stephen Trolove

Plants were watered with their respective media everyday between 8-9am with 300 ml of media per pot.

*Arabidopsis* plants were grown in 96 well (each well 30 x 30 mm) plug trays (PSP limited, Albany, Auckland, NZ) in a mixture of fine grade vermiculite and perlite (Exfoliators PTY. LTD, 3, Dandenong, Victoria, Australia) in a 4:1 ratio. The plug trays were seated inside a holding tray (420 x 310 mm) into which 800 ml of media was poured every alternate day. The media composition used for watering the plants was as described in Hirai et al., (2004). Per plug, eight seeds were germinated in water and were thinned to 1 seedling per plug after successful germination. After thinning the seedlings, plants were watered with control media till 5 weeks from the day of planting after which the treatment was started. Prior to starting the treatment, the plug trays were watered from top with Milli-Q water twice to replace the media, taking care not to flood the plugs.
2.1.3 Growth conditions

*A. cepa* plants were grown in controlled environment growth rooms at Plant & Food Research, Palmerston North, NZ. For the short day treatment, 10 h/14 h (day/night) light, 25\(^\circ\)/15\(^\circ\) (day/night) temperature, 70% relative humidity, 700 \(\mu\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}\) light intensity and 380ppm \(\text{CO}_2\) and red:far red ratio of 1:3 regime was used. For the long day treatment, day length was increased to 14h/10h (day/night) and red:far red ratio was increased to 1:2 to stimulate bulbing.

For *Arabidopsis* short term deprivation experiment, col and *sir1-1* plants were grown under the conditions modified from Khan et al., 2010 at 55% humidity, 180 \(\mu\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}\) light intensity, 22.5\(^\circ\)/18\(^\circ\) (day/night) temp, 8.5 h/15.5 h (day/night) photoperiod.

2.1.4 Plant harvesting

For the factorial experiment, 6 pots (6 plants per pot) were harvested and tissues from each pot pooled as a biological replicate for each nutrient treatment at the end of the short day treatment at 32 days post sowing. At the end of the long day treatment, the other six pots remaining per treatment were harvested (6 plants per pot, tissues pooled as a biological replicate). Plant harvests were carried out 3 h into the light period (L+3) for both short day and long day harvests to negate any effects of photoperiod on the expression of genes to be monitored in the experiment. For the pre-bulbing stage at the end of short-day conditions, plants were harvested as the youngest four leaves, pseudo-stem and root. For the bulbing stage at the end of long day conditions, plants were harvested as youngest 4 leaves, bulb and root. The pseudo-stem is defined as the white base of the leaves (approx. 1.5 cm) before the onset of bulbing.

Each tissue from the harvest was blot-dried, weighed and snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen immediately. Tissues were stored at -80\(^\circ\)C until further use.

For the *Arabidopsis* experiment, plants were harvested at the end of 5 weeks + 3 days, 4 hours into the light period. Plants were washed with mili-Q water, blot dried, dissected into shoot and root, weighed and snap frozen in liquid nitrogen as soon as possible. Harvested material was stored in -80\(^\circ\)C until further use.
2.2 Chemicals used
Unless otherwise stated, the chemicals used in this study were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich company (St. Louis, Mo., US), Duchefa Biochemie BV (Madison, Wi, USA), QIAGEN GmbH (Hilden, Germany), Life Technologies Corp. (Grand Island, NY, USA), Roche Applied Sciences (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Manheim, Germany) and Bio-Rad Laboratories (Hercules, CA, USA).

2.3 Molecular biology protocols

2.3.1 Nucleic acid isolations

2.3.1.1 Isolation of genomic DNA (gDNA) using the hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) method

Hundred milligrams of leaf tissue was ground in liquid nitrogen and vortexed in 0.5 ml chilled extraction buffer (0.35 M sorbitol, 0.1 M Tris–HCl, 5 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid [EDTA], pH 7.5, 20 mM Na₂S₂O₅). The crude extract was centrifuged at 17,000 g (rpm) for 1 h at 4 °C, and the supernatant was discarded. The pellet was dissolved in 0.2 ml of extraction buffer, 0.3 ml nucleus lysis buffer (0.2 M Tris–HCl, 50 mM EDTA, 2 M NaCl, 2% hexadecyl-trimethyl-ammonium bromide pH 7.5), and 0.1 ml of 5% sarkosyl. The mixture was subsequently incubated for 1 h at 65 °C. Chloroform/isoamylalcohol (24:1 v/v) extraction was performed by adding 0.5 ml of the solvent mixture to the tube, followed by centrifugation at 17,000 rpm for 15 min to separate the aqueous and the organic phase. Clear supernatant was transferred to a clean tube, and DNA was precipitated with an equal volume of ice cold isopropanol and incubated on ice for 20 min before centrifugation at 17,000 rpm for 15 min. The supernatant was decanted, and the pellet was washed with 70% ethanol and air dried for 10 min. The pellet was dissolved in 100 μl of Tris–ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (TE) buffer containing and gDNA was quantified by by NanoDrop® ND-1000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Montchenin, DE, USA) (see 2.3.1.4).
2.3.1.2 Isolation of total RNA and quantification

Total RNA was extracted using the Zymogen Quick-RNA™ MiniPrep kit. Hundred milligrams of tissue was ground in liquid nitrogen and cells were lysed by adding 800 μl of RNA lysis buffer and vortexing for 15 sec. Subsequently samples were centrifuged at 14,000 x g for 1 min at room temperature. gDNA contamination was minimized by transferring the supernatant into Spin-Away™ filter in a collection tube and centrifuging at 10,000 x g for 1 min. After adding equal volume of 100% ethanol, the RNA was isolated by transferring the filtrate to Zymo-spin™ IIICG column in a collection tube and centrifuging at 10,000 x g for 1 min. The filtrate was discarded and 400 μl of RNA prep buffer was added to the column followed by centrifugation at 10,000 x g for 1 min. The RNA was washed by addition of 700 μl of RNA wash buffer followed by centrifugation at 10,000 x g for 1 min. Another 500 μL of RNA wash buffer was added to the column and centrifuged for 2 min at 14,000 x g to remove traces of ethanol. Purified RNA was eluted by addition of 50 μl of DNAse/RNAse free water into the column in a 1.7 ml Eppendorf tube followed by centrifugation at 14,000 x g for 1 min. The RNA was quantified using the Qubit™ RNA Assay Kit (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, USA) using the Qubit® 2.0 Fluorometer.

2.3.1.3 DNAse treatment

For q-RT-PCR experiments, genomic-DNA free RNA was prepared using Roche RNase-free recombinant DNase treatment as follows:

1. Total RNA extracted (2-5 μg) as described in 2.3.1.1, was mixed with 5 μL of 10 x incubation buffer supplied with the enzyme and 1 μL of DNase (10U), 1 μL of Protector RNase inhibitor (10U) before water was added to give a final volume of 48.4 μL.

2. The mixture was incubated at 37°C for 20 min after which the reaction was stopped by the addition of 1.6 μL of 0.25 M EDTA (pH 8.0) and heating at 75°C for 10 min. The final volume was 50 μL.
2.3.2 cDNA synthesis

To synthesize the first strand of cDNA, the Transcriptor First Strand cDNA synthesis kit (Roche) was used with oligo (dT) primer.

Reagents used:

- Transcriptor Reverse Transcriptase (20U/μL)
- Transcriptor RT reaction buffer (5x): 250 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.5, 150 mM KCl and 40 mM MgCl₂.
- Oligo (dT)₁₅ primer was used to final concentration of 2.5 μM
- Protector RNase inhibitor (40U/μL)
- dNTP mixture to a final concentration of 1 mM

For obtaining the 3’ UTR region, a 3’ RACE Oligo T Adapter primer was used.

The following programme was used for cDNA synthesis:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steps</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Denaturation</td>
<td>65 °C</td>
<td>10 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incubation</td>
<td>55 °C</td>
<td>30 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inactivation</td>
<td>85 °C</td>
<td>5 min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.2 Temperature and time conditions for cDNA synthesis.

The steps followed were:

- One ug of total RNA was combined with the Oligo (dT)$_{15}$ primer in a 0.2 ml tube and the volume was adjusted to 13 μL with DEPC-treated water.
- The RNA and the primer was denatured at 65 °C for 10 min and placed immediately on ice.
- After this, 7 μL of master reaction mixture containing 5X Transcriptor RT Reaction Buffer, protector RNase inhibitor (40U/μL), 10 mM dNTP-Mix and Transcriptor Reverse Transcriptase (20U/μL) were then added.
The tubes were placed in Axygen® MaxyGene™ II thermal cycler (Axygen Inc) and cDNA synthesis was carried out at 55°C for 30 min.

After this the Reverse Transcriptase was heat inactivated at 85°C for 5 min.

2.3.3 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

2.3.3.1 Primer design
For isolation of AcSiR1 and AcNiR1 sequences, primers were made based on putative matches to SiR1 and NiR1 in Arabidopsis to the CUDH2107 transcriptome shot-gun sequence database (John McCallum, Plant & Food Research, NZ, Pers comm.) (Appendix 3). Each primer was dissolved in DNase/RNase free water to make a stock concentration of 1 mM and was stored in -20°C until required. A working stock was made by diluting the stock concentration 100 fold to a working concentration of 10 μM. The 3’ UTR sequence for AcSiR1 and AcNiR1 were obtained by Oligo (dT)15 primer was used as a reverse primer with 2 sets of overlapping forward primers designed in the known 3’ region of the sequence.

2.3.3.2 General PCR protocol for amplification of cDNA
Setup:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forward Primer (10 μM)</td>
<td>1 μL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reverse Primer (10 μM)</td>
<td>1 μL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 x PCR Master Mix (Promega)</td>
<td>1 μL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA polymerase, dNTPs, MgCl₂</td>
<td>10 μL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cDNA</td>
<td>1 μL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR grade water</td>
<td>7 μL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Volume</td>
<td>20 μL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.3 Reaction set up for generic PCR
The typical PCR programme used was:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steps</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Cycle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initialization</td>
<td>95°C</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denaturing</td>
<td>95°C</td>
<td>30-40 sec</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annealing</td>
<td>4°C-5°C lower than primer melting temperature</td>
<td>30-40 sec</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension/Elongation</td>
<td>75°C</td>
<td>As per the product size (eg. 1min/per kb)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Extension</td>
<td>75°C</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.4 Cycle conditions for generic PCR

2.3.4 Quantitative Real-Time PCR (qRT-PCR)

2.3.4.1 Primer Design

For q-RT-PCR analysis, specific primers (Appendix 4 and 5) were designed according to the requirements of q-RT-PCR such as:

- $T_m = 60 \degree C \pm 1 \degree C$
- Minimal secondary structure
- Inability to form stable dimers
- Amplicon length of between 100-200 nucleotides.

The primer efficiency for each set was determined by using the LinReg PCR software (Ruijter et al., 2009).
2.3.4.2 Protocol for q-PCR amplification

qRT-PCR was performed using the LightCycler 480 Real-Time PCR (Roche) system and LinReg PCR analysis software. For each cDNA prep (20 x dilution), three technical replicates were performed. SYBR green I (Roche) was used as a fluorescent dye.

Reaction set-up for qRT-PCR:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forward primer (10μM)</td>
<td>0.5 μL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reverse Primer (10μM)</td>
<td>0.5 μL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 X LightCycler® 480 SYBR Green I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master Mix</td>
<td>5 μL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cDNA</td>
<td>2.5 μL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterile water</td>
<td>1.5 μL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total volume</td>
<td>10 μL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.5 Reaction set up for qRT-PCR

Ten μL of reaction per technical replicate per well was pipetted into a 96 well plate and the following programme was used in the Light Cycler 480

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steps</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Cycle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-incubation</td>
<td>95 °C</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amplification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denaturation</td>
<td>95 °C</td>
<td>10 sec</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annealing</td>
<td>60 °C</td>
<td>10 sec</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension</td>
<td>72 °C</td>
<td>10 sec</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melting curve</td>
<td>95 °C</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooling</td>
<td>40 °C</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.6 Cycle conditions for qRT-PCR

Relative transcript abundance was determined by comparative quantification to the geometric mean of the two reference genes, β-tub and CYC for A. cepa and AtUBC9 and At2g32170 for Arabidopsis (Pfaffl, 2001). Fluorescence measurements were performed at 72 °C for each cycle and continuously during the final melting (melting curve).
2.3.5 Agarose gel electrophoresis

As appropriate, amplified fragments of gDNA and cDNA were separated using agarose gel electrophoresis using the following reagents:

- UltraPURE™ agarose (Life Technologies)
- 50 x Tris-acetate-EDTA buffer (TAE)
- Loading dye (0.1M EDTA, pH 8.0, 50% (v/v) Glycerol, 1% (w/v) SDS, 0.025% (w/v) bromophenol blue]
- Ethidium bromide (10mg/ml)
- HyperLadder™ 1DNA ladder (Bioline, London, UK)

A 1% (w/v) gel was prepared by heating and dissolving 1g of agarose in 100ml of 1 x TAE buffer. A sample well forming comb was inserted and after the polymerization of the gel, running buffer (1 x TAE) was added to the tray until the gel was submerged. The PCR products were then be loaded onto the gel. After this, 5 μL of PCR product was mixed with 2 μL of 0.1% (v/v) loading dye, mixed and loaded onto the wells. The gel was run at 100 V for 45 min. For smaller products like the qRT-PCR amplicons, a 2% (w/v) Tris-Borate-EDTA gel was used to get optimal resolution.

After electrophoresis, the gel was stained with 0.1 ug ml⁻¹ ethidium bromide for 10 min and then de-stained with water. The fragments were visualised using a Gel Doc 2000 Gel Documentation System from Bio-rad Laboratories, CA, USA.

2.3.6 Genome-walking methodology for amplifying 5’ regions

2.3.6.1 Creating a genomic DNA library

Genomic DNA library (2.3.1.1) was digested with five blunt cutter restriction enzymes (EcoRV, Sca1, Dra1, HPA1, Stu1). For each digestion, 2.5 ug of gDNA and 8 μl of restriction enzyme (10 U/μl) of restriction enzyme buffer were mixed and standardized to 100 μl with DNase/RNase free water. The mixture was incubated at 37°C overnight. The digestion
reaction was then checked by running 5 µl of the reaction on a 0.5% (w/v) agarose gel and visualizing by using ethidium bromide as described previously (2.3.5).

2.3.6.2 Purification of digested genomic DNA

After digestion, the genomic DNA was digested by addition of equal volume (9 µl) of phenol to each reaction tube and mixing with gentle agitation followed by centrifugation at 10 x 000 g for 1 min at room temperature to separate the aqueous and the organic layers. The aqueous phase was transferred to a fresh tube and an equal volume of chloroform was added, the mixture vortexed and then centrifuged briefly as above. The supernatant was then transferred to a 2 ml fresh tube and 2 volumes of 100% ice cold ethanol was added along 0.3 M NaOAc and 20 ug of glycogen. The mixture was vortexed for 5 sec and centrifuged at 17,000 x g for 10 min. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet was washed with 100 µl of ice cold 70% (v/v) ethanol and centrifuged at 17,000 x g for 5 min. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet was air-dried for 15 min before being dissolved in 20 µl of water.

2.3.6.3 Adapter ligation and product amplification

The adapter sequence was designed to inhibit amplification of non-target products. The 3’ end of the lower strand was blocked by an amine moiety to prevent amplification of the adapter. The ligation reaction for set up separately for each of the digested libraries as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4 µl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Digested and purified gDNA</td>
<td>4 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adapter (25µM)</td>
<td>1.9 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10x ligation buffer</td>
<td>1.6 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4 DNA ligase (6U/µl)</td>
<td>0.5 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total volume</td>
<td>8 µl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.7 Reaction set up for adapter ligation for making genomic DNA library

The reaction mixture was incubated overnight at 16 °C and then deactivated by incubating at 70 °C for 5 min. The volume of each tube was made up to 80 µl with water.

Primers specific to the known sequence of 5’ UTR were designed such that they would anneal at least 100 bp downstream to the end of the sequence in the antisense orientation
(Appendix 6). This facilitated the alignment of the amplified products with the known sequence. The primers were also selected for a very high melting temperature of around 72°C to facilitate specific binding and reduce background amplification. A primary PCR was first done using each of the digested libraries to check which libraries show amplification and then a secondary PCR was done using the libraries showing amplification.

The primary PCR reaction mixture contained:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Volume (μl)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 μM Adapter primer (GM1/NA46 or GM2/NA46)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSP1 primer (10 μM)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 x FastStart High Fidelity Reaction Buffer</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgCl2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10mM dNTOs (200 μM each)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gDNA-adapter ligation</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FastStart Enzyme blend</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterile water</td>
<td>40.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>50 μl</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.8 Reaction set up for primary PCR to select positively amplifying libraries

One μl of the product from positive libraries was taken and used as a template for the secondary PCR. The secondary PCR reaction mixture contained:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Volume (μl)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 μM Adapter primer (GM3/NA47)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSP2 primer (10 μM)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 x FastStart High Fidelity Reaction Buffer</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgCl2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 mM dNTOs (200 μM each)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gDNA (PCR1 product)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FastStart Enzyme blend</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterile water</td>
<td>40.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>50 μl</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.9 Reaction set up for primary PCR to amplify region of interest
The primary PCR conditions were:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steps</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Cycle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Denaturation</td>
<td>94°C</td>
<td>25 sec</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primer annealing</td>
<td>72°C</td>
<td>3 min</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>And extension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denaturation</td>
<td>94°C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primer annealing</td>
<td>67°C</td>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>And extension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final extension</td>
<td>67°C</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hold</td>
<td>10°C</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.10 Cycle conditions for primary PCR to select positively amplifying libraries

The conditions for the secondary round were the same except the cycle for the first denaturation and annealing was reduced to 5 cycles and the second step denaturation and annealing was reduced to 20 cycles.

2.3.6.4 Genome walking product purification

The amplified products from genome walking were run on 1% (w/v) agarose gel as described in section 2.3.3.3, and distinct bands were cut out after ethidium bromide illumination and purified using the QIAquick™ Gel Extraction Kit as per recommended protocol with the kit.

2.3.7 Cloning and plasmid sequencing of PCR amplification products

2.3.7.1 Preparation of competent cells for cloning

Chemically competent cells for transformation were prepared using the DH5α (GIBCO BRL) strain of *E. coli* according to the method of Inoue et al., (1990) with some modifications. The media and reagents used were:
- LB broth containing 1% (w/v) bacto-tryptone (DIFCO Laboratories, Detroit, MI, USA), 0.5% (w/v) bacto-yeast extract (DIFCO Laboratories), 1% (w/v) NaCl, pH 7.5
- 60 mM CaCl$_2$
- Glycerol

Competent cells were prepared as follows:

- Bacterial cells from a glycerol stock were cultured in LB broth (10 ml) at 37°C overnight with shaking (180 rpm).
- The following day, 400μl of the culture was used to inoculate 40 ml of freshly autoclaved LB broth and cells were grown until optical density reached up to 0.4 at 600 nm.
- After this, the cells were pelleted at 3000 x g for 5 min at 4°C and after decanting the supernatant, the pellet was re-suspended in 10 ml of ice-cold 60 mM CaCl$_2$.
- The cells were then incubated on ice for 30 min after which the cells were pelleted again at 3000 x g and re-suspended in 4 ml of 60 mM CaCl$_2$ containing 15 % (v/v) glycerol. Aliquots of 250 μl of the cells were prepared and stored at -80°C until further use.

### 2.3.7.2 PCR product cloning to pGEM® T Easy vector and transformed plasmid isolation

Sequencing of all the PCR products in the study was done via TA cloning into the pGEM® T Easy vector (Promega, WI, USA) (Appendix 7) as per the supplier recommended standard protocol. The presence of insert was confirmed by PCR amplification using insert sequence specific primers. E. coli colonies with the insert were then cultured in 10 ml of LB broth overnight at 37°C with 1μl of 1 mg/ml Ampicillin per 10 ml of culture for positive selection. The cells were then pelleted by centrifugation at 17,000 x g for 1 min.

Pelleted transformed cells were then used to extract the plasmid with insert with the High Pure™ Plasmid Isolation Kit as per the manufacturer recommended instructions.
2.3.7.3 Plasmid sequencing

For sequencing, plasmid samples were submitted to the DNA Analysis Facility, Massey Genome Service, Massey University. A standard protocol for automated capillary analysis was followed on the AB13730 DNA analyser (Applied Biosystems, Life technologies).

2.3.7.4 Sequence analysis

The sequence results obtained from the DNA Analysis Facility were analysed and edited using the 4Peaks software (http://nucleobytes.com/index.php/4peaks). The consensus sequence from multiple positive colonies was generated using the ClustalW programme. The consensus sequence was then analysed by using the BLAST and JCVI databases to compare to homologs in other plants species for the genes of interest. The sequences were then translated in silico using the 4Peaks software. Translated sequence was analysed for transit peptide sequence and putative localization motifs using the ExPASy ProtParam tool (http://web.expasy.org/protparam/).

2.4 Protein methods

2.4.1 Recombinant protein: Cloning and expression

The directional cloning method was used for cloning AcSiR1 and AcNiR1 into the pGEX-6P-3 vector (GE Healthcare) (Appendix 7) and propagated in the BL21 strain of E. coli. Specific primers were made for AcSiR1 and AcNiR1 with restriction sites included at the 3´ and 5´ end of the sequence. Restriction sites were selected such that they would not occur within the sequence of interest (Appendix 8). For the AcSiR1 sequence, EcoR1 and Xho1 restriction sites were included at the 3´ end and the 5´ end of the primer sequence, and for AcNiR1 amplification BamH1 and Not1 restriction sites were introduced. The sequence of interest was then amplified from a cDNA mix using specific primers with restriction sites, visualized on 1% agarose gel and purified using QIAquick™ Gel Extraction Kit. The sequence of interest was then ligated into the pGEM-T-EASY® vector (Promega) and propagated in the DH5α (GIBCO BRL) strain of E. coli.

For making recombinant fusion protein with glutathione-S-transferase (GST) for expression studies, AcSiR1 and AcNiR1 expression, the sequence was digested out of respective pGEM-T-EASY® plasmid using respective restriction endonucleases as mentioned above and
ligated into pGEX-6P-3 vector using BL21 strain of *E. coli* as host. The translation of the inserted gene was induced with the addition of 0.2mM IPTG (AppliChem, Ottoweg, Darmstadt, Germany) and incubation of cells at 14°C overnight at 180 rpm. After the incubation, the cells were centrifuged at 5000 x g for 10 min and the pellet was resuspended in 40 ml of cold lysis buffer (50mM Tris-HCL, 100mM NaCl and 1 mM DTT, pH 7.5). The cells were then lysed using the French press at 7,000 psi and the lysate was centrifuged at 14,000 x g for 10 min. The supernatant was then mixed with a pre-prepared 50% GS4B (GE Healthcare) slurry as per the manufacturer’s instructions and incubated with gentle rotation for 2 h at room temperature. The resin was then washed with 15ml of cold lysis buffer thrice with centrifugation at 500 x g between each wash to pellet the resin. The resin was then re-suspended in cleavage buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCL, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM Na2EDTA and 1 mM DTT (pH 7.0). The beads were washed twice more as above. The fusion protein was cleaved from GST by addition of 20 U of PreScission® Protease (GE Healthcare) in 2 ml of and the tube incubated at 4°C overnight, with end to end rotation. The protein was recovered as supernatant the following day by centrifuging the slurry at 500 x g for 5 min at 4°C.

### 2.4.2 Protein separation by 1-Dimension SDS polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis

#### 2.4.2.1 Sample Preparation

The protein samples were heated in an equal volume of reducing buffer containing 100 mM Tris-HCL, pH 6.8, 20% (v/v) glycerol, 5% Ultrapure™ SDS (Invitrogen Corp, CA, USA), 0.01% (w/v) bromophenol blue and 5% (v/v) 2-mercaptoethanol for 5 min. After this, the samples were centrifuged at 13,000 x g for 1 min, before loading on the gel. Generally 5-10 μl of protein was loaded per well.

#### 2.4.2.2 Gel preparation and gel electrophoresis

Proteins were separated as per their molecular mass as has been described by Laemmli (1970). The proteins were resolved in 12% resolving gel (0.18M Tris-HCL [pH8.8], 0.1% SDS, 12% acrylamide [37:5:1], 0.1% ammonium persulfate and 5 ul of tetramethylethlenediamine).
The gel was submerged in running buffer (0.025 M Tris-HCL, 0.1% SDS, 0.192 M glycine, pH 8.3) and electrophoresis was run at 150 V for 75 min.

### 2.4.3 Western Analysis

#### 2.4.3.1 Transfer of proteins onto PVDF membrane

Proteins separated by SDS-PAGE (2.4.2.2) were transferred onto a PVDF membrane (PVDF transfer membranes, PerkinElmer Life Sciences Inc., Boston, MA, USA) as described by Towbin et al, 1979 using the Trans-Blot Electrophoretic transfer cell (Bio-Rad). The membrane, cut to the size of the gel, was first activated by dipping in MeOH and then transfer buffer (25 mM Tris, 190 mM glycine, pH 8.3 containing 10% (v/v) MeOH) and chilled at 4 °C prior to use. The cassette was assembled as per the diagram below:

![Cassette set up for western blotting](image)

The cassette was then transferred to the holder and transfer buffer was poured to cover the top of the cassette and the Bio-Ice™ cooling unit was included. The transfer was conducted at 100 V for 60 min on constant stirring.
2.4.3.2 Detection of proteins by Coomassie Blue staining

Coomassie staining was used to check the accumulation of recombinant proteins and also as an indirect measure to check for equal loading of samples before western blotting for root tissue. To do this, after the electrophoresis, the gel immersed in Coomassie Blue solution containing 0.1% (w/v) Coomassie Brilliant blue (Sigma-Aldrich), 40% (v/v) MeOH and 10% (v/v) EtOH for 30 min after which the gel was destained to remove background stain by washing with 30% (v/v) EtOH until desired contrast was reached. The gel was imaged using the Gel-Doc XR system (Bio-Rad).

2.4.3.3 Probing and detection using specific antibodies

For protein detection using specific antibodies, the PVDF membrane was blocked with blocking solution [12% (w/v) skim milk powder (Pams, The Foodstuffs Co-operative Society Ltd, Wellington, NZ)] in 50 mM phosphate buffer, 250 mM NaCl, pH 7.4, containing for 2 h. The membrane was washed with 50 mL of PBST (phosphate buffer saline with 0.05% (v/v) Tween 20), five times, with 3 min incubation per wash. The membrane was then incubated with protein specific primary polyclonal antibody in a 1:5000 dilution with PBST to a total of 20 ml and incubated for 1.5 h at 35°C. The membrane was then washed with 50 mL of PBST (PBS with 0.05% (v/v) Tween 20), five times, with 3 min incubation per wash. Following this the membrane was incubated with secondary antibody (anti-rabbit) raised in goat and conjugated either with alkaline phosphatase (1:10,000 dilution in PBST) for chromogenic detection or with horseradish peroxidase (1:80,000 dilution in PBST) for chemiluminescence detection (Promega Corp, Madison, WI, USA) for 1 h at 35°C. After incubation, the membrane was washed as before with PBST.

For chromogenic detection, the membrane was finally washed in substrate buffer (100 mM Tris-HCL, pH 9.6, containing 100 mM NaCl and 10mM MgCl₂) and then incubated in the dark in developing buffer [100 mM Tris-HCL, pH 9.6, containing 100 mM NaCl, 0.01% (w/v) BCIP, Roche), 0.02% (w/v) NBT, 1% (w/v) DMSO and 8 mM MgCl₂] to visualize the protein band of interest. After sufficient contrast was achieved, the reaction was stopped by rinsing the membrane in water.

For Chemiluminescence detection, the membrane was washed in PBST and then incubated with BM Chemiluminescence Western Blotting Substrate (POD) (Roche) as per the supplier’s recommendation. The membrane was then placed between two transparency sheets (OfficeMax, Wellington, NZ) and placed inside a FujiFilm BAB cassette (Fujifilm USA...
Inc., Valhalla, NY, USA) making sure that the detection substrate does not seep out from between the transparencies. The X-ray was placed over the BAB cassette in a dark room for required exposure time (usually 1 min) after which it was processed automatically using the X-Ray Film Processor 100 Plus (All Pro Imaging, Hicksville, NY, USA).

### 2.4.3.4 Production of antibody

Approximately 250 μg of purified AcNiR1/AcSiR1 recombinant protein was emulsified using Freund’s incomplete adjuvant and injected into New Zealand white rabbit subcutaneously at several sites on the animal’s back. Two more booster injections were given at monthly intervals at the end of which ca. 10 ml of terminal bleed as taken from the rabbit. The bleed was kept at 4 °C overnight and clear serum was collected as supernatant. The serum was further purified by affinity chromatography using Protein G Sepharose 4 Fast Flow resin (GE Healthcare) in 20 mM phosphate buffer as per supplier’s instructions.

### 2.4.4 Isothermal Titration Calorimetry

#### 2.4.4.1 Sample preparation

After purifying the recombinant proteins as described in section 2.4.1.2, the protein samples were dialyzed overnight into ITC buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCL and 250 mM NaCl, pH 7.5 at 4°C to minimize any noise in the experiment due to difference in pH or buffer composition of the two samples. After dialysis, the protein was recovered and concentrated using Amicon Ultra-0.5 ml centrifugal filters with a 30 kD cut off (Merck Millipore, Manukau, NZ) as per the supplier’s recommendation. Recombinant AcSiR1 was concentrated to at least 10 μM and AcNiR1 was concentrated to at least 10 times higher than the AcSiR1 concentration (2.4.4.2), as per a typical run recommended for Microcal™ ITC200 System (GE Healthcare). To be able to distinguish signal from noise for uncharacterized proteins, it is recommended that it is recommended that the protein injected (syringe concentration) into the cell (cell concentration) be at least 10 fold higher to begin with and then depending on the signal can subsequently be fine-tuned.

#### 2.4.4.2 Instrument setup and data analysis

The Microcal™ ITC200 System (GE Healthcare) was setup and the experiment was run as per the manufacturers recommended procedure using the following settings:
<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total injections</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell temperature</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference power (μcal/sec)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial delay (sec)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syringe Concentration (μM)</td>
<td>173.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell concentration (μM)</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stirring speed (rpm)</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.11 Microcal™ ITC Settings used for protein-protein interaction studies

The data was analysed, curve fitting and graphs plotting was done automatically using the Origin7™ software provided along with ITC200 System as per the manufacturer’s recommendations.

2.4.5 Solid Phase Binding Assay

The solid phase binding assay was carried out as described in Cumming et al. (2007). The microtitre well was first coated with 1μg/100 μl of recombinant AcNiR1 in buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCL, 100 mM NaCl (TBS), pH 7.5 at 25 °C for 2 h. After incubation, the wells were washed gently with TBS containing 0.05% (v/v) Tween-20 (TBST) and incubated with 200 μl of 0.5% milk powder (Pams) in TBS at 25 °C for 2 h or 4 °C overnight. Following this the wells were washed gently with TBST and incubated with the secondary protein (AcSiR1 or AcOASTL2) at increasing concentrations from 0 to 2 μg/100 μl at 25 °C for 2 hours or 4 °C overnight. After this the cells were washed again with TBS and incubated with primary Anti AtSiR1 or the Anti-AcOASTL1 antibody (AcOASTL1 antibody recognized AcOASTL2) for 1 h at 37 °C. The wells were washed again as before, and then were incubated with secondary anti-rabbit, alkaline-phosphatase conjugated antibody, for 1 h at 37 °C. The wells were washed post incubation and 100μl of substrate buffer [5 ml of 50 mM NaHCO₃, one (5mg) tablet of p- nitrophenol phosphate (Sigma-aldrich) and 8 mM MgCl₂ pH 9.7] was added per well and absorbance read at 405 nm.
2.4.6 Enzyme analysis

2.4.6.1 Cysteine standard curve

As the AcSiR1 activity was measured indirectly by coupling SiR1 activity with OASTL activity and measuring the amount of cysteine made per unit of time, a cysteine standard curve was generated to use for the conversion of absorbance to amount.

- 1 mM cysteine was prepared and aliquoted into tubes as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount (μ mole)</th>
<th>Vol of 1 mM L-cysteine</th>
<th>Water</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 μl</td>
<td>100 μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>10 μl</td>
<td>90 μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>20 μl</td>
<td>80 μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>40 μl</td>
<td>60 μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>60 μl</td>
<td>40 μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>80 μl</td>
<td>20 μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>100 μl</td>
<td>0 μl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.12 Set up for cysteine standard curve describing increasing amounts of cysteine used to generate a linear curve

- For each cysteine concentration, a triplicate tube was set up.
- One hundred μl of 20% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid was added and the tubes were centrifuged at 3000 x g for 1 min at room temperature.
- After this, the supernatant was transferred into a new tube and 100 μl of glacial acetic acid and 200 μl of ninhydrin reagent was added.
- The tubes were then heated on a float-rack in a water bath at 100°C for 10 min.
- The tubes were then cooled on ice and 600 μl of 95% (v/v) EtOH was added.
- The absorbance was then read at 546 nm and was plotted against amount to generate the standard curve.

Ninhydrin reagent was made by dissolving 250 mg of ninhydrin in 10 ml of glacial acetic acid:conc HCL (60:40, v/v) and stirring for an hour in the fumehood.
2.4.6.2 Measurement of AcSiR1 activity

AcSiR1 activity was measured using a modified protocol of Brychkova et al. (2012) using dithionite as a reductant and methyl viologen as an electron donor. The assay measures the amount of cysteine made per unit of time. The final 1 ml assay reaction contained 25 mM phosphate buffer, 7 mM methyl viologen, 10 mM O-acetylserylserine, 50 μM L-Pyridoxal 5’Phosphate, 2 mM dithioerythritol, ca 1ug of recombinant AcOASTL1 and AcSiR1 extract as required. The reaction was started by the addition of 6 mM sodium dithionite in 150 mM NaHCO₃. To stop the reaction, 100 μl of 20% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid was added and samples were centrifuged at 14, 000 x g for 1 min and the supernatant was transferred to a new tube. To this supernatant, 100 μl of glacial acetic acid and 200 μl of ninhydrin reagent were added and tubes were heated on a float-rack in a water bath at 100 °C for 10 min. The tubes were then cooled on ice prior to adding 600 μl of 95% (v/v) EtOH. The absorbance was read at 546 nm. The activity was calculated by converting absorbance readings to cysteine using the cysteine standard curve prepared, per unit time.

2.4.6.3 Measurement of AcNiR1 activity

AcNiR activity was measured using a modified protocol of Vega et al., 1980. Nitrite reductase catalyses the six electron reduction of nitrite and formation of NH₄⁺ utilizing ferredoxin as electron donor. The enzyme activity was measured as the amount of nitrite converted per unit of time using dithionite as a reductant and methyl viologen as an electron carrier. The reaction mix per ml of assay contained 75 mM Tris-HCL (pH 8), 2 mM sodium nitrite, 0.75 mM methyl viologen, AcNiR1 extract (ca 250 μg for plant extract and 1-2.5 μg for recombinant protein). The reaction was started by the addition of 8.5 mM dithionite in 43.5 mM NaHCO₃ at 25 °C. The assay was conducted anaerobically by flushing the sealed glass tubes with argon gas. At required time-points, the reaction was stopped by vortexing the tubes until the blue color disappeared. Following this, 100 μl of supernatant was taken and added to a fresh 1.7 ml eppindorf® tubes and centrifuges at 13,000 x g for 1 min. For quantification, Griess reagent (20 μl) (Life Technologies, Thermo Fisher Scientific) was added to 30 μl of centrifuged sample in a microtitre plate and the volume adjusted to 300 μl with Mili Q water. The absorbance was read at 540 nm. The activity was calculated by converting the absorbance readings to nitrite used with a nitrite standard curve.
prepared as per manufacturer’s recommendation (Griess reagent kit for nitrite determination, Life technologies).

2.5 Sample preparation metabolomic analysis

2.5.1 Sample preparation for the identification of volatile compounds

For the extraction of volatiles, a protocol adapted for apple skin flavour was employed (Martin Hunt, Plant & Food Research, NZ, pers comm). Two g of frozen tissue was added to a 25 ml scintillation vial (containing 20 mm x 10 mm stir bar). To this, 4 ml of 25% (w/v) CaCl₂ was then added to the sample tube from 5 ml dispenser and the 5.0 ml diethyl ether internal standard (ether is distilled and run through alumina). The sample was capped and stirred at a slow speed for 30 min, avoiding any foam formation at the air interphase. After 30 min, another 0.5 ml of diethyl ether (internal standard) was added and samples stirred for another 90 min. At this point, the stirrers were removed and samples were let to sit for 5 min. After this, the upper phase from the samples was pipetted into a Kimax tube with a screw cap and a pre-measured amount of MgSO₄ was added to bond any H₂O present in the ether. The samples were left to dry for an hour. After this, the samples were vortexed once briefly and then centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 5 min. The supernatant was poured off avoiding the salt pelleted at the bottom, into a 10 ml graduated tube and then evaporated under oxygen-free nitrogen down to 2 ml. The sample was then pipetted into a GC vial and labelled and stored in GC vials at -20 °C until run on a GC-MS.

The sample run was conducted at Plant & Food Research, Palmerston North, NZ and the normalized data was sent as excel spread sheet for analysis.

2.5.2 Sample preparation for identification of targeted metabolites

For targeted metabolomics, 20 mg of freeze-dried tissue was sent to the Metabolomics Research Group, RIKEN Centre for Sustainable Resource, JAPAN where the samples were run as per standard procedures, as described in the widely targeted metabolomics methodology paper by Sawada et al., (2009). The normalized data was sent back in the form of excel spreadsheets with PCA analysis (section 2.6). For conversion and normalization of targeted metabolomics data, signal to noise of >30 was selected as
detection threshold for metabolites. Areas under the selected peaks were then converted to Log₂ values. For undetected metabolites in a particular sample, missing value was replaced by 0.1. Data was normalized by z-score transformation using the TM4 MEV software (Chu et al., 2008).

2.6 Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis for qRT-PCR and volatile metabolomics was performed using the Microsoft Office Excel 2010 and MiniTab® 16 statistical package. The Student t-test was used to determine significant difference between two data-sets and unless mentioned otherwise, a difference between means at 5% (p< 0.05) was considered significant, and indicated as such in the results presented in chapter 3 and 4.

For the targeted metabolome, all statistical analysis was carried using the R-language based online metabolomics suite MetaboAnalyst 2.0 (J. Xia et al., 2012). The venn diagrams were generated using the online venn diagram generator for biological data (BioVenn - a web application for the comparison and visualization of biological lists using area-proportional Venn diagrams; Hulsen et al., 2008). For this, the lists of significantly differently metabolites between tissues and treatments was generated using the t-test function in Metaboanalyst suite. The threshold for significance was set to < 0.05.

PCA (Principal Component Analysis) is a statistical procedure to simplify and visualize big data-sets. It is represented as a score plot with PC1 at the horizontal axis and PC2 in the vertical axis representing principal and second component. The two percentages represented alongside PC1 and PC2 indicate proportion of variance contributed by the two components. PCA was performed at RIKEN by Dr. Ryo Nakabayashi using SIMCA statistical software package.
Chapter 3: Effects of long term sulfur and/or nitrogen depletion in \textit{A. cepa}

3.1 Experimental design
A factorial S x N experiment was designed (Fig 3.1 A) where seeds were first germinated in water for 7 days and subsequently grown further in control (C, 14 mM N and 2 mM S), S depleted (-S, 14 mM N and 0.25 mM S), N depleted (-N, 3.5 mM N and 2 mM S) or both S and N depleted (-S-N, 0.25 mM S and 3.5 mM N) media on sand mixture (see section 2.1.2) in a temperature, photoperiod and humidity controlled growth room (see section 2.1.3). Plants were grown in short day conditions for a month, at which point the first harvest (designated the pre-bulbing stage) was undertaken. As the plants still had a shallow root system at this stage and individual root systems were spatially separated from other plants in the same pot, any damage to roots through tangling with adjacent plants was minimized. After this, the remaining pots were then transferred to long day conditions to promote bulbing (see section 2.1.3). The final harvest (designated the bulbing stage) was done after a month in long day conditions (66 days post germination) (see section 2.1.4).
Figure 3.1: Factorial S x N experiment using A. cepa. A. Experimental layout of SxN factorial experiment. B. Two month old A. cepa, prior to second harvest at the end of 30 days in long day conditions in their respective treatment (C: control, -S: Sulfur depleted, -N: Nitrogen depleted, -S-N: Both sulfur and nitrogen depleted).
3.2 Change in fresh weight of plant tissues in response to S and/or N starvation

3.2.1 Fresh weights and shoot to root ratio at the pre-bulbing stage.

At the pre-bulbing stage, there were no significant differences (p>0.01) observed in the total biomass (Fig 3.2 A) or shoot to root ratio (Fig 3.2 C) for the plants grown under the -S treatment when compared with the plants grown under the control treatment. However, the -N treatment and –S-N treatment resulted in a significant reduction (p<0.01) of 39% and 27% in total biomass, respectively (Fig 3.2 A). This reduction was mainly due to reduced biomass of the shoot (Fig 3.2 B), as there was no significant (p>0.01) reduction in total root biomass in these plants. The decrease in the shoot biomass was also reflected in significant (p<0.01) reductions in shoot to root ratios, which were 1.01 for the –N and 1.48 for the –S-N treatments, as compared with 1.95 for the –S and 1.96 for the control treatments.

3.2.2 Fresh weights and shoot to root ratio at the bulbing stage.

The total fresh weight of the bulbing plants grown under the –S treatment tended to be higher than plants grown under the control treatment (p=0.04), an effect mediated by the significantly (p<0.01) higher root weight (Fig 3.2 E). The two nitrogen-depleted treatments (-N and –S-N) had the largest significant decrease (p<0.01) in total fresh weight when compared with the plants grown under the control treatment (Fig 3.2 D). The shoot fresh weights were significantly less (p<0.01) for plants grown in both the N-depleted treatments (-N and –S-N), while there was no significant difference in the root fresh weights of plants grown in the –N treatment. However, the fresh weight of the roots from the plants grown in –S-N treatment were significantly higher (p<0.01) (Fig 3.2 E).
Figure 3.2 A. cepa factorial S x N experiment fresh weight measurements. A and D: Total biomass for each indicated treatment. B and E: Fresh weights for shoot and root for each treatment. C and F: Shoot to root ratios for each treatment. The values are mean ± SEM of six biological replicates where each biological replicate comprises tissues pooled from six individual plants. Error bars represent standard error of the mean. (* ) Denotes significant difference (p <0.01) from control and (··) indicates significant difference (p<0.01) from the –N treatment. P-values >.01 but > 0.05 emphasize general trends.
3.3 Expression of *A. cepa* sulfur and nitrogen assimilatory genes in response to N and/or S depletion

To understand the effect of the S and N depletion treatments on the S and N-assimilation pathways, the transcriptional response of selected genes in each pathway was investigated for each treatment in both the leaf and the root for both the developmental stages (pre-bulbing/ bulbing).

Expression analysis was undertaken for selected genes in the S and N-assimilation pathway using qRT-PCR (see section 2.3.4, appendix 9), such that a broad picture of the whole pathway for each treatment can be captured (Fig 3.3). Relative expression of putative High affinity Sulfate Transporter1;1 (*AcHAST 1.1 LIKE1*), adenosine-5′-triphosphate sulfurylase (*AcATPS1*), adenosine 5′-phosphosulfate reductase (*AcAPSR1*), adenosine 5′-phosphosulfate kinase (*AcAPSK1*), sulfite reductase (*AcSiR1*), sulfite oxidase (*AcSOX1*) and O-acetylserine thiolyase (*AcOASTL1, 2 and 3*) was determined for the S-assimilation pathway and root specific putative nitrate transporter 2;1 like1 (*AcNRT2;1LIKE1*), nitrate reductase (*AcNR1*) and nitrite reductase (*AcNiR1*) was examined for the N-assimilation pathway. The *Flowering locus T4* (*AcFT4*) gene, which has been shown to regulate the development of bulb in *A. cepa* (Lee et al., 2013), was used as molecular marker for transition of plants to the bulbing stage upon exposure to long day conditions.
Figure 3.3 Schematic representation of the S and N-assimilation pathway and the genes transcribing the enzymes involved in *A. cepa*. 

**A)** S-assimilation pathway. 

- Methionine
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**B)** N-assimilation pathway. 

- Nitrite
- AcNiR1
- Nitrate
- AcNR1
- AcNRT2;1LIKE1
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The transcription profile was investigated for all the genes depicted in each pathway for leaf and root tissue from plants grown under each of the four S x N treatments. AcHAST1;1LIKE1 - Putative high affinity sulfate transporter1;1, AcATPS1- adenosine-5'-triphosphate sulfurylase, AcAPSR1- adenosine 5'-phosphosulfate reductase, AcAPSK1- adenosine 5'-phosphosulfate kinase, AcSiR1- sulfite reductase, AcOASTL1.2.3- O-acetylserine thiolyase1, 2, 3, AcSOX1- sulfite oxidase, AcNRT2;1LIKE1- putative nitrate transporter 2;1, AcNR1- nitrate reductase1, AcNiR1- nitrite reductase1.
3.3.1 Transcriptional response in leaf tissue harvested from plants in the –S treatment

A significantly higher (p<0.05) relative transcript abundance of AcHAST1;1LIKE1 and AcAPSR1, the two genes commonly used as markers for the S-starvation response, was observed in leaf tissue from plants grown in the –S treatment and harvested at the pre-bulbing stage (Fig 3.4). No significant change was observed in the relative transcript abundance of AcATPS1, AcSiR1, AcSOX1 and the AcOASTL genes (p>0.05) relative to the control treatment (Fig 3.4, Fig 3.5). The relative transcript abundance of AcAPSK1 (Fig 3.4), which marks a bifurcation in S pathway leading to sulfation reactions (Rotte & Leustek, 2000), was significantly (p<0.05) reduced in the leaves of the plants under the –S treatment when compared with the control treatment. There was no significant difference (p>0.05) in comparison to the control treatment in the relative transcript abundance of the N-assimilation pathway genes AcNR1 and AcNiR1 when compared to the control treatment (Fig 3.5).

In common with the relative transcript abundance of leaves at the pre-bulbing stage, in the leaf tissue of plants under the –S treatment and harvested at the bulbing stage, and a significantly (p<0.05) higher level of expression of AcHAST1;1 LIKE1 and AcAPSR1 was observed (Fig 3.4). In contrast, AcATPS1, AcAPSK1, AcSiR1 and AcOASTL3 transcripts had significantly lower (p<0.05) relative abundance (Fig 3.4, Fig 3.5). The relative transcript abundance of AcSOX1, AcOASTL1, AcOASTL2, AcNR1 and AcNiR1 did not show any significant (p>0.05) difference when compared with the control treatment (Fig 3.4, Fig 3.5).

3.3.2 Transcriptional response in leaf tissue harvested from plants in the –N treatment

In the leaf tissue of plants grown in the –N treatment and harvested at the pre-bulbing stage, there was a slight but significant (p<0.05) increase in the expression of AcHAST1;1 LIKE1, AcATPS1, AcAPSR1, AcAPSK1 and AcSOX1 when compared with leaves harvested from pre-bulbing plants grown in the control treatment (Fig 3.4). No significant (p>0.05) change in the relative abundance of AcSiR1, AcOASTL1 and AcOASTL3 transcripts, were observed. The relative transcript abundance of AcOASTL2 showed a slight but significant (p<0.05) decline in the leaves of pre-bulbing plants harvested from –N treatment when compared with control treatment (Fig 3.4, Fig 3.5). Transcription of the N-assimilation pathway genes, AcNR1 and AcNiR1 had a significantly lower (p<0.05) level of relative
transcript abundance when compared with leaves harvested from plants grown in the control treatment (Fig 3.5 D, E).

At the bulbing stage, with the exception of AcOASTL1 and AcNR1, the transcript abundance of all the genes investigated, namely AcHAST1;1LIKE1, AcATPS1, AcAPSR1, AcAPSK1, AcSiR1, AcOASTL2 and AcOASTL3 were significantly higher (p<0.05) in leaf tissue when compared with the control treatment (Fig 3.4) (Fig 3.5). The relative transcript abundance of AcOASTL1 and AcNiR1 did not show any significant (p>0.05) difference compared to leaves from control treatment (Fig 3.5).

3.3.3 Transcriptional response in leaf tissue harvested from plants in the –S-N treatment

In the leaf tissue at the pre-bulbing stage harvested from plants grown under the –S-N treatments, a significantly (p<0.05) higher relative transcript abundance were observed for AcHAST1;1LIKE1, AcATPS1 and AcAPSR1 when compared with the relative transcript abundance in leaf tissue from plants in the control treatment (Fig 3.4). However, the relative transcript abundance of AcSiR1, AcOASTL2 and the two nitrogen N-assimilation pathway genes AcNR1 and AcNiR1 was significantly (p<0.05) lower compared to leaf tissue of same age from plants grown under the control treatment (Fig 3.4, Fig 3.5). No significant changes were observed in transcript levels of AcAPSK1, AcSOX1, AcOASTL1 and AcOASTL3 when compared to pre-bulbing leaf tissue harvested from plants grown under the control treatment (Fig 3.4, Fig 3.5). However, when compared to leaf tissue harvested from plants under the -N treatment, the relative transcript levels for AcSOX1 and AcAPSK1 were significantly lower (p<0.05) (Fig 3.4).

In leaf tissue at the bulbing stage, the relative transcript abundance of five out of the total of eleven genes investigated differed when compared to the control treatment, namely AcHAST1;1LIKE1, AcAPSR1, AcAPSK1, OASTL2 and AcNR1. All five genes had significantly higher (p<0.05) relative transcript abundance (Fig 3.4) (Fig 3.5). No significant difference was observed in the relative transcript levels of the other six genes, namely; AcATPS1, AcSiR1, AcSOX1, AcOASTL1, AcOASTL3 and AcNiR1 (Fig 3.4) (Fig 3.5).
Figure 3.4 Transcriptional changes in the sulfur assimilation pathway in response to S and/or N depletion in leaves harvested at the pre-bulbing (blue bars) and at the bulbing stage (red bars). Relative transcript abundance (fold change) was determined by qRT-PCR and was normalized to control treatment using two internal reference genes TUBa and CYC. AcHAST1;1LIKE1 - Putative high affinity sulfate transporter1;1, AcATPS1- adenosine-5'-triphosphate sulfurylase, AcAPSR1- adenosine 5'-phosphosulfate reductase, AcAPSK1- adenosine 5'-phosphosulfate kinase, AcSIR1- sulfite reductase, AcSOX1- sulfite oxidase. Bars represent mean ±SEM of three biological replicates. “*” indicates statistically significant (P<0.05) differential expression when compared with control plants of the same stage. “•” indicates statistically significant (P<0.05) difference between the -N treatment and the -S-N treatment for the same stage. P-values>0.05 but <0.1 indicate trend towards significant difference.
Figure 3.5 Transcriptional changes in the sulfur and nitrogen assimilation pathway in response to S and/or N depletion in leaves harvested at the pre-bulbing (blue bars) and at the bulbing stage (red bars). Relative transcript abundance (fold change) was determined by qRT-PCR and was normalized to control treatment using two internal reference genes TUBa and CYC. AcOASTL1, 2 and 3, O-acetylserine thiolyase1, 2 and 3, AcNiR1-nitrate reductase1, AcNiR1-nitrite reductase1. Bars represent mean ±SEM of three biological replicates. * indicates statistically significant (P<0.05) differential expression when compared with control plants of the same stage. ** indicates statistically significant (P<0.05) difference between the -N treatment and the –S-N treatment for the same stage. P-values>0.05 but <0.1 indicate trend towards significant difference.
3.3.4 Transcriptional response in root tissue harvested from plants in the –S treatment

The relative transcript levels of AcHAST1;1LIKE1 and AcAPSR1 were significantly higher (p<0.05) in root tissue from plants grown under the –S treatment at the pre-bulbing stage, compared to roots from plants grown under control treatment (Fig 3.6). Whereas the relative transcript abundance of AcATPS1, AcAPSK1, AcOASTL2, AcNRT2;1LIKE1 and AcNiR1 genes were significantly (p<0.05) lower (Fig 3.6, Fig 3.7). No change in the relative transcript abundance of AcSiR1, AcSOX1, AcOASTL1, AcOASTL3 and AcNR1 was observed in response to –S treatment when compared with expression in plants grown in the control treatments. (Fig 3.6, Fig 3.7).

In response to the –S treatment in the roots of bulbing plants, a significantly higher (p<0.05) level of relative transcript abundance for AcHAST1;1LIKE1, AcAPSR1 and AcSiR1 was observed (Fig 3.6). In contrast, the relative transcript abundance of AcATPS1 and AcAPSK1 transcripts were significantly lower (p<0.05) than root tissue harvested from the control treatment at the same developmental stage (Fig 3.6). Relative transcript levels of AcSOX1, AcOASTL1, AcOASTL2, AcOASTL3, AcNRT2;1LIKE1, AcNR1 and AcNiR1 did not show any significant change (p>0.05) when compared with the roots harvested from plants grown in the control treatment (Fig 3.6, Fig 3.7).

3.3.5 Transcriptional response in root tissue harvested from plants in the –N treatment

In the root tissue harvested from plants grown in the -N treatment at the pre-bulbing stage, a slight but significantly higher (p<0.05) relative transcript abundance for AcAPSK1 was observed (Fig 3.6). No significant difference was observed in the relative transcript abundance of AcOASTL3 (Fig 3.7 C), and The relative transcript abundance of AcHAST1;1LIKE1, AcATPS1, AcAPSR1, AcSiR1, AcSOX1, AcOASTL1, AcOASTL2, AcNRT2;1LIKE1, AcNR1 and AcNiR1 were significantly lower (p<0.05) (Fig 3.6) (Fig 3.7).

At the bulbing stage, a significantly higher (p<0.05) level of expression of AcAPSK1, AcSOX1 and AcOASTL3 was observed in plants grown in the –N treatment (Fig 3.6) (Fig 3.7). In contrast, the relative expression levels of AcHAST1;1LIKE1, AcATPS1, AcAPSR1, AcSiR1, AcNR1 and AcNiR1 were significantly (p<0.05) lower (Fig 3.6) (Fig 3.7), and the transcript
abundance of AcOASTL1, AcOASTL2 and AcNRT2;1LIKE1 did not show any significant (p>0.05) difference when compared with the control treatment (Fig 3.7).

3.3.6 Transcriptional response in root tissue harvested from plants in the –S-N treatment

At the pre-bulbing stage, apart from AcAPSK1 and AcNRT2;1LIKE1, a significantly lower (p<0.05) relative transcript abundance was observed for all the other genes investigated when compared with roots harvested from pre-bulbing plants grown in the control media (Fig 3.6) (Fig 3.7). This included AcHAST1;1LIKE1, AcATPS1, AcAPSR1, AcSiR1, AcSOX1, AcOASTL1, AcOASTL2, AcOASTL3, AcNR1 and AcNiR1 (Fig 3.6, Fig 3.7). However, when compared to the –N treatment, a significantly (p<0.05) higher transcript abundance of AcHAST1;1LIKE1 and AcAPSR1 was observed (Fig 3.6). The relative transcript abundance of AcAPSK1, which did not show any fluctuation compared to control treatment, were significantly (p<0.05) reduced when compared to roots harvested from –N treatment (Fig 3.6).

At the bulbing stage, a significantly (p<0.05) higher relative transcript abundance was observed for AcAPSK1 and AcOASTL3 as compared with roots of bulbing plants harvested from control treatment. (Fig 3.6, Fig 3.7). In contrast, the transcript abundance of AcHAST1;1LIKE1, AcATPS1, AcAPSR1, AcSiR1, AcNR1, AcNiR1 was significantly (p<0.05) lower (Fig 3.6, Fig 3.7). No significant changes in the relative transcript abundance of AcSOX1, AcOASTL1, AcOASTL2 and AcNRT2;1LIKE were determined in comparison to roots harvested from plants grown under the control treatment (Fig 3.6, Fig 3.7). When compared with the -N treatment, AcHAST1;1LIKE1 and AcAPSR1 had significantly (p<0.05) higher relative transcript abundance in the –S-N treatment (Fig 3.6).
Figure 3.6 Transcriptional changes in the sulfur assimilation pathway in response to S and/or N depletion in roots harvested at the pre-bulbing (blue bars) and at the bulbing stage (red bars). Relative transcript abundance (fold change) was determined by qRT-PCR and was normalized to control treatment using two internal reference genes TUBa and CYC. AcHAST1;1LIKE1 - Putative high affinity sulfate transporter1;1, AcATPS1- adenosine-5'-triphosphate sulfurylase, AcAPSR1- adenosine 5'-phosphosulfate reductase, AcAPSK1- adenosine 5'-phosphosulfate kinase, AcSiR1- sulfite reductase, AcSOX1- sulfite oxidase. Bars represent mean ±SEM of three biological replicates. "*" indicates statistically significant (P<0.05) differential expression when compared with control plants of the same stage. "**" indicates statistically significant (P<0.05) difference between the -N treatment and the –S-N treatment for the same stage. P-values>0.05 but <0.1 indicate trend towards significant difference.
Figure 3.7 Transcriptional changes in the sulfur and nitrogen assimilation pathways in response to S and/or N depletion in roots harvested at the pre-bulbing (blue bars) and at the bulbing stage (red bars). Relative transcript abundance (fold change) was determined by qRT-PCR and was normalized to control treatment using two internal reference genes TUBa and CYC. AcOASTL1, 2 and 3-O-acetylserine thiolyase1, 2 and 3, AcNRT2;1 LIKE1- putative nitrate transporter 2;1, AcNR1- nitrate reductase1, AcNiR1- nitrite reductase1. Bars represent mean ±SEM of three biological replicates. "*" indicates statistically significant (P<0.05) differential expression when compared with control plants of the same stage. "•" indicates statistically significant (P<0.05) difference between the -N treatment and the –S-N treatment for the same stage. P-values>0.05 but <0.1 indicate trend towards significant difference.
3.4 Expression of *Flowering locus T4 (AcFT4)*: Changes in response to treatment and development.

### 3.4.1 Response to treatment

A role in bulbing for *AcFT4* has been suggested recently, where the overexpression of the gene has been shown to inhibit bulbing (Lee et al., 2013). Hence *FT4* gene expression was used as an indicator of the successful transition from pre-bulbing to the bulbing stage. The expression in leaf tissue was measured as an indicator as *AcFT4* expression in roots has been shown to be much lower under control conditions (Lee et al., 2013). As expected, under the control treatment, *AcFT4* transcript abundance is markedly reduced upon induction of bulbing, as plants were transferred from short day to long day conditions (2.1.3) (Fig 3.8 B). The expression of *AcFT4* was also found to respond to the -N and the –S-N treatments, where the relative expression was significantly (p<0.05) higher in plants grown in the -N and the –S-N treatment when compared with the control treatment. This higher level of relative transcript abundance was also observed at the bulbing stage, with a 9-fold increase in the –N and an 8.3-fold increase in the –N-S treatment (Fig 3.8 A). No significant change in relative transcript abundance level of *AcFT4* was observed in leaf tissue harvested from plants grown under the control and the –S treatment at both the pre-bulbing and the bulbing stages (Fig 3.8 A).

### 3.4.2 Response to development

The expression of *AcFT4* was also used as a marker gene for bulbing, and so the relative change between the two developmental stages was evaluated separately for each treatment (Fig 3.8 B). In the plants grown in the control and the -S media, the *AcFT4* relative transcript abundance declined significantly (p<0.05). However, no significant change in *AcFT4* relative transcript abundance was observed between the two developmental stages in plants grown under the -N and the –S-N treatments.
Figure 3.8 Relative expression of AcFT4 in leaves. A: Change in relative transcript abundance in response to treatment for pre-bulbing and the bulbing stage compared to the control treatment. B: Change in relative transcript abundance in response to development comparing pre-bulbing stage with the bulbing stage for each treatment. Transcript abundance was determined by qRT-PCR and was normalized to control treatment for using two reference genes AcTUBα and AcCYC for (A) and to the pre-bulbing stage for each treatment as well as the two reference genes for (B). Bars represent mean ±SEM of three biological replicates where each replicate consists of tissue pooled from 6 plants. "*" denotes statistically significant (p<0.05) difference as compared to control treatment for the respective stage (A), as compared to the pre-bulbing stage for the respective treatment (B).
3.5 Expression of sulfur and nitrogen assimilatory genes in response to bulbing under different S x N treatments

To understand the changes in nutritional requirement as the plant progresses from pre-bulbing to bulbing, the expression of the different S and N-assimilation pathway genes in response to bulbing was also determined. For each treatment, the expression at the pre-bulbing stage of each gene was compared with the expression of the same gene at the bulbing stage (Fig 3.9 and 3.10).

3.5.1 Plants grown in the control treatment

In the leaf tissue, AcAPSK1 was significantly (p<0.05) up-regulated at the bulbing stage compared to the pre-bulbing stage (Fig 3.9 A). In contrast, the relative transcript abundance of AcHAST1;1LIKE1, AcATPS1, AcAPSR1, AcSOX1, AcOASTL2, AcNR1 and AcNiR1 declined significantly (p<0.05) at the bulbing stage in the leaf tissue. No significant change was observed in the relative transcript abundance of AcSiR1, AcOASTLI and AcOASTL3 upon bulbing when compared with the pre-bulbing stage in the leaf tissue (Fig 3.9 A).

In the root tissue harvested from bulbing plants grown in the control media, AcAPSR1 was the only up-regulated gene compared to relative transcript abundance in root tissue at the pre-bulbing stage (Fig 3.9 C). In contrast, the relative transcript levels of AcATPS1, AcAPSK1, AcSiR1, AcSOX1, AcOASTLI, AcOASTL2, AcOASTL3, AcNRT2;1LIKE1, AcNR1 and AcNiR1 were significantly lower (p<0.05) in the roots at bulbing. No significant change between the two developmental stages was seen in the level of AcHAST1;1LIKE1 transcripts (Fig 3.9 C).

3.5.2 Plants grown in the -S treatment

In the leaf tissue harvested from bulbing plants subjected to the –S treatment, there was a significant (p<0.05) increase in the relative transcript abundance of AcHAST1;1LIKE1 compared to the pre-bulbing stage for the same treatment (Fig 3.9 B). In contrast, all the other genes were significantly (p<0.05) down-regulated in roots at bulbing (Fig 3.9 B).

In the root tissue of the plants grown in the -S media and harvested at bulbing, the transcript levels of AcHAST1;1LIKE1 and AcAPSR1 were significantly (p<0.05) up-regulated
when compared with the pre-bulbing stage (Fig 3.9 D). No significant change was observed in the relative transcript level of AcSOX1 upon bulbing. Transcript abundance for all other genes investigated, namely AcATPS1, AcAPSK1, AcSiR1, AcOASTL1, AcOASTL2, AcOASTL3, AcNR1 and AcNiR1 were significantly (p<0.05) lower in leaves harvested at the pre-bulbing stage (Fig 3.9 D).

3.5.3 Plants grown in the -N treatment

In the leaf tissue harvested from plants at the bulbing stage grown under the –N treatment, AcHAST1;1LIKE1, AcATPS1, AcAPSK1, AcOASTL1 and AcOASTL3 were significantly (p<0.05) up-regulated compared to the pre-bulbing stage (Fig 3.10 E). In contrast, the N-assimilation pathway genes, AcNR1 and AcNiR1 were down-regulated at bulbing. The transcript levels of AcAPSR1, AcSiR1, AcSOX1 and AcOASTL2 transcript levels did not show any significant (p>0.05) change between the two developmental stages (Fig 3.10 E).

In the root tissue, none of the gene transcripts investigated were significantly up regulated, while AcAPSR1, AcAPSK1, AcSOX1, AcOASTL2 and AcOASTL3 transcript levels did not show any significant change. However, AcHAST1;1LIKE1, AcATPS1, AcSiR1, AcOASTL1, AcNR1 and AcNiR1 were significantly (p<0.05) down-regulated at bulbing when compared with leaf tissue at pre-bulbing grown under the same treatment (Fig 3.10 G).

3.5.4 Plants grown in the –S-N treatment

In the leaf tissue, the relative transcript abundance of only AcOASTL3 were significantly (p<0.05) higher (Fig 3.10 F). The transcript levels of AcAPSR1, AcAPSK1, AcSiR1, AcSOX1 and AcOASTL1 remained unchanged upon bulbing under the –S-N treatment. The relative transcript abundance of AcHAST1;1LIKE1, AcATPS1, AcAPSR1 and the N-assimilation pathway genes, AcNR1 and AcNiR1, were significantly (p<0.05) lower compared to the pre-bulbing stage (Fig 3.10 F).

In common with the leaf tissue at bulbing, AcHAST1;1LIKE1, AcATPS1, AcAPSR1, AcNR1 and AcNiR1 were significantly (p<0.05) down-regulated along with AcSiR1 and AcOASTL1 (Fig 3.10 H). However, AcSOX1, AcOASTL2 and AcOASTL3 expression levels remain unchanged (Fig 3.10 H).
Figure 3.9 Transcriptional changes in the S and the N-assimilation pathway upon bulbing in leaves and roots of plants grown under the control and the –S treatment. Clear bars indicate the pre-bulbing stage and shaded bars indicate the bulbing stage. Fold change was determined by qRT-PCR and data was normalized to expression at the pre-bulbing stage (normalized to unity) for each gene and two reference genes TUBa and CYC. Bars represent mean ± SEM of three biological replicates. “*” indicates statistically significant (p<0.05) differential expression when compared with pre-bulbing samples for the same tissue and treatment.
Figure 3.10 Transcriptional changes in the S and the N-assimilation pathway upon bulbing in leaves and roots of plants grown under the -N and the -S-N treatment. Clear bars indicate the pre-bulbing stage and shaded bars indicate the bulbing stage. Fold change was determined by qRT-PCR and data was normalized to expression at the pre-bulbing stage (normalized to unity) for each gene and two reference genes TUBa and CYC. Bars represent mean ± SEM of three biological replicates. “*” indicates statistically significant (p<0.05) differential expression when compared with pre-bulbing samples for the same tissue and treatment.
3.6 Metabolome wide responses to factorial S and N depeletion in different tissues of *A. cepa* CUDH2107

3.6.1 Experimental Design

Metabolomics serves as a powerful platform to capture a snapshot of the metabolic status of a plant cell under a defined set of conditions. To elucidate the metabolic networks perturbed by the –S, -N and –S-N treatments in the factorial experiment (see section 3), a widely targeted GC-MS analysis (see section 2.5) was performed on leaf, bulb (pseudo-stem for pre-bulbing stage) and root tissue harvested at the pre-bulbing stage and the bulbing stage. The accumulation of a total of 112 metabolites was determined under all four treatments at both the stages for each tissue (Table 3.2). The significantly (p<0.05) differing metabolites in each tissue are depicted as Venn diagrams where the area of each circle is proportional to the total number of significantly (p<0.05) changing metabolites (see section 2.6).

As S-containing onion pungency factors are volatile in nature, a GC-MS analysis of the volatiles in leaf tissue at pre-bulbing and bulbing stage, as well as bulbs (bulbing stage only) was also performed.

3.6.2 Changes in composition of volatile compounds in leaf and bulb tissue in response to S and/or N depleton.

Due to limited tissue availability, the volatile GC-MS analysis was only performed on the leaf tissue at the both developmental stages and the bulb. As the pseudo-stem tissue was very limited, it could not be used for volatile identification. A total of 28 volatile compounds were detected by GC-MS (Table 3.1) (Appendix 10, 11), out of which nine compounds were found to be S-containing volatiles (Table 3.1) (Dr. Daryl Rowan Plant & Food Research, *pers. comm.*). No nitrogen-containing volatile was identified. Four out of the nine detected S-volatiles (designated C1, C5, C9, C26) (Table 3.1) were postulated to be the same compound, dimethylthiophene, based on the theoretical molecular weight match for the each of the compounds (Dr. Daryl Rowan, Plant & Food Research, *pers. comm.*). However, differing treatment specific responses described below, suggest that each may be a separate compound. In this thesis, only the nine S-containing volatiles have been discussed.
hereafter. The treatment and the development response of volatiles are represented in the form of a heat-map with each square representing the normalized value relative to the signal for the internal standards used. The most significantly represented volatile in the GC-MS was thiopropanal sulfoxide, an organosulfur Lachrymatory Factor formed by the action of the enzyme lachramatory factor synthase (LFS) on 1-PRENCSO, when it is released on wounding (Randle et al., 1995). Its response to development and treatment was also evaluated independently of other volatiles (Fig 3.12).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME ¤</th>
<th>SULFUR AS COMPONENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1 Dimethylthiophene_10.69</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2 Compound_2 (unidentified)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3 2-Me 2-pentanal</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4 2-methoxy 1,3 dioxiane</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5 Dimethylthiophene_10.99</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6 3,7,11,15-tetramethyl-2-hexadecene</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7 6.8min Results</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8 3-Mebutyl formate</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9 Dimethylthiophene_10.53</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10 Compound_13 (unidentified)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C11 Compound_14 (unidentified)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C12 Compound_16 (unidentified)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C13 1,2,5-trithiane</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14 Propenyl dithiopropanoate</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C15 2-mercapto-3,4-dimethyl-2,3-dihydrothiophene</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C16 2-undecanone</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C17 Compound_21 (unidentified)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C18 Compound_22 (unidentified)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C19 Compound_23 (unidentified)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C20 2-hydroxymethyl...1,4-dithiane</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C21 2-tridecanone</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C22 BHT</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C23 3,7,11,15-Tetramethyl-2-hexadecen-1-ol</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C24 Compound_3 (unidentified)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C25 Compound_5 (unidentified)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C26 Dimethylthiophene_7.8</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C27 Prenyl alcohol</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C28 Thiopronanal sulfoxide</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.1 List of volatile compounds detected via GC-MS in A. cepa. Compounds with sulfur present are denoted with a Yes and ones lacking sulfur as No. *Name given as per the best match for the molecular weight in the Scripps Center for Metabolomics and Mass Spectrometry database (Dr. Daryl Rowan, Plant & Food Research, pers. comm.)
3.6.2.1 Comparison of leaf tissue between the pre-bulbing and the bulbing stage

In the leaf tissue harvested from plants at the pre-bulbing stage, the accumulation of two forms of dimethylthiophene (C1, C5) was highest in the control treatment followed by the –S treatment then the –S-N treatment, and the lowest level of accumulation was detected in the –N treatment (p<0.01) (Fig 3.11). Accumulation of 1,2,5-trithiane (C13) and the C9 form of dimethylthiophene was highest in the control and then in the –S treatment with the values further decreasing in the –N and –S-N treatment, but there was no significant (p>0.01) difference in the values between the two N-depleted treatments. Accumulation of 2-hydroxymethyl-1,4-dithiane (C20) was highest in control and lowest in –S-N treatment. The values for –S and –N treatment were lower than control but did not differ significantly (p>0.01) between the treatments. Propenyl dithiopropanoate (C14), 2-mercapto-3, 4-dimethyl-2, 3-dihydrothiphene (C15) and the C26 form of dimethylthiophene did not respond significantly (p>0.01) to any of the treatments (Fig 3.11 A).

In the leaf tissue harvested from plants at the bulbing stage, the accumulation pattern of the two forms of dimethylthiophene (C1, C5) was the same as in pre-bulbing leaves. The C9 form of dimethylthiophene, 1, 2, 5-trithiane (C13), 2-mercapto-3,4-dimethyl-2,3-dihydrothiphene (C15), 2-hydroxymethyl.1,4-dithiane (C20) and dimethylthiophene (C26) accumulated highest in control and lowest in the –N and –S-N treatment, with no significant difference in accumulation between the two N-depleted treatments. The levels of propenyl dithiopropanoate (C14), which did not change with the treatment in the pre-bulbing leaves, accumulates in control treatment in leaves of bulbing plants when compared with the three treatments. There is no significant difference (p<0.01) in values between the –S, -N and –S-N treatment for C14 (Fig 3.11 A).

3.6.2.2 Variation in thiopropanal sulfoxide in leaf tissue between the two developmental stages

In the leaf tissue harvested at the pre-bulbing stage, thiopropanal sulfoxide levels were significantly (p<0.01) different from the control, with the highest levels in the -N and the –S-N samples. For the same samples, the levels dropped significantly and were the lowest in the –S treatment (Fig 3.12 A).
In leaves harvested at the bulbing stage, the highest thioproanal sulfoxide levels were found in the control plants, which were significantly reduced (p<0.01) in the –S treatment, -N treatment and were the lowest in –S-N treatment (Fig 3.12 A).

### 3.6.2.3 Comparison at the bulbing stage between the leaf and the bulb tissue

In the leaf tissue harvested from bulbing plants, all of the nine S-volatile compounds have the highest relative accumulation values in the control treatment followed by the –S treatment and then the two N-depletion treatments. The values for the S-volatiles did not differ significantly between the –N and the –S-N treatments (p>0.01), with the exception of the C1 and C5 forms of dimethylthiophene which were significantly higher in leaves harvested from –S-N treatment when compared to the –N treatment (p<0.01) (Fig 3.11 B).

However in the bulbs harvested from the same stage, accumulation of C1, C5 and C9 forms of dimethylthiophene, 1,2,5-trithiane (C13), 2-hydroxymethyl...1,4-dithiane (C20) were highest in the control and lowest in the –S treatment. The values for –N and –S-N treatment were significantly lower than control values but did not differ significantly between the two treatments (p<0.01). The levels of propenyl dithiopropanoate (C14) did not differ significantly across the treatments. The accumulation pattern of 2-mercapto-3,4-dimethyl-2,3-dihydrothiphene (C15) remained the same between the leaf and the bulb tissue (Fig 3.11 B).

### 3.6.2.4 Variation in thiopropanal sulfoxide at the bulbing stage between the two tissues, leaf and bulb

Both leaf and bulb harvested at the bulbing stage show the same pattern of levels of thiopropanal sulfoxide, with the highest in the control treatment and the lowest levels in the –S-N treatment (p<0.01), compared to all the treatments. The levels of thiopropanal sulfoxide in the –S and the –N treatments are intermediate and so are significantly lower (p<0.01) than the control, but higher than the leaves and bulbs from –S-N treatments at bulbing (Fig 3.12 B).
Figure 3.11 Response of S-volatiles to treatment and development as detected by GC-MS, represented as a heat-map. Comparison of Leaf tissue between pre-bulbing and bulbing stage. B- Comparison of leaf and bulb tissue at bulbing stage. Each square (1-48)(4 treatments x 2 stages x 6 replicates) represents log2 transformed value of a biological replicate for the respective S-volatile compound (C1-C28) (Refer table 3.1). Each treatment (refer color coded key) consists of six biological replicates (n=6) where each biological replicate consists of pooled tissue of six individual plants. The heatmap was generated using the MetaboAnalyst 2.2 online data analysis suite.
Figure 3.12 Accumulation of thiopropanal sulfoxide in different tissues and response to treatment. A: Comparison of leaf tissue between the two developmental stages, pre-bulbing an bulbing under different treatments B: Comparison between the two tissues, leaf and bulb at same stage (bulbing stage) under different treatments. Bars represent the geometric-mean of six biological replicates (n=6). Error bars represent ± SEM. "*" indicates statistically significant difference (p<0.05) when compared with A) leaf tissue under control treatment at pre-bulbing stage, B) leaf tissue under control treatment at bulbing stage. "•" indicates statistically significant difference (p<0.05) when compared with A) leaf tissue under control treatment at bulbing stage, B) bulb tissue under control treatment at bulbing stage.
3.6.3.1 Changes in targeted metabolome of plants harvested from –S treatment at the pre-bulbing stage

In the leaf tissue harvested from plants at the pre-bulbing stage grown in the –S media, a total of 22 metabolites differed significantly (p<0.05) when compared with leaf tissue from plants grown under the control treatment. Eight out of the 22 metabolites differed exclusively in the leaves (no overlap with any other tissue) and seven of these showed a decline in accumulation (Fig 3.13).

In the pseudo-stem, a total of 36 out of 112 targeted metabolites differed significantly (p<0.05), 22 of which responded exclusively in the pseudo-stem. In contrast to leaves at pre-bulbing, 19 out of the significantly differing metabolites showed a higher accumulation. Of the metabolites accumulating exclusively in pseudo-stems under –S treatment, 18 were N-containing compounds, mainly amino acids (Fig 3.13).

In the roots, a total of nine metabolites showed significant (p<0.05) difference when compared with roots from pre-bulbing plants grown under the control treatment, three of which differed exclusively in the roots. Two out of these three metabolites, both sugars, showed a higher accumulation whereas tyramine showed a decline in accumulation when compared with roots from control plants at pre-bulbing (Fig 3.13).

A total of eight metabolites showed a significant (p<0.05) change in accumulation in both leaves and pseudo-stem. Out of these, seven metabolites identified as amino acids showed a higher accumulation in both the tissues when compared with the same tissues in control treatment. The eighth metabolite, \( \alpha \)-phosphocoline however, showed a higher accumulation in the pseudo-stem but a decline in accumulation in the leaves (Fig 3.13) (for full list see Appendix 11).

Metabolites which declined in response to the –S treatment in all the tissues included the N and S containing flavour precursors namely; 1-PRENC, MCSO, \( \gamma \)-Glu-PRENC, cycloalliin, 2-CPGTH and another non flavour associated metabolite DL-Pipecolinic acid (Fig 3.13).
Table 3.2 A list of compounds included in the widely-targeted metabolome of *A. cepa* along with the KEGG IDs (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) for the compounds (http://www.genome.jp/kegg/).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>KEGG ID</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>KEGG ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-Amino-1-cyclopentanecarboxylic acid</td>
<td>C03969</td>
<td>Carbamoyl-D₉-aspartic acid</td>
<td>C00438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Hydroxyphenylpyruvic acid</td>
<td>C01179</td>
<td>5'-Deoxy-5'-Methylthioadenosine</td>
<td>C00170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-)-Norepinephine</td>
<td>C00547</td>
<td>sn-Glycero-3-phosphocholine</td>
<td>C00670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1-Dimethylbiguanide hydrochloride</td>
<td>C07151</td>
<td>l-allo-threonine</td>
<td>C05519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-Aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid</td>
<td>C01234</td>
<td>5-Aminovaleric acid</td>
<td>C00431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenine</td>
<td>C00147</td>
<td>3-Hydroxypryidine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenosine</td>
<td>C00212</td>
<td>threonine acid hemicacital salt</td>
<td>C01620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allantoic acid</td>
<td>C00499</td>
<td>luteolin-4'-O-glucoside</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-)-Histidine</td>
<td>C00135</td>
<td>Spiraeside</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methionine</td>
<td>C00073</td>
<td>5'-Adenosyl-L₉-methionine chloride</td>
<td>C00019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(l)-Phenylalanine</td>
<td>C00079</td>
<td>alpha-Methyl-D₉-histidine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tryptophane</td>
<td>C00078</td>
<td>Anserine nitrate salt</td>
<td>C01262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyrosine</td>
<td>C00082</td>
<td>o-Phosphocholine</td>
<td>C00388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose</td>
<td>C00089</td>
<td>Trigonelline hydrochloride</td>
<td>C01004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyridoxamine dihydrochloride</td>
<td>C00534</td>
<td>mucic acid</td>
<td>C00879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenosine-5'-monophosphate sodium</td>
<td>C00020</td>
<td>Picrocolinic acid</td>
<td>C00408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-Glucosamine-6-phosphate sodium</td>
<td>C00352</td>
<td>(+)-Raffinose pentalhydrate</td>
<td>C00492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2'-Deoxyadenosine monohydrate</td>
<td>C00559</td>
<td>Glyceric Acid Calcium Salt</td>
<td>C00258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatine, anhydrous</td>
<td>C00300</td>
<td>Pentunid-3-o-beta-glucopyranoside</td>
<td>C12139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytidine, cell culture tested</td>
<td>C00475</td>
<td>Quercetin-3,4'-o-di-beta-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,-Arabinose</td>
<td>C00216</td>
<td>Leucine</td>
<td>C00123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,-theo-beta-Methylaspartic acid</td>
<td>C03618</td>
<td>Isoleucine</td>
<td>C00407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypotaurine</td>
<td>C00519</td>
<td>S-Methylmethionine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inosine</td>
<td>C00294</td>
<td>Methionine sulfoxide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asparagine</td>
<td>C00007</td>
<td>quercetin-3-o-beta-glucopyranoside</td>
<td>C10073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspartic acid</td>
<td>C00007</td>
<td>Sodium pantotenate</td>
<td>C00864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutamic acid</td>
<td>C00007</td>
<td>alpha-D-Galactose-1-phosphate</td>
<td>C00103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutamine</td>
<td>C00007</td>
<td>O-Mannose-6-phosphate</td>
<td>C00275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutathione (reduced form)</td>
<td>C00051</td>
<td>O- Fructose-6-phosphate</td>
<td>C00636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methionine sulfone</td>
<td>C00007</td>
<td>(+)-Galactosamine hydrochloride</td>
<td>C02262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ornithine monohydrochloride</td>
<td>C00007</td>
<td>Cytidine-5'-monophosphate</td>
<td>C00555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proline</td>
<td>C00007</td>
<td>1, Iditol</td>
<td>C00392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyroglutamic acid</td>
<td>C00007</td>
<td>1-Methylguanidine hydrochloride</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serine</td>
<td>C00007</td>
<td>(+)-Arginine</td>
<td>C00062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-Methylguanidine hydrochloride</td>
<td>C02294</td>
<td>sN-Glycero-3-phosphate</td>
<td>C00939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyridoxine</td>
<td>C00314</td>
<td>3-Aminoacid-1-phosphate</td>
<td>C06468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quisqualic acid</td>
<td>C08296</td>
<td>Histamine</td>
<td>C00388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyramine</td>
<td>C00483</td>
<td>Nicotinamide</td>
<td>C00153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-Guanidinopionic acid</td>
<td>C03065</td>
<td>S-Methylmethionine</td>
<td>C00401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>beta-Nicotinamide mononucleotide</td>
<td>C00455</td>
<td>Valine</td>
<td>C01826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y-Amino-n-butyric acid</td>
<td>C00334</td>
<td>threonine</td>
<td>C01888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>isorhamnetin-3-o-glucoside</td>
<td>C00343</td>
<td>2-Aminobutyric acid</td>
<td>C01026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kaempferol-3-o-glucoside</td>
<td>C12249</td>
<td>Malic acid</td>
<td>C00497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperoside</td>
<td>C10073</td>
<td>Xylolose</td>
<td>C00310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>urocanic acid</td>
<td>C00785</td>
<td>Carnitine</td>
<td>C00318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-acetylenearminic acid</td>
<td>C00270</td>
<td>2-Aminoacid-1-phosphate</td>
<td>C00956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-sarcosine</td>
<td>C00449</td>
<td>Lysine</td>
<td>C00047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serine</td>
<td>C00007</td>
<td>O-Alloisolectine</td>
<td>C01933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o-acetyl-serine hydrochloride</td>
<td>C00979</td>
<td>o-Melezitose monohydrate</td>
<td>C03661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>succharopine</td>
<td>C00449</td>
<td>hydrochloride</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,-Arabinol</td>
<td>C01904</td>
<td>MCSO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maltitol</td>
<td>D04845</td>
<td>y-Glu-PRENCSO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate solution</td>
<td>C00118</td>
<td>2-CPGTH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>beta-homoglutamine-HCl</td>
<td>C01899</td>
<td>cycloallin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>beta-homolysine-2HCl</td>
<td>C00118</td>
<td>PRENCSO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>beta-homothreonine</td>
<td>C01899</td>
<td>MCSO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o-Acetyl-L₉-homoserine hydrochloride</td>
<td>C01077</td>
<td>allin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3.13 Venn diagram showing significantly (p<0.05) differing metabolites in different tissues in comparison to the control treatment. The overlapping regions show metabolites which differ significantly (p<0.05) in more than one tissue. Red numbers indicate the number of metabolites showing higher accumulation and green numbers indicate a decline in accumulation of metabolites, out of the total number of metabolites (in black) changing in that tissue or overlap region. In the columns, metabolites highlighted in green indicate significant (p<0.05) decline in accumulation and metabolites highlighted in red indicate significant (p<0.05) rise in accumulation.
3.6.1.1 Changes in the targeted metabolome of plants harvested from the –S treatment at bulbing

In the leaf tissue harvested from plants at the bulbing stage grown in the –S media, a total of 54 metabolites differed significantly (p<0.05), 39 of which showed an exclusive response only in the leaf tissue (no overlap with any other tissue). All 39 metabolites showed a decline in accumulation and 36 out of these were N-containing amino acids and derivatives (Fig 3.14) (For full list see Appendix 11).

In the bulbs, a total of 17 out of 112 targeted metabolites differed significantly (p<0.05), out of which five differed exclusively in the bulbs namely; malic acid, histamine, glucosamine-6-phosphate, hyperoside and quercetin-3,4’-o-di-beta-glucopyranoside. Other than histamine, which accumulated in the bulb, all other four metabolites showed a decline in accumulation (Fig 3.14).

In the roots, a total of twelve out of 112 targeted metabolites differed significantly (p<0.05) compared to roots from plants of same age under the control treatment. Four of these showed the exclusive response under the –S treatment, only in the roots tissue and all four showed a higher accumulation under the –S treatment (Fig 3.14).

Metabolites responding in both leaf and bulb tissue showed contrasting responses, and comprised exclusively of amino acids. All seven metabolites, common to both the tissues as well as containing N, showed a decline in leaf tissue whereas theses accumulated in the bulb under the –S treatment (Fig 3.14).

In common with the pre-bulbing plants grown under –S treatment, metabolites responding to –S treatment in all tissues at bulbing were the S and N-containing flavour compounds namely γ-Glu-PRENCSO, PRENCSO, cycloalliin and 2-CPGTH (Fig 3.14).
Figure 3.14 Venn diagram showing significantly (p<0.05) differing metabolites in different tissues in comparison to respective tissues under the control treatment. The overlapping regions show metabolites which differ significantly (p<0.05) in more than one tissue. Red numbers indicate the number of metabolites showing higher accumulation and green numbers indicate a decline in accumulation of metabolites, out of the total number of metabolites changing in that tissue or overlap region. In the columns, metabolites highlighted in green indicate significant (p<0.05) decline in accumulation and metabolites highlighted in red indicate significant (p<0.05) rise in accumulation. The metabolites highlighted in white indicate accumulation in one tissue and decline in the other tissue for the overlap region.
3.6.1.2 Changes in the targeted metabolome of plants harvested from the –N treatment at the pre-bulbing stage

In the leaf tissue, a total of 83 metabolites responded to treatment with significant (p<0.05) differences, out of which only eight differed exclusively in the leaves. All of the eight metabolites were N-containing compounds and six showed a decline in accumulation. Two amino acids, N-acetyl-D-mannosamine which is required to make sialic acids, and quisqualic acid which is a neuroexcitator, accumulated under the -N treatment (Fig 3.15).

In the pseudo-stems, a total of 85 out of 112 targeted metabolites differed significantly (p<0.05), and ten of these responded exclusively in the pseudo-stem. Out of these, the six N-containing metabolites showed a decline in accumulation, whereas the other four metabolites, all flavonol glucosides, accumulated under the –N treatment (Fig 3.15).

In the roots, a total of 76 out of 112 targeted metabolites differed significantly (p<0.05) and three of these showed a decline in accumulation. However, the other four metabolites showed a higher accumulation and were all N-containing compounds namely; galactosamine hydrochloride, beta-nicotinamide mononucleotide, pipercolinic acid and aminoacidipic acid (Fig 3.15).

A total of 12 metabolites fluctuated significantly (p<0.05) in both leaves and pseudo-stem under the –N treatment. Out of these, three were flavonol-glucosides which showed a higher accumulation in both the tissues. The other nine metabolites showed a decline in accumulation in both the tissues, and eight of these were N-containing compounds (Fig 3.15) (for full list see Appendix 11).

A total of six metabolites fluctuated significantly (p<0.05) in both leaves and roots and except for malic acid, which declined in the leaves but accumulated in the roots, the other five metabolites showed a higher accumulation in both the tissues (Fig 3.15) (for full list see Appendix 11).

A total of six metabolites showed significantly (p<0.05) differing accumulation in both pseudo-stem and root and five of these showed a higher accumulation. However, allantoic acid accumulated only in the roots and showed a decline in accumulation in the leaves and the pseudo-stem (Fig 3.15) (for full list see Appendix 11).
A much higher number of metabolites, (a total of 57), fluctuated in all the tissues in response to the –N treatment, out of which 10 accumulated in all the tissues under –N treatment. Of these, six were sugars and two were sugar alcohols. Hypotaurine and asparagine were the only N-containing compounds which accumulated in all tissues under the –N treatment at the pre-bulbing stage (Fig 3.15) (for full list see Appendix 11).
Figure 3.15 Venn-diagram showing significantly (p<0.05) differing metabolites in different tissues in comparison to respective tissues under the control treatment. The overlapping regions show metabolites which differ significantly (p<0.05) in more than one tissue. Red numbers indicate the number of metabolites showing higher accumulation and green numbers indicate a decline in accumulation of metabolites, out of the total number of metabolites (in black) changing in that tissue or overlap region. In the columns, metabolites highlighted in green indicate significant (p<0.05) decline in accumulation and metabolites highlighted in red indicate significant (p<0.05) rise in accumulation.
3.6.1.3 Changes in the targeted metabolome of plants harvested from the –N treatment at bulbing stage

In the leaf tissue, a total of 91 out of 112 targeted metabolites differed significantly (p<0.05). Out of these, four differed exclusively in the leaves and in no other. All four were N-containing compounds out of which 3-hydroxypyrisine, 5-aminovaleric acid and 3-guanidinopropinoic acid declined significantly (p<0.05) but N-acetyl-mannosamine showed a higher accumulation, in common with the pre-bulbing leaves under the –N treatment (Fig 3.16).

In the bulbs, a total of 87 metabolites were found to differ significantly (p<0.05) when compared with the control treatment, and five of these differed exclusively in the bulbs. Out of these, four metabolites, all flavonol glucosides, showed a decline in accumulation whereas glycerol-3-phosphate accumulated in the bulbs under the –N treatment (Fig 3.16).

Out of the 79 significantly (p<0.05) differing metabolites in the roots from plants grown under –N treatment and harvested at the bulbing stage, seven fluctuated exclusively in the roots (Fig 3.16). Three out of these, threonic acid, hypotaurine and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate showed a higher accumulation whereas o-acetyl-homoserine, creatine, adenine and kaempferol-3-o-glucoside declined in accumulation (Fig 3.16).

A total of 22 metabolites differed in both leaf and bulb tissue, all of which showed a decline in accumulation in both the tissues. Apart from malic acid and petunidin-3-o-beta-glucopyranoside, other differing metabolites were all N-containing compounds (Fig 3.16) (for full list see Appendix 11).

Of the metabolites that differed significantly (p<0.05) in both bulb and root, all showed a higher accumulation in both the tissues and consisted of sugars and sugar alcohols namely; melibiose hydrate, cellobiose, sucrose, maltitol and iditol (Fig 3.16) (for full list see Appendix 11).

A total of 57 metabolites responded in all tissues under the –N treatment at the bulbing stage when compared to plants at the same developmental stage grown under the control treatment. Only four of these, arabinol, raffinose pentahydrate, fructose and melezitose showed a higher accumulation in all tissues. Ribose and arabinose accumulated only in the leaf tissue and declined in the bulb and the roots whereas 2-alpha-D-galactose-1-phosphate, 4-alpha-D-fructose-6-phosphate and o-phosphocholine accumulated only in the roots and declined
in other tissues when compared with the respective tissues of same age grown under the control treatment (Fig 3.16) (for full list see Appendix 11).
Figure 3.16 Venn-diagram showing significantly (p<0.05) differing metabolites in different tissues in comparison to respective tissues under the control treatment. The overlapping regions show metabolites which differ significantly (p<0.05) in more than one tissue. Red numbers indicate the number of metabolites showing higher accumulation and green numbers indicate a decline in accumulation of metabolites, out of the total number of metabolites (in black) changing in that tissue or overlap region. In the columns, metabolites highlighted in green indicate significant (p<0.05) decline in accumulation and metabolites highlighted in red indicate significant (p<0.05) rise in accumulation.
3.6.1.4 Changes in the targeted metabolome of plants harvested from the –S-N treatment at pre bulbing

In the leaf tissue, a total of 72 out of 112 targeted metabolites differed significantly (p<0.05). Out of the 72, nine metabolites responded exclusively in the leaves of which only two metabolites, N-acetyl-mannosamine and iditol showed a higher accumulation and the other seven declined when compared with leaves of same aged plants grown under the control treatment (Fig 3.17).

In the pseudo-stem, a total of 81 metabolites were found to differ significantly (p<0.05) when compared with the same aged plants grown under the control treatment. Out of these, nine metabolites responded exclusively in the pseudo-stem only, eight of which were N-containing compounds, and all declined in accumulation. In contrast, petunidin-3-o-beta-glucopyranoside showed a higher accumulation in the pseudo-stems under the –S-N treatment when compared with the control treatment (Fig 3.17).

In the roots, a total of 72 metabolites were found to differ significantly (p<0.05) when compared with the control treatment. Six out of the 72 differing metabolites, fluctuated exclusively in the roots. Out of these, L-tyrosine, ribose and sodium pantothenate showed a decline in accumulation whereas 2-alpha-D-galactose-1-phosphate, galactosamine hydrochloride and carnitine increased in accumulation when compared with the roots from plants grown under the control treatment (Fig 3.17).

A total of 11 metabolites differed in both leaf and pseudo-stem tissues, out of which the five N-containing compounds showed decline in accumulation, whereas eight showed higher accumulation. Of the higher accumulating metabolites, seven were flavonol glucosides. (For full list see Appendix 11)

A total of five metabolites were common to both leaf and root, out of which trigonelline hydrochloride and methionine sulfoxide showed a decline in accumulation in both tissues and mannose-6-phosphate and fructose-6-phosphate increased in accumulation. In contrast, malic acid showed a decline in the leaves but accumulated in the roots (Fig 3.17) (For full list see Appendix 11).

A total of 14 metabolites responded to the –S-N treatment in both the pseudo-stem and root tissues investigated. Out of these maltitol, cellibiose, melibiose, sucrose and beta-nicotinamide mononucleotide accumulated in all tissues, whereas ornithine
monohydrochloride, arginine, cytidine-5´-monophosphate, leucine, serine, methionine and arabinose showed a decline in accumulation. In contrast, allantoic acid and asparagine declined in the leaves but accumulated in the roots (For full list see Appendix 11).

A total of 47 of the significantly (p<0.05) differing metabolites responded in all the tissues investigated, out of which 37 (all N-containing compounds) showed a decline in accumulation in all of the tissues. Hypotaurine and pipecolinic acid were the two N-containing compounds which accumulated in all the tissues in plants grown under the –S-N treatment at the bulbing stage. However, o-phosphocholine showed differential accumulation with a decline in the leaves, but accumulation in the pseudo-stem and the root tissue (Fig 3.17) (For full list see Appendix 11).
Figure 3.17 Venn diagram showing significantly (p<0.05) differing metabolites in different tissues in comparison to respective tissues under the control treatment. The overlapping regions show metabolites which differ significantly (p<0.05) in more than one tissue. Red numbers indicate the number of metabolites showing higher accumulation and green numbers indicate a decline in accumulation of metabolites, out of the total number of metabolites (in black) changing in that tissue or overlap region. In the columns, metabolites highlighted in green indicate significant (p<0.05) decline in accumulation and metabolites highlighted in red indicate significant (p<0.05) rise in accumulation.
3.6.1.5 Changes in the targeted metabolome of plants harvested from the –S-N treatment at bulbing stage

In the leaf tissue, a total of 89 out of 112 targeted metabolites differed significantly (p<0.05) when compared with leaves from plants grown under the control treatment. Out of the 89, six metabolites responded exclusively in the leaf tissue only, where three showed a higher accumulation and three declined. N-acetyl mannosamine, a N-containing amine was the only N-containing metabolite which showed accumulation under the –S-N treatment exclusively in the leaves (Fig 3.18).

Out of the 86 significantly (p<0.05) differing metabolites identified when the bulbs were compared with the control treatment, only glycerol-3-phosphate accumulated exclusively in the bulbs (Fig 3.18).

In the roots, a total of 80 metabolites were found to differ significantly (p<0.05) when compared to roots from plants grown under the control treatment, and 6 out of the 80 responded exclusively in the roots. Theronic acid and β-nicotinamide-mononucleotide were among the two accumulating metabolites whereas O-acetyl-homoserine, kaempherol-3-o-glucoside, isorhamnetin-3-o-glicoside and adenine were among the significantly (p<0.05) declining metabolites in the roots from plants grown under the –S-N treatment at the bulbing stage (Fig 3.18).

A total of 20 metabolites differed in leaf as well as bulb tissue and all 20 declined in accumulation in both tissues. With the exception of malic acid, all other metabolites differing in both leaf and bulb tissues were N-containing compounds (Fig 3.18) (for full list see Appendix 11).

A total of nine metabolites differed in both leaf and root tissues and seven of these (all N-containing compounds) showed a decline in accumulation. Hypotaurine, an N-containing amino acid and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate accumulated in leaf and root under the –S-N treatment at the bulbing stage (Fig 3.18) (for full list see Appendix 11).

A total of eleven metabolites differed significantly (p<0.05) in both bulb and root when compared with plants grown under the control treatment at the bulbing stage. Seven out of these declined in both the tissues. These were sugars namely melibiose hydrate, cellobiose and sucrose, flavonol glucosides such as hyperoside, quercetin-3-4’-o-di-β-glucopyranoside and petunidin-3-o-β-glucopyranoside and the N-containing metabolite trigonelline.
hydrochloride. However, o-phosphocholine, fructose-6-phosphate and mannose-6-phosphate showed a differing accumulation pattern with a decline in the bulb tissue but accumulation in the roots in plants grown under the –S-N treatment at the bulbing stage (Fig 3.18) (for full list see Appendix 11).

A total of 54 metabolites showed fluctuation in all the three tissues, of which 46 were N-containing compounds and all declined in accumulation in all the tissues. Three sugars, fructose, raffinose pentahydrate and melezitose were among the metabolites which accumulated in all tissues under the –S-N treatment at the bulbing stage. Arabinose and ribose accumulated in the leaves, but showed a decline in accumulation in the bulb and the roots whereas galactose-1-phosphate accumulated in the roots only and declined in the leaves and the bulb tissues in the –S-N treated plants when compared to the control treatment. (Fig 3.18) (for full list see Appendix 11).
Figure 3.18 Venn-diagram showing significantly (p<0.05) differing metabolites in different tissues in comparison to respective tissues under the control treatment. The overlapping regions show metabolites which differ significantly (p<0.05) in more than one tissue. Red numbers indicate the number of metabolites showing higher accumulation and green numbers indicate a decline in accumulation of metabolites, out of the total number of metabolites (in black) changing in that tissue or overlap region. In the columns, metabolites highlighted in green indicate significant (p<0.05) decline in accumulation and metabolites highlighted in red indicate significant (p<0.05) rise in accumulation.
3.6.2 Changes in the accumulation of key S and N metabolites involved in the S-assimilation pathway in plants grown under the S and/or N treatments.

From the targeted metabolome, changes in accumulation of certain key metabolites involved in the S and N assimilation pathway in response to treatment were highlighted to better elucidate the interdependency of S assimilation pathway on N supply. These included serine which is a precursor amino-acid for cysteine and is metabolized to \( \text{\textit{o}-acetyl-L-serine} \) by the action of serine acetyltransferase. \( \text{\textit{o}-acetyl-L-serine} \) provides the carbon backbone which together with sulfide form cysteine (section 1.4.2.4). As \( \text{\textit{o}-acetyl-L-serine} \) is an N containing metabolite and immediate pre-cursor to cysteine, changes in its accumulation in response to S and/or N depletion might be indicative of the interdependency between the two assimilation pathways. Both glutathione and methionine are made downstream of cysteine and contain both S and N as a component (Figure 3.3).

3.6.2.1 Accumulation of Serine

There was generally no significant (p>0.05) change in accumulation of serine in any of the tissues at both, the pre-bulbing as well as the bulbing stage in plants grown under the –S treatment. The exception was in the pre-bulbing pseudo-stem where serine showed a slight but significantly (p<0.05) higher accumulation when compared with pseudo-stem of pre-bulbing plants grown under the control treatment.

In plants grown under the –N treatment, serine declined significantly (p<0.05) both at the pre-bulbing stage and the bulbing stage in all the tissues. This pattern of decline in accumulation was similar under the –S-N treatment, except for the leaf tissue at pre-bulbing which did not show any significant (p>0.05) difference when compared to plants grown under the control treatment (Fig 3.19).

3.6.2.2 Accumulation of \( \text{o-acetyl-L-serine (OAS)} \)

In the pre-bulbing stage, there was no change in accumulation of OAS under the -S treatment in leaf tissue.
However, in plants grown under the –N treatment, OAS declined significantly (p<0.05) in all the tissues at both the pre-bulbing and the bulbing stage. There was a similar pattern of decline in all tissues under the –S-N treatment, except in bulbs at the bulbing stage which did not show any significant (p>0.05) change in accumulation when compared to bulbs from plants grown under the control treatment (Fig 3.19).

### 3.6.2.3 Accumulation of reduced Glutathione (GSH)

In plants grown under the –S treatment, there was no change in accumulation of glutathione in any of the tissues examined at the pre-bulbing stage. However at the bulbing stage, only the leaf tissue showed a significant (p<0.05) decline in accumulation. Under the –N and the –S-N treatments, glutathione declined significantly (p<0.05) in leaf tissue as well as pseudo-stem/bulb for both the pre-bulbing as well as the bulbing stage. In contrast to this, the roots did not show any change in the accumulation of GSH under any treatment (Fig 3.19).

### 3.6.2.4 Accumulation of methionine

In the plants grown under the –S treatment and harvested at the pre-bulbing stage, methionine declined significantly (p<0.05) in the leaf tissue but not in the pseudo-stem and in the roots. However, this pattern changed in plants harvested at bulbing, where methionine declined significantly (p<0.05) in both leaves and bulbs, but again did not change in the roots (Fig 3.19).

In plants grown under the –N treatment, methionine declined significantly (p<0.05) in all of the tissues examined at both the pre-bulbing and the bulbing stage. This pattern of decline in accumulation was similar in plants grown under the –S-N treatment, except for the leaves in plants at the pre-bulbing stage, where there was no change in accumulation (Fig 3.19).
Figure 3.19 Heat-map showing changes in selected S and N metabolites involved in the S-assimilation pathway in different tissues under varying S and N treatments when compared with respective tissues of plants grown under the control treatment. Significant differences were calculated using Student’s t test with p value threshold of 0.05. Each treatment consisted of six biological replicates (n=6) where each biological replicate comprises of six individual plant tissues pooled together.
3.7 Discussion

The primary objective of this thesis was to examine the long term effects of S depletion on the N-assimilation pathway in A. cepa and vice versa. A second aim was to investigate the possibility of enzyme redundancy and protein-protein interactions, between the two similar S and N pathway enzymes, sulfite reductase and nitrite reductase as a possible, yet un-reported, point of cross-talk between the two assimilation pathways.

To achieve the primary objective, A. cepa (genotype CUDH2107) was grown in a factorial experiment with four different treatments, the control, -S, -N and the –S-N regime (Section 2.1.2). Tissues were harvested at the pre-bulbing and the bulbing stage as leaves, pseudostem/bulb (as appropriate) and the root and subsequently used to investigate the effect of each treatment on the transcription of key S and N assimilation genes (Fig 3.3-3.10), as well as the accumulation and activity of specific enzymes (chapter 4). The accumulation of primary metabolites, including a range of N- and S-containing compounds was also measured (Fig 3.11-3.19).

3.7.1 Physiological response of A. cepa to long-term SO₄²⁻ and/or NO₃⁻ depletion

The biomass measurements of plants showed a trend towards a higher total biomass (Fresh weight) under the –S treatment especially during the bulbing stage, when compared to control plants (Fig 3.2 A and D). Further, fresh weight measurements of root and shoot tissues taken separately indicated that this increased total biomass is due to a significantly (p<0.01) higher root biomass (Fig 3.2 B and E) suggesting changes in root architecture in response to S depletion. An increase in root biomass under S depletion at the pre-bulbing stage has been previously shown for a low pungency genotype “Texas Grano 348” by McCallum et al. (2011).

A generic response to S starvation in plants is altered root system architecture leading to increased lateral root growth as observed in Arabidopsis plants under sulfate deprivation, which has been linked to increase in auxin concentration in the roots via increased NITRILASE3 activity (López-Bucio et al., 2003). Although changes in the root system architecture were not looked at in this study, similar changes in root system architecture
have been reported in A. cepa previously (Thomas, 2008). It may be, therefore, that the adaptation to depleted external S may also be modulated through changes in local auxin synthesis in A. cepa, but this is only speculative as NITRILASE3 activity has not been measured.

In contrast to the –S treatment, a 30% decrease in total biomass in plants harvested at pre-bulbing and a 35% decrease was observed in plants harvested at bulbing stage in response to the –N treatment. This could be attributed entirely to a decrease in shoot biomass (Fig 3.2 B and E), (Fig 3.2 A and D) indicating that the limited N availability to the shoots led to restricted amino acid synthesis and incorporation into proteins. In turn this can lead to a C:N imbalance, restricted metabolic capacity and ultimately reduced growth and biomass (Hermans et al., 2006). Although root morphology was not investigated in this study, it is possible that NO₃⁻ depletion also led to changes in root system architecture as there was no change in the total root biomass under the –N treatment, but shoot biomass declined indicating a preferential growth of roots over shoots. The contrasting nutrient specific response of the root system architecture can be explained by the effect of NO₃⁻ availability on lateral and seminal root growth which has been studied in barley (Drew, 1975; Drew & Saker, 1978) and the primary root in Arabidopsis (Linkohr et al., 2002). Unlike the root growth response to S depletion, where lateral root growth is induced, N depletion, especially in the form of reduced NO₃⁻, leads to an increase in primary root length and decrease in lateral root growth in Arabidopsis (Sun et al., 2014). This response is specific to the availability of NO₃⁻ as the nitrogen source, as the nutrient acts as a signal down-regulating Arabidopsis nitrate regulated1 (ANR1), a MADS-box transcription factor which positively regulates lateral root formation (López-Bucio et al., 2003; Bao et al., 2011; Gan et al., 2012).

The physiological responses observed are a manifestation of the changes in transcription and the metabolome of the plant and hence can be better understood by linking the changes in the biomass to the metabolite accumulation. In this study, long term NO₃⁻ depletion, led to changes in the accumulation of organic acid in plants (Fig 3.15) (Fig 3.16). Malic acid, an important Krebs cycle intermediate accumulated exclusively in the roots at the pre-bulbing stage, but remained at a level similar to that in roots of control plants upon bulbing. Other organic acids such as aminoacidic acid, allantoic acid, threonic acid and piperolic acid also accumulated in the roots (Appendix 11). This tissue specific accumulation of these organic acids could be a part of the metabolic changes triggered to cope with sustained NO₃⁻ depletion. External malate application has been shown to induce
nitrate uptake in barley seedlings (Chantarotwong et al., 1976) and phloem translocated malate has been shown to induce NO$_3^-$ uptake in intact soyabean plants (Touraine et al., 1992). In keeping with this, nitrate reductase (NR) mutants of tobacco, with a high nitrate accumulation, have also been shown to accumulate organic acids and Krebs cycle metabolites (Scheible et al., 1997). Predictably, in this thesis, AcNR1 as well as AcNiR1 transcript levels were drastically reduced under the –N and the –S-N treatments further suggesting that this pattern of down-regulation of NR transcripts, subsequently leading to organic acid accumulation in the roots, reflects remobilization in primary metabolite accumulation to promote root growth and maximize NO$_3^-$ uptake under sustained NO$_3^-$ depletion (Fig 3.7 E, F).

3.7.2 Decreased sensitivity of *A. cepa* plants to SO$_4^{2-}$ depletion under limiting NO$_3^-$ conditions

To understand the molecular basis of the morphological responses seen in the plants under the various treatments, changes in the relative transcript abundance of genes involved in the S and N-assimilation pathways in response to the N and S treatments were investigated using qRT-PCR.

As summarised in the figure below (Fig 3.20), under sustained SO$_4^{2-}$ depletion, at the pre-bulbing stage, both root and the shoot tissue exhibited significantly (p<0.05) increased relative transcript abundance of *AcHAST1;1LIKE1* and *AcAPSR1*, which are generally considered to be S-starvation response marker genes (Hirai et al., 2003; Takahashi et al., 2011). This response was greater in the leaf and root tissue at the bulbing stage (Fig 3.4, 3.6 A, C). Although total S levels could not be determined for the experiment due to limited tissue availability, a downstream effect of S limitation was suggested by the significant decrease in the methionine levels in the leaf tissue, as well as a systemic decline in the accumulation of the major *A. cepa* cysteine sulfoxides and their precursors such as γ-glutamyl-PRENCSO, 2-CPGTH, 1-PRENCSO, and cycloalliin at both the developmental stages (as determined by the widely targeted metabolome analysis; Fig 3.19) (Appendix 11).

The effect of NO$_3^-$ depletion on the S-assimilation pathway was contrastingly different in the roots, where both *AcHAST1;1LIKE1* and *AcAPSR1* had dramatically low relative transcript abundance under the –N treatment, but a higher relative transcript abundance in the shoots in a manner similar to that under the –S treatment. However, unlike the –S...
treatment response in the leaf, $\text{AcATPS1}$, $\text{AcSOX1}$, $\text{AcAPSK1}$ and $\text{AcSiR1}$ also showed an increase in relative transcript abundance. This was even more striking at the bulbing stage where $\text{AcOASTL2}$ and $\text{AcOASTL3}$ also showed an increased relative transcript abundance. This suggests some nutrient specific regulation of the pathway, at least at the transcriptional level (Fig 3.4, 3.6 A, C). The limited relative transcript abundance of $\text{AcHAST1;1LIKE1}$ in roots, suggested reduced $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ uptake and this was also reflected in the significant ($p<0.05$) reduction of downstream products of the S-assimilation pathway. These included glutathione in the leaf and the bulb tissue, as well as reduction of methionine in leaf, bulb and root tissues at both developmental stages (Fig 3.19).

The hierarchy in response to either $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ or $\text{NO}_3^-$ depletion was revealed in plants under the $\sim\text{S-N}$ treatment, where the relative transcript abundance of the S-assimilation pathway genes, $\text{AtHAST1;1LIKE1}$, $\text{AcATPS1}$, $\text{AtAPR1}$, $\text{AcAPSK1}$, $\text{AcSiR1}$, $\text{AvOASTL1}$, $\text{AcOASTLA2}$ and $\text{AcOASTL3}$ was similar to that of plants under the $\sim\text{N}$ treatment in both root and leaf tissue, suggesting a decreased sensitivity to limited $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ supply (Fig 3.4 A, C) (Fig 3.6 A, C). Again, the transcriptional response of the S-assimilation pathway correlated well with the metabolome analyses, where the changes in targeted metabolite for plants under the $\sim\text{S-N}$ treatment were similar to that under the $\sim\text{N}$ treatment, rather than those under the $\sim\text{S}$ treatment (Fig 3.15 – 3.18). This was true not only for the accumulation of the direct downstream products of the S-assimilation pathway, such as glutathione and methionine, but also for non S and N containing metabolites such as the sugars, sugar phosphates and sugar alcohols. Flavour and pungency associated precursors such as $\gamma$-glutamyl-PRENSCO and 2-CPGTH also showed greater reduction under the $\sim\text{S-N}$ treatment when compared with the $\sim\text{S}$ treatment (Appendix 11, bar plots). As summarized in the PCA for widely targeted metabolites (Fig 3.21), the samples from the $\sim\text{N}$ and the $\sim\text{S-N}$ treatments form tight, overlapping clusters which shift distinctly from the $\sim\text{S}$ and the control treatment along the x-axis (Fig 3.21).
Figure 3.20 Transcriptional response normalized to the control treatment, of S and N assimilation genes investigated in leaf and root tissues at the pre-bulbing (PB) and bulbing (B) stage in response to different treatments (-S, -N, -S-N) (refer colour key for significant difference). In addition, (^) signifies significant (p<0.05) up-regulation compared to the –N treatment and (˅) signifies significant (p<0.05) down-regulation compared to the –N treatment. Tissue specific genes not investigated in a certain tissue type are indicated by grey boxes.
Figure 3.21 Principal component analysis (PCA) showing shift in accumulation of widely targeted metabolites in different tissues under the different S and N treatments at pre-bulbing and bulbing stage generated using the SIMCA statistical package (Ryo Nakabayashi, pers comm). Six biological replicates are represented for each tissue where a biological replicate consists of pool of six individual plants. The coloured circles indicate 95% confidence interval for the corresponding age and tissue type (Same colour as the circle, refer to coloured labels in the PCA).
In plants, depletion of either SO$_4^{2-}$ or NO$_3^-$ is known to suppress the assimilation pathway of the other element (Koprivova et al., 2000), although the complexity underlying the mechanism for this interaction is still largely unresolved. An inhibitory effect of short term N depletion on S assimilation and *vice versa* has been shown in barley where NO$_3^-$ or SO$_4^{2-}$ depletion reduced the influx of the other element (Clarkson et al., 1989). The reduced influx on NO$_3^-$ was restored by the addition of methionine suggesting its involvement in SO$_4^{2-}$-dependent regulation of N assimilation. Conversely, in *Arabidopsis*, NO$_3^-$ depletion leads to inhibition of the S-assimilation pathway, in both root and shoot as shown by the decline in AtAPR activity and protein accumulation and the cytosolic and plastidic isoforms of AtOAS-TL following 72 h of NO$_3^-$ depletion (Koprivova et al., 2000). Feeding OAS to the plants restored the activity, suggesting that in *Arabidopsis*, regulation of S assimilation by nitrogen is modulated partly through OAS. However, under long term NO$_3^-$ depletion (Hirai et al., 2004; Bi et al., 2007), AtAPR1 and 2 transcripts were unaffected in both root and shoot even though the OAS levels were significantly lower, at least in the roots (Hirai et al., 2004). A similar inhibition of the S-assimilation pathway under limiting N supply has been shown in the roots of *B. juncea*, although when both SO$_4^{2-}$ and NO$_3^-$ were depleted the expression of BjAPR and BjATPS was similar to that observed in the SO$_4^{2-}$-depleted plants (Lee & Kang, 2005). This suggests that in members of *Brasicaceae*, the regulatory effect of N over S assimilation is more drastic under short term depletion before a new metabolic equilibrium is reached.

In *A. cepa* however, as described earlier in the section, the effect of S and N interactions is quite different, both at the transcriptional and at the metabolite level (Fig 3.4-3.7 and 3.19). This may be due to the unique physiology of *A. cepa*, which undergoes modification at the base of the leaves to form a bulb. This organ serves as a sink tissue for reduced S compounds which can then be mobilized during flowering (Saghir et al., 1965). However, unlike *B. juncea* and *A. thaliana*, which are not bulbing species, this increased nutritional requirement for sulfur in *A. cepa* seems to be regulated highly by NO$_3^-$ nutrition at both the transcriptional and metabolite levels, even under long term NO$_3^-$ depletion. This is highlighted in plants under the –N treatment in this study, where the relative transcript abundance of *AcHAST1;1LIKE1, AcATPS, AcAPSR1, AcSiR1* declined in the roots at pre-bulbing as well as bulbing. This indicates limited SO$_4^{2-}$ uptake and assimilation, but these genes were up-regulated in the shoots, possibly to reflect translocation of increasing amounts of SO$_4^{2-}$ to the shoots. However, expression of group 2 transporters, which are
generally associated with long distance root to shoot transport, was not determined in this study (Takahashi et al., 2000) (Fig 3.6). This repression in roots and elevation in the shoots is also evident at the translational level, where accumulation of AcAPSR1 decreases in the roots but increases in the shoots under the –N treatment, when compared with accumulation in the tissues of the plants grown under the control treatment (Appendix 3).

Another N-nutrition specific response in the S-assimilation pathway is in the transcript profile of AcAPSK1. APSK forms a bifurcation in the S-assimilation pathway as the encoded protein shares APS as a substrate with APSR enzyme. Catalysis of APS by APSK leads to production of PAPS, which is the most common co-factor in the sulfotransferase reactions (Lillig et al., 2001). The flux of SO$_4^{2-}$ through the plant is competitively divided between the two branches for S incorporation. As indicated by the factorial experiment in this study and also observed in studies in other plant species, sulfate deprivation leads to reduced APSK expression possibly reflecting the preferential direction of S-flux towards cysteine synthesis (Kopriva et al., 2012; Ravilious et al., 2012). However, under the –N and the –S–N treatments, AcAPSK1 expression increased in both root as well as leaf tissue, while that of AcATPS1 decreased in the root tissue and increased in leaf tissue. This is the first study, to the author’s knowledge, which investigates the response of APSK expression in Alliums. Previously, the enzyme encoded (APSK) has been shown to be active in A. cepa with a higher specific activity for APS when compared to Arabidopsis, P. ensyii and P. fastigiata and comparable activity to broccoli florets and leaf tissue (Leung et al., 2012). Given the increase in relative transcript abundance of AcAPSK1 under N-limiting conditions in A. cepa, it is important to establish its contribution in control over the flux of SO$_4^{2-}$ in the plant. Further, as plant APSKs have been shown to be redox regulated (Ravilious et al., 2012b), it is equally important to establish the correlation between the elevated transcript profile, protein accumulation and activity.

Taken together, the results indicate that the nutrient specific differential expression of genes of the S-assimilation pathway in the roots and the shoots seems not to be common in all S-accumulating species. This suggests a species specific regulation of the S-assimilation pathway in A. cepa. This difference may also extend to the other members of the Alliums, eg. garlic, which also has storage organ rich in reduced S-compounds. It is important here to note that AcNR1, which is a nitrate responsive gene also shows an increase in transcript abundance relative to the control treatment under the –N and –S–N treatment, in leaf tissue at bulbing only. However, this is only an apparent induction as when the developmental response of AcNRI is compared (with pre-bulbing for each
treatment as a control for expression at bulbing), there is a sharp decline at bulbing relative to pre-bulbing under the control treatment. The developmental response under the –N treatment shows only a marginal (but significant) decline upon bulbing. Therefore when the comparison across treatments is made, then relative to the bulbing stage in the control treatment, AcNR1 transcript abundance appears to be elevated. A similar response is also observed for AcSOX1 at bulbing under the –N treatment in leaf and root tissue (Fig 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.9, 3.10).

A similar transcriptional and post-transcriptional profile does not always indicate a positive correlation with the accumulation of the products of the pathway. Post-translational modifications can also act as another check-point for pathway regulation, modifying the activity of the enzymes, leading to higher or lower accumulation of down-stream products. However, the dominant response to N limitation under the –S-N treatment, as seen in the root expression of AcHAST1;1LIKE1 and AcAPSR1 (Fig 3.6 A, C), is also reflected in the metabolome of the plants where accumulation of both untargeted volatile compounds as well as targeted metabolites under the –S-N treatment is similar to that of the –N treatment response suggesting a NO3- dependent regulation of S-assimilation pathway in A. cepa (Fig 3.11, 3.17, 3.18).

Conversely, SO42- depletion in plants is also known to perturb the N-assimilation pathway. For example, a reduced influx of NO3- and lower NR expression and subsequent activity of the enzyme encoded was observed in five week old spinach plants when transferred to a SO42- free media (Clarkson et al., 2001). A similar inhibition of NR relative transcript abundance was seen under long-term SO42- depletion in Arabidopsis, with intermediate responses at the transcriptome and metabolomic level when both NO3- and SO42- were limiting (Hirai et al., 2004). However, this was not very evident from the A. cepa factorial experiment in this study, as at the transcriptional level, there was only a slight but significant down-regulation in AcNRT2;1LIKE1 and AcNiR1 transcripts in the roots only (Fig 3.7). Interestingly at the level of metabolites, there was a significant (p<0.05) increase in accumulation of amino acids in the pseudo-stem of the pre-bulbing plants (Fig 3.15). A reason for this could be the altered S:N ratio in the plants and that the excess of N over S is being channelled into amino-acid synthesis. Accumulation of amino acids such as asparagine and glutamine as well as ureides has also been observed in Arabidopsis (Nikiforova et al., 2006) and arginine and glutamine accumulates in N. tabacum (Klapheck et al., 1982) under short term SO42- deprivation. In N. tabacum, this also correlated with a decline in protein-synthesis (Klapheck et al., 1982). This indicates that a part of the...
excessive accumulation of amino-acids observed in the pseudo-stem and the bulb is possibly also due to a decline in protein-synthesis via down-regulation of plastid and ribosomal genes involved in protein synthesis. However, from the targeted metabolome, it is hard to specifically conclude whether this accumulation is in response to a decline in the protein synthesis machinery or through increased protein degradation. Moreover, this response to S limitation seems to contrast with the leaf tissues where a decline in amino acid content is observed. Although it is important to note that this amino acid accumulation is more significant at the pre-bulbing stage, and that the leaf tissue does not show any change in amino acid accumulation at the pre-bulbing stage, at bulbing, a slight decline in the levels of all amino acids investigated is observed. Although the decline was not as drastic as under the –N and the –S-N treatment, it does indicate a degree of inhibition of N assimilation and especially at the bulbing stage, probably due to increased nutritional requirement by the plant at this stage for bulb development. Additional studies measuring the changes in flux of SO$_4^{2-}$ and NO$_3^-$ as the plant transits to bulbing along with expression analyses of genes involved in amino acid synthesis and protein degradation would elucidate if the responses observed in this study are indeed regulated by the increased demand for NO$_3^-$ and SO$_4^{2-}$ at bulbing.

### 3.7.3 Feed forward mechanism for cysteine accumulation in *A. cepa* highlighted under sustained SO$_4^{2-}$ depletion

O-Acetylserine (OAS) is a N-containing precursor of cysteine synthesis synthesized by acetylation of the amino-acid serine, which also links the S-assimilation pathway with N assimilation. In this study, no OAS accumulation was observed in plants grown under the –S treatment in any of the tissues, both at the pre-bulbing and the bulbing stage (Fig 3.19). Also, the serine levels remained unaffected under the –S treatment in all tissues and stages except in the pre-bulbing pseudo-stem where a slight but significant (p<0.05) accumulation was observed. At the bulbing stage, a decline in accumulation of OAS, glutathione as well as methionine was also observed in the leaves. However, the response in the bulb and the roots was not so severe as only methionine levels showed a significant (p<0.05) decline in the bulb and OAS in the roots (Fig 3.19, Appendix 11: bar plots). This suggests that OAS...
mediated regulation might only function under short-term SO$_4^{2-}$ limitation and is not in response to sustained limitation in *A. cepa*, especially at bulbing.

Changes in the metabolic profile of other species in response to SO$_4^{2-}$ limitation include accumulation of OAS which acts as a hand-break in the feedback regulation of the pathway ultimately leading to the up-regulation of sulfate uptake and assimilation to cope with the limited S availability (Berkowitz et al., 2002; Wirtz & Hell, 2006; Feldman-Salit et al., 2009.). Over a period of time, sustained S-deprivation leads to a decline in the S-containing amino acids cysteine and methionine, as well as other S-metabolites such as glutathione and other sulfated compounds (Takahashi et al., 2011). Again, most of the evidence for the OAS-feedback model comes from studies completed on *Arabidopsis* which accumulates secondary S metabolites, mainly glucosinolates (Kliebenstein et al., 2001). However, similar responses to SO$_4^{2-}$ limitation have been observed in soybean (Kim et al., 1999) and rice (Nakamura, Yamaguchi, & Sano, 1999) indicating OAS-mediated regulation of the S-assimilation pathway may be a predominant mechanism in plants.

Interestingly, a feed-forward mechanism for S-assimilation in *A. cepa* has been suggested as an explanation of the high levels of cysteine accumulation in the species, particularly during bulbing (McCallum et al., 2011). This is further supported by evidence for a complex formation between AcATPS1 and AcAPSR1 *in vitro* possibly driving the assimilation flux towards accumulation of cysteine (Cumming et al., 2007). Although the existence of ATPS-APSR complex has not yet been examined in other species, a lack of OAS accumulation in the tissues examined under the –S treatment even in bulbing plants supports this idea of a feed-forward mechanism in *A. cepa*. However, this might only be true for long term S limitation, and a feed-back regulation might possibly be effective in *A. cepa* under the short term S depletion. The accumulation of serine in the pseudo-stem under the –S treatment could possibly reflect the tail-end of such regulation in pre-bulbing plants, before they switch to a feed-forward mechanism at bulbing. However, it is also equally possible that the serine accumulation may not be linked with feed-back regulation at all as a generic accumulation of other amino acids is also observed in pseudo-stem at the pre-bulbing stage (Fig 3.13). The accumulation of OAS is generally thought to feed-back to the S-pathway resulting in transcriptional up-regulation of the pathway (Feldman-Salit et al., 2009; Hesse et al., 2004). However, in this thesis, a dramatic increase in relative transcript abundance of *AcHAST1;1LIKE1* and *AcAPSR1* was observed even without the OAS accumulation in both shoot and the root tissue under the –S treatment (Fig 3.4, 3.5, 3.19). In fact, *AcHAST1;1LIKE1* and *AcAPSR1* were up-regulated in shoot tissues even under the –N and
the –S-N treatment when OAS accumulation was even lower (Fig 3.4, 3.5). This not only corroborates the regulatory disconnect between the S and N-assimilation pathway in A. cepa at the point of incorporation of OAS into cysteine; it also indicates that the S-assimilation pathway genes might be feed-back regulated by metabolites down-stream of cysteine synthesis in A. cepa.

3.7.4 Suppression of bulbing upon sustained NO$_3^-$ depletion in A. cepa

In the present study, to establish successful transition of plants to bulbing stage, the transcript levels of the Flowering Locus T4 (AcFT4) gene were monitored as a marker gene for bulbing (Fig 3.8 A) (Lee et al., 2013). As expected, the control plants showed a decrease in AcFT4 transcript under the LD conditions (bulbing) (Fig 3.8 A). Interestingly, mRNA expression of AcFT4 also responded to NO$_3^-$ depletion. Under the –N treatment, AcFT4 relative transcript abundance increased in the leaves at the pre-bulbing stage and was even more heightened at the bulbing stage when compared to leaves from plants grown under the control treatment for each stage (Fig 3.8 A). The regulation of AcFT4 has been recently characterized in A. cepa where the overexpression of the gene has been shown to inhibit bulbing (Lee et al., 2013). The results from this study indicate that under sustained NO$_3^-$ depletion, the nitrate reserves might be insufficient to support bulbing and so the process is suppressed by activation of AcFT4. This seems even more likely when the response of S and N-assimilation pathway genes to bulbing under the control treatment is compared to bulbing under the –N treatment. As described above, there was a general decline in transcript levels of most genes investigated upon bulbing, when compared to pre-bulbing tissues under the control treatment in both leaf and root tissue (Fig 3.9). However, under the –N treatment, none of the S pathway genes investigated showed a decline upon transition to bulbing in the leaves (Fig 3.10). In fact, there was an increase in the relative transcript levels of AcATPS1, AcOASTL1 and AcOASTL3. The effect of N availability on bulb yield and quality has been shown by many studies where the rate of bulb development is increased up by increasing N availability leading to higher bulb yield. Conversely, a decline in bulb yield is observed under limiting N conditions (Brewster & Butler, 1989; Rezaei et al., 2013). However, the underlying molecular signature of N dependent bulb formation still requires much elucidation. The results from this study add to the general understanding of the transcriptional as well as metabolomic responses involved in bulbing specifically in the S
and N-assimilation pathway. Moreover, this suggests that bulb development may be regulated by a negative feedback mechanism where sustained depletion in N metabolites leads to higher expression of AcFT4, thus supressing transition of the plants to the bulbing stage.

3.7.5 Tissue specific and systemic accumulation of certain N and/or S compounds in response to NO₃⁻ depletion

Metabolomics allows for the screening of a large number of compounds in a single experiment, hence making it possible to understand both systemic and specific responses in plants to a certain treatment. Another by-product of metabolomic profiling is the identification of unexpected compounds and fluctuation in compounds not thought to be directly affected by the treatment. Along with the metabolites traditionally known to fluctuate under S and/or N limitation, some of these were also identified.

Hypotaurine is an intermediate sulfinic acid in the metabolism of taurine, a non-protein S containing amino acid, most abundant in the animal kingdom and red algae but only found in trace amounts in higher plants (L. H. Smith, 1968). The importance of taurine in the animal kingdom has long been established as it is required for many fundamental biological processes such as conjugation of bile acids, antioxidation, osmoregulation, membrane stabilization, and modulation of calcium signaling (Huxtable, 1992). Due to its low abundance in plants and difficulty in detection (Lähdesmäki, 1986), its importance has largely been ignored in plants. It is highly intriguing that the precursor to taurine accumulated in all tissues under the –N and the –S-N treatment at the pre-bulbing stage and in the leaf and root tissue at the bulbing stage (Fig 3.15-3.18). Although there have been a few reports of taurine and hypotaurine synthesis in plants limited mainly to leguminous species (Lähdesmäki, 1986; Pasantes-Morales & Flores, 1991), it has also been reported that exogenous application of taurine has a positive effect on growth of wheat seedlings (Sheng et al., 2004). As this pathway is a divergence from the S-assimilation pathway arising after cysteine is synthesised, it is worth speculating if under sustained NO₃⁻ depletion, the taurine and hypotaurine assimilation pathway is revived in A. cepa to cope with the nutrient deficiency. Hypotaurine could also serve as a S and N reserve for the plant which may be re-mobilized later during flowering. In the light of this study, and given the
importance of taurine in the animal kingdom, it would be interesting to confirm if it also accumulates in *A. cepa* in response to NO$_3^-$ limitation and what its function in plants might be.

Another class of compounds which exhibited tissue specific accumulation were the flavonol glucosides (Fig 3.13, 3.14). An age-dependent, tissue specific accumulation of flavonol glucosides was found in the pseudo-stem at the pre-bulbing stage which declined significantly (p<0.05) upon bulbing when compared to bulbs of plants grown under the control treatment. This specific accumulation in the pseudo-stem at the pre-bulbing stage suggests an important function of these compounds in the developmental regulation of bulbing. Flavonol glucosides are known as antioxidants and as protectors against oxidative stress has also been implied. It may be that in *A. cepa*, as the pseudo-stem later develops into a bulb, which is the storage organ, resources are selectively mobilized to protect the pseudo-stem under sustained N depletion. Thus as bulbing is induced as a result of longer photo-period, the continuing N depletion results in the mobilization of resources into flowering instead of bulbing.
Chapter 4: Characterization of the interaction between sulfite reductase (AcSiR1) and nitrite reductase (AcNiR1)

AcSiR and AcNiR are structurally and functionally similar proteins and a single study has reported evidence for redundancy in *S. oleracea* (spinach) (Krueger & Siegel, 1982). To establish if this redundancy exists in *A. cepa*, recombinant AcSiR1 and AcNiR1 were expressed in the *E. coli* strain BL21 and purified using pGEX-6P3 vector expression system. Substrate replacement studies *in vitro* were conducted using activity assays to test whether each recombinant enzyme can reduce both sulfite and nitrite. The possibility of interaction between the two proteins was also examined using an ELISA-based Solid Phase Binding Assay and also Isothermal Titration Calorimetry (ITC). To investigate if an indirect interaction exists between AcSiR1 and AcNiR1 in the form of enzyme recruitment, mean specific activity and accumulation of AcSiR1 and AcNiR1 in leaf and root tissue in response to the --S, -N and -S-N treatments were also determined. The possibility of a transient recruitment at the transcriptional level was also investigated using *Arabidopsis* wild type (col) and a *SiR1* T-DNA insertion line *SiR1-1* in a controlled, 72 h S x N deprivation experiment (see section 2.1.2).

4.1 Characterization of recombinant AcSiR1 *in vitro*

4.1.1 Recombinant AcSiR1 accumulation

Recombinant GST-tagged mature AcSiR1 was expressed, excluding the chloropastidic signal peptide, in the *E. coli* BL21 strain using the pGEX-6P-3 vector. Recombinant protein was then purified from lysed *E. coli* cells, using glutathione-sepharose beads and the PreScisson protease enzyme then used to cleave the GST tag (Fig 4.1 A ) (see section2.4). The theoretical size of the protein, excluding the signal peptide was determined to be 65.70kD (http://web.expasy.org/protparam/). Purified recombinant protein fractionated on a 10% 1D-SDS-PAGE yielded a major band of ca. 67 kD, as determined by relative mobility compared to the proteins of known size (protein ladder) fractionated alongside on the same gel (Fig 4.1 B). Antibodies raised against *Arabidopsis* SiR1 (AtSiR1) which also
recognizes AcSiR1 were used for western blotting. A single major band of a similar size was recognized after cleaving the GST tag (Fig 4.1 C).

4.1.2 Recombinant AcSiR1 activity determination

The enzyme activity of recombinant AcSiR1 was determined using a sulfite-reductase coupled-enzyme assay, which measures the amount of cysteine (nmol) made per unit of time (min) and uses sodium sulfite as substrate (see section 2.4.6.2). The mean specific activity of recombinant AcSiR1 was determined to be 235 ± 17.8 nmol/min/mg protein which was significantly (p<0.01) higher when compared with activity determined in a crude leaf extract, at 11.4 nmol/min/mg protein. The activity of recombinant AcSiR1 was also significantly (p<0.01) higher than the mean specific activity measured in a A. cepa root extract, which was 11.7 ± 1.5 nmol/min/mg protein (Table 4.1).
Characterization of recombinant AcSiR1

Figure 4.1 Characterization of recombinant AcSiR1. A: Schematic representation of the GST tagged construct for the recombinant protein. The GST tag cleavage site is indicated. B: Coomassie blue stained 10% 1D-SDS-PAGE showing purification of AcSiR1, as indicated, from lysed E. coli cells. C: A western blot of showing purified AcSiR1 as a single band recognized by antibodies raised against AtSiR1.
4.2 Characterization of recombinant of AcNiR1 \textit{in vitro}

4.2.1 Recombinant AcNiR1 accumulation

Recombinant full length GST-tagged AcNiR1, excluding the chloropastidic signal peptide, was expressed using the pGEX-6P-3 vector in the \textit{E. coli} BL21 strain and purified using the same method as for AcSiR1 (Fig 4.2 A) (see section 2.4). However, as no activity was found for the recombinant protein, it was then expressed with the signal and found to be active. Hereafter, the recombinant AcNiR1 characterized includes the signal peptide. The theoretical size of the protein, including the signal peptide was determined to be 65.9 kD (http://web.expasy.org/protparam/). An aliquot of purified recombinant protein fractionated using 12.5\% 1D-SDS-PAGE yielded one major band of ca. 62 kD (and another lightly staining band of a smaller mass) as determined by relative mobility compared with proteins of known size fractionated alongside on the same gel. Mass-spectrometry analysis of the ca. 62kD band confirmed it to be AcNiR1, whereas the minor band was confirmed to be degraded AcNiR1. A minor band above the expected size of the protein was also stained and was confirmed through mass-spectrometry to be \textit{E. coli} chaperone protein DnaK (Fig 4.2 B) Antibodies raised against the purified recombinant AcNiR1, also recognized a single band of ca. 65 kD band in western blot analysis in a crude leaf extract from \textit{A. cepa}.. Furthermore, AcNiR1 specific antibody also recognized a single band of a similar size from crude leaf extracts of \textit{N. tabacum} and \textit{A. thaliana} but not from \textit{Trifolium repens} (Fig 4.2 C).

4.2.2 Recombinant AcNiR1 characterization

The enzyme activity of recombinant AcNiR1 was determined using an assay which measures the amount of nitrite (nmol) reduced per unit of time (min) and uses sodium nitrite as substrate (see section 2.4.6.3). The mean specific activity of recombinant AcNiR1 was determined to be 2956.7 ± 87.1 nmol/min/mg protein which was significantly (p<0.01) higher when compared with activity determined in a crude extract from \textit{A. cepa} leaves, which was 38.7 ± 14.2 nmol/min/mg protein. The activity of recombinant AcNiR1 was also significantly (p<0.01) higher than the mean specific activity measured in a \textit{A. cepa} root extract, which was 54.6 ± 3.4 nmol/min/mg protein (Table 4.1).
Characterization of recombinant AcNiR1

A: Schematic representation of the GST tagged construct for the recombinant protein. The GST tag cleavage site is indicated. B: Coomassie blue stained 10% 1D-SDS-PAGE showing purification of AcNiR1, as indicated, from lysed E. coli cells. C: A western blot of showing recognition of a single band of ca. 65 kD from crude extracts of A. cepa (Lane 1), N. tabacum (Lane 2), A. thaliana (Lane 4). Crude extract from T. repens was fractionated in lane 3.

Figure 4.2 Characterization of recombinant AcNiR1.
### 4.3 Redundancy in AcSiR and AcNiR substrate specificity

Functional redundancy has been previously demonstrated for purified SiR and NiR from *S. oleracea* extracts (Krueger & Siegel, 1982) where both SoSiR1 and SoNiR1 can reduce both substrates (nitrite and sulfite). Recombinant AcSiR1 and AcNiR1 were used to determine if the same phenomenon existed in *A. cepa* cv. CUDH2107. Recombinant protein from a single batch of *E. coli* cells containing the GST fused AcNiR1 construct, with three technical replications, was used to determine the mean specific activity in each case (Table 4.1) (see section 2.4.6.3).

Recombinant AcSiR1, when substituted in the nitrite reductase assay, reduced nitrite and exhibited a mean specific activity of 19.0 nmol/min/mg protein. However, the mean specific activity of AcSiR1 was significantly (p<0.01) higher for its physiological substrate sulfite (234.7 ± 17.8 nmol/min/mg protein) (Table 4.1) (see section 2.4.6.3).

Similarly, AcNiR1 was able to reduce sulfite (78.2 nmol/min/mg protein) in a sulfite-reductase specific assay, but this rate was significantly (p<0.01) lower than the mean specific activity of AcNiR1 for nitrite (2956.7 ± 87.1 nmol/min/mg protein) (Table 4.1) (see section 2.4.6.2).
Table 4.1 Enzyme activity (nmol/min/mg) of AcSiR1 and AcNiR1 as recombinant proteins and crude extract from leaf and root, with sulfite or nitrite as substrate, as indicated. For the crude plant extract the values ±SEM are the means of three biological replicates where each biological replicate comprises a pool of six individual plant tissues. For the recombinant proteins ±SEM are the means of three chemical replicates where each replicate comprises of a distinct batch of purified recombinant protein.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>Sulfite as substrate</th>
<th>Nitrite as substrate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recombinant AcSiR1</td>
<td>234.69±17.81</td>
<td>19.03±2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recombinant AcNiR1</td>
<td>78.23±7.32</td>
<td>2956.69±87.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total plant extract-Leaf</td>
<td>11.42±1.04</td>
<td>38.74±14.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total plant extract-Root</td>
<td>11.66±1.47</td>
<td>54.63±3.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.4 Post-transcriptional responses of key S and N assimilation genes in plants grown in S and/or N depleted media.

Tissue from the S and N factorial experiment described in the previous chapter (see section 3.1), was also used to determine changes in the enzyme activity of AcSiR1 and AcNiR1 and protein accumulation in response to the different S and N treatments, both at pre-bulbing and bulbing in leaf and root tissue.

Total protein, extracted from different tissues harvested from plants at the pre-bulbing and bulbing stage, was quantified using the Bradford assay (Bradford, 1976) and 10μg of total protein was used in the enzyme assay to determine sulfite reduction via cysteine synthesis. The mean specific activity was calculated from three biological replicates in each case, where tissue pooled from six individual plants comprised one biological replicate (see section 2.4.6.2).

To determine protein accumulation in leaves and roots harvested from plants at pre-bulbing and bulbing, 10 μg of total protein, quantified using the Bradford assay was pooled from six biological replicates, fractionated using 1D-SDS-PAGE and then transferred to a PVDF membrane for western blotting using the anti-AtSiR1 or AcNiR1 antibody as indicated (see section 2.4.2, 2.4.3). Apart from the Bradford assay, coomassie blue staining of the SDS-PAGE which showed a major band of ca. 55kD, assumed to be larger subunit of RUBISCO, was also used as a loading control for leaf tissue. (Fig 4.3 A).

4.4.1 Specific activity and accumulation of AcSiR1 in plants grown under the control, -S, -N and –S-N treatments

4.4.1.1 Specific activity and protein accumulation in leaves harvested at the pre-bulbing stage

The highest mean specific activity determined for AcSiR1 was 11.42nmol/min/mg, which was found in leaves harvested from plants grown in the control media. The specific activity was lowest for the leaves harvested from plants grown in the –S media, at 4.73 nmol/min/mg, differing significantly (p<0.05) from all the other three treatments. In the
leaves from the –N treatment, the mean specific activity was 8.66 nmol/min/mg which was significantly (p<0.05) lower than the control treatment, but higher than the –S treatment. There was no significant (p<0.05) difference between the –S-N treatment (10.47 nmol/min/mg) and control (Fig 4.2A). However, when compared with the –N and the -S treatment, the activity in leaves from the –S-N treatment was significantly (p<0.05) higher (Fig 4.3 A).

Protein accumulation was quantified using ImageJ software (see section 2.4.3.4). Compared to leaves under the control treatment, the highest relative intensity of AcSiR1 accumulation occurred in leaves under the –S-N treatment, followed by the –N treatment. Leaves from plants grown under the –S treatment had the lowest AcSiR1 accumulation (Fig 4.3 A).

### 4.4.1.2 Specific activity and protein accumulation in roots harvested at the pre-bulbing stage

There was no significant (p<0.05) difference between mean specific activity of AcSiR1 in roots harvested from plants grown in control (11.66 nmol/min/mg) and the –S media (10.64 nmol/min/mg). However, the specific AcSiR1 activity from roots harvested at bulbing from plants grown in the –N (34.69 nmol/min/mg) and the –S-N (34.22 nmol/min/mg) media was significantly (p<0.05) higher than control and the –S treatment (Fig 4.3 B).

In the roots at the pre-bulbing stage, AcSiR1 protein accumulation was the highest under –S treatment compared to control treatment. No protein accumulation was detected in roots under the –N and the –S-N treatments (Fig 4.3 B).

### 4.4.1.3 Specific activity and protein accumulation in leaf tissue harvested at bulbing stage

In the leaf tissue harvested from plants at the bulbing stage, the pattern for mean specific activity of AcSiR1 remained similar to the pre-bulbing leaf tissue, with the highest activity in control plants (19.15 nmol/min/mg). Under the –S and the –N treatments, the mean specific activity dropped to 12.98 and 15.28 nmol/min/mg respectively, both significantly (p<0.05) lower than leaves from plants grown under the control treatment. There was no
significant difference between the control and the –S-N treatment (18.13 nmol/min/mg) but the mean specific activity under the –S-N treatment was significantly higher the –N and the –S treatments (Fig 4.3 A).

AcSiR1 protein accumulation, as detected by western blotting and quantified by the ImageJ software (see section 2.4.3.4), showed highest accumulation in leaf extracts from plants grown under the control treatment. Compared to the control treatment, a reduced accumulation of AcSiR1 was observed in leaf extracts harvested from plants under the –S treatment. The –N and the –S-N treatment showed visually lower accumulation of AcSiR1. However, this accumulation in the leaf extracts from the –N and the –S-N treatments was higher when compared to accumulation in the –S treatment (Fig 4.3 A).

4.4.1.4 Specific activity and protein accumulation in roots harvested at the bulbing stage

In a similar pattern to pre-bulbing, the roots harvested at bulbing from control (20.17nmol/min/mg) and the –S treatment (17.55nmol/min/mg) did not show any significant difference (p<0.05). However, the activity in roots from the –N (51.97nmol/min/mg) and the –S-N media (40.90nmol/min/mg) was significantly (p<0.05) higher when compared with activity in roots at bulbing harvested from control and the –S treatment (Fig 4.3 B).

AcSiR1 protein accumulation was highest in extracts from the –S treatment. No protein accumulation was detected by the ImageJ software in extracts from the –N treatment. Protein accumulation in the –S-N treatment was less than control as well as the –S treatment (Fig 4.3 B).
Figure 4.3 Enzyme activity and accumulation of AcSiR1 in total plant protein extracts from the leaf tissue and roots harvested from different N and S treatments. A: Activity and accumulation in leaves at pre-bulbing and bulbing stage, as indicated. B: Activity and accumulation in roots at pre-bulbing and bulbing stage as indicated. Numbers indicate relative intensity of protein accumulation as calculated by ImageJ software. Bars represent mean ±SEM of three biological replicates where each replicate consists of pooled tissue from 6 individual plants. "*" indicates statistically significant (P<0.05) differential expression when compared with control plants of the same stage. "**" indicates statistically significant (P<0.05) difference between the -N treatment and the –S-N treatment for the same stage.
4.4.2 Specific activity of AcNiR1 in plants grown under the control, -S, -N and –S-N treatment

4.4.2.1 Specific activity and protein accumulation in leaf tissue harvested at the pre-bulbing stage

In the leaf tissue, the AcNiR1 mean specific activity was 38.74 nmol/min/mg in control media, 45.20 nmol/min/mg in plants grown in the –S media, 23.96 nmol/min/mg in the –N media and 28.34 nmol/min/mg in the –S-N media. There was no significant (p>0.05) difference in any of the four treatments when compared with the control treatment (Fig 4.4 B).

In the leaf tissue harvested from plants at the pre-bulbing stage, the accumulation of AcNiR1, as evaluated using the ImageJ software, was the highest in the –S treatment when compared to control treatment. The extracts from –N treatment had the lowest accumulation of AcNiR1 compared to all other treatments. AcSiR1 accumulation in leaves under the –S-N treatment was slightly lower than the control (Fig 4.4 A).

4.4.2.2 Specific activity and protein accumulation in roots harvested at the pre-bulbing stage

Highest AcNiR1 activity, at 54.63 nmol/min/mg, was determined for roots harvested from plants grown in control media. Under the –S treatment, the mean specific activity was 44.44 nmol/min/mg, which was not significantly different to control treatment. However, in the –N and the –S-N treatment, the mean specific activity was significantly (p<0.05) lower than the control treatment at 33.63 and 29.00 nmol/min/mg protein respectively, but there was no significant difference between these two treatment (Fig 4.4 B).

Accumulation of AcNiR1 in the roots at pre-bulbing was similar to the control treatment and the –S treatment. The lowest relative intensity of AcNiR1 accumulation was under the –S-N treatment with a slightly higher accumulation in the –N treatment. (Fig 4.4 B).
4.4.2.3 Specific activity and protein accumulation in leaf harvested at the bulbing stage

In the leaf tissue harvested at the bulbing stage, the AcNiR1 activity was 5.49 nmol/min/mg for the control treatment and 17.37 nmol/min/mg for the –S treatment. Under the conditions of the assay, the activity in the –N and the –S-N treatments was below detection limit when 150ug of total protein was used (Fig 4.4 A).

In protein extracts of leaves harvested at the bulbing stage, AcNiR1 accumulation was slightly higher in all three treatments compared to the control. The highest accumulation was observed in the -S treatment (1.11), followed by the –N (1.09) and the –S-N treatment (1.07) (Fig 4.4 A).

4.4.2.4 Specific activity and protein accumulation in roots harvested at the bulbing stage

In the control treatment, the roots exhibited the highest activity at 63.82 nmol/min/mg, followed by the –S treatment which was significantly (p<0.05) lower at 45.88 nmol/min/mg. The specific activity in roots harvested from the –N and the –S-N treatment was 30.94 nmol/min/mg, and 29.08 nmol/min/mg, respectively, and were significantly (p<0.05) lower than both the control and the –S treatment (Fig 4.4 B).

In the protein extracts of roots, accumulation of AcNiR1 was similar in the control, the –S treatment and the –N treatment, but slightly higher in –S-N treatment (Fig 4.4 B).
Figure 4.4 Enzyme activity and accumulation of AcNiR1 in total plant protein extracts from the leaf tissue and roots harvested from different N and S treatments. 

A: Activity and accumulation in leaves at pre-bulbing and bulbing stage, as indicated. B: Activity and accumulation in roots at pre-bulbing and bulbing stage as indicated. Numbers indicate relative intensity of protein accumulation as calculated by ImageJ software. Bars represent mean ±SEM of three biological replicates where each replicate consists of pooled tissue from 6 individual plants. “*” indicates statistically significant (P<0.05) differential expression when compared with control plants of the same stage. “**” indicates statistically significant (P<0.05) difference between the -N treatment and the –S-N treatment for the same stage. “ND” (Not Detected) indicates no measurable enzyme activity.
4.5 Interaction between recombinant AcSiR1 and AcNiR1 In vitro

4.5.1 Solid Phase Binding Assay

The putative physical interaction between recombinant AcSiR1 and AcNiR1 was established by the solid phase binding assay (see section 2.4.5). For this, a known constant amount of AcNiR1 protein (1ug) (Cumming et al., 2007) was initially immobilized onto the microtitre plate wells. After subsequent washes, the secondary protein (AcSiR1) was incubated in increasing amounts. AcSiR1 is predicted to bind to AcNiR1 in the case of a positive interaction, which can be detected by adding the specific anti-AcSiR1 antibody, followed by the addition of alkaline-phosphatase conjugated IgG as secondary antibody. On addition of p-nitrophenol phosphate, the positive interaction was seen as a yellow color development measured at 405nm that would therefore increase with increasing amount of secondary (AcSiR1) protein added. The specificity of the interaction and baseline absorbance was established by checking for color development in control wells identical to the test but without 1.) any primary protein (AcNiR1), 2.) any secondary protein (AcSiR1), or 3.) any primary antibody (anti-AcSiR1 antibody). The solid phase experiments indicated that at pH 7.4, with an increasing concentration of AcSiR1 added, the absorbance (405nm) increased until plateauing at 1ug of AcSiR1. An absence of interaction between two unrelated proteins AcNiR1 and AcOASTL2 was used as a negative control for the experiment, in which no increase in absorbance was detected with increasing amount of AcOASTL2 added (Fig 4.5).
Figure 4.5 Putative interaction between AcNiR1 and AcSiR1 protein using the Solid Phase Binding Assay. Change in absorbance at 405nm in response to increasing amount of AcSiR1 (blue line) and AcOASTL2 (red line) incubation over 1ug of AcNiR1 coated onto the wells. Error bars represent ±SEM for two experimental replicates where each experiment consisted of three technical repeats.
4.5.2 Isothermal Titration Calorimetry (ITC)

ITC is a quantitative technique that measures the change in heat as a result of an interaction between two macromolecules (see section 2.4.4). It can be employed to determine the binding affinity ($K_a$), binding stoichiometry (n) and enthalpy change ($\Delta G$) associated with the interaction.

ITC was used as a tag-free *in vitro* method to study AcSIR1 and AcNiR1 complex formation and to determine the thermodynamic parameters of the interaction (see section 2.4.4). For this, 19 injections of 2 ul aliquots of 173.8uM AcNiR1 was injected one at a time into a cell containing 220ul of 12.17uM recombinant AcSiR1. The heat change due to mixing of the two proteins was recorded for each injection with respect to a reference cell held at a constant temperature. As the heat change for all injections was minimal and constant, except for injection 14, it indicated that no physical interaction occurred between the two proteins. The abrupt heat change for injection 14 indicates a disturbance probably due to an air bubble (Fig 4.6).
Figure 4.6 Interaction between AcNiR1 and AcSiR analyzed by I.T.C. Two μl injections of 178.3μM AcNiR1 (total 19) injected into 220 μl of 12.17 μM AcSiR1. The minimal and constant heat of dilution (Kcal mol⁻¹ of injectant) registered corresponding to each injection (■), indicating no binding between AcSiR1 and AcNiR1. First injection removed from analysis as per data analysis guidelines using the origin software. Spike in injection no. 14 indicates noise due to possible air bubble trapped in the cell.
4.6 Effect of short term S and N depletion on key assimilation genes in *Arabidopsis thaliana* WT and a *sir1-1* line

A sulfite reductase knock-down line, *sir1-1* has recently been characterized in which *AtSiR1* expression was shown to be essential for plant survival (Khan et al., 2010). As this line exhibited *AtSiR1* accumulation at only 17% of WT, this served as an effective tool to test for any perturbations in S and N-assimilation pathway resulting from the *AtSiR1* and *AtNiR1* interaction or enzyme recruitment in response to S and N treatments.

### 4.6.1 Experimental design

Five-week old plants of *Arabidopsis thaliana* cv. col (WT) and the t-DNA insertion line *sir1-1* that were grown in complete media, were subjected to sulfur (-S), nitrogen (-N) and coupled sulfur and nitrogen (-S-N) deficient media for 72 hours (Fig 4.7). After this time, shoot and root tissue were harvested for qRT-PCR analysis (see section 2.1.4). Transcripts abundance relative to two reference genes was investigated for the following genes in the S-assimilation pathway: *AtSULTR1;1, AtATPS3* and *AtATPS4, AtAPR2, AtSiR1, AtOAS-TL A and AtOAS-TL B*. In the N-assimilation pathway, transcript abundance of *AtNRT2;1, AtNR2* and *AtNiR* was determined (see section 2.3.4) (Appendix 13).
Figure 4.7 Five week old Arabidopsis WT and sir1-1 plants. WT and sir1-1 plants, as indicated, grown under control media prior to start of the treatment. Plants were grown in short day (8.5h light/15.5h dark) conditions in 55% humidity with 22°/18° light dark temperature settings.
4.6.2 Effect of short term S and N depletion on fresh weight of *Arabidopsis* WT and a *sir1-1* line

4.6.2.1 Changes in fresh weight (FW) in the shoot tissue

In the WT plants after 72 h in the –S treatment, the FW of shoot tissue was significantly (p<0.05) higher than fresh weights in the control treatment. No significant changes in FW were observed in shoot tissue from the –N and the –S-N treatment (Fig 4.8 A).

In the *sir1-1* plants, no significant difference was observed in FW of shoots in any of the treatments (Fig 4.8 A).

4.6.2.2 Changes in fresh weight (FW) in the root tissue

In common with the WT shoot tissue, there was a significant (p<0.05) increase in the FW of root tissue of plants grown under the –S treatment. A significant (p<0.05) increase was also observed in root tissue of plants grown under the –S-N treatment. However, the roots of the plants grown under the –N treatment did not show any change in FW as compared to root tissue of plants grown under the control treatment (Fig 4.8 B).

In the *sir1-1* plants, no significant change was observed in the FW of root tissue in any of the treatments (Fig 4.8 B).
Figure 4.8 Fresh weights of shoot and root tissue after 72 h in each treatment. A: Fresh weights (FW) of shoot tissue of *Arabidopsis* WT (blue bars) and *sir1-1* (red bars). B: Fresh weights of root tissue of *Arabidopsis* WT (blue bars) and *sir1-1* (red bars). Error bars represent mean ±SEM of three biological replicates where each biological replicate comprises of tissues pooled from four individual plants. “★” indicates statistically significant (P<0.05) differential fresh weight as compared to control plants of the same genotype.
4.6.3 Relative transcript abundance of S and N-assimilation pathway genes in leaves and roots of Arabidopsis (WT and sir1-1) after 72 h of treatment

4.6.3.1 Relative expression in plants grown under the –S treatment

In the leaf tissue harvested from the Arabidopsis WT plants, relative transcript abundance of AtOAS-TL A, AtOAS-TL B, AtNR2 and AtNiR1 was significantly (p<0.05) down-regulated (Fig 4.9). There was no significant (p>0.05) difference in transcript abundance in any of the other genes investigated, when compared with leaves harvested from plants grown in control media (Fig 4.9).

In the leaf tissue harvested from the sir1-1 line plants subjected to –S treatment, there were no significant (p>0.05) changes observed in any of the gene transcripts examined (Fig 4.9).

In the root tissue harvested from Arabidopsis WT plants subjected to the –S treatment for 72 hours, the transcript abundance of AtAPS3, AtAPS4 and AtAPR2 was significantly (p<0.05) down regulated when compared to roots harvested from plants grown in control media for the same period of time. No change was observed in transcript abundance of the other genes investigated (Fig 4.10).

In the root tissue harvested from the sir1-1 line plants, the transcript levels of three genes AtSULTR1;1 AtAPS4 and AtNR2, were significantly (p<0.05) affected by the –S treatment with higher accumulation when compared to roots from control treatment. No significant change was observed in sir1-1 plants in any of the other genes investigated (Fig 4.11) (Fig 4.10).

4.6.3.2 Relative expression in plants grown under the –N treatment

In the leaf tissue harvested from Arabidopsis WT plants, the relative transcript abundance of AtAPS3, AtAPS4, AtAPR2, AtOAS-TL A, AtNR2 and ATNiR1 was significantly (p<0.05) down regulated when compared with Arabidopsis WT plants of the same age harvested from the control treatment. No significant change was observed in the transcript
abundance of *AtOAS-TL-B* when compared with *Arabidopsis* WT plants of the same age harvested from control treatment (Fig 4.9).

In the leaf tissue harvested from sir1-1 line plants subjected to the –N treatment for 72 hours, only two genes, *AtAPS4* and *AtAPR2*, showed significant (p<0.05) changes and both were down-regulated when compared with sir1-1 plants of the same age harvested from the control treatment (Fig 4.9).

In the root tissue harvested from the *Arabidopsis* WT plants subjected to the –N treatment for 72 hours, *AtNRT2;1* was the only significantly (p<0.05) up regulated gene when compared with roots from the plants grown under the control treatment. In contrast, transcript abundance of *ATAPS3*, *AtAPS4* *ATSIR1* and *AtOAS-TL B* were significantly (p<0.05) down regulated when compared with roots from plants grown under the control treatment (Fig 4.11) (Fig 4.10).

In the root tissue harvested from the sir1-1 plants subjected to the –N treatment for 72 hours, only *AtAPR2* transcripts were significantly (p<0.05) down regulated in comparison to roots from sir1-1 plants grown under the control treatment. The transcript abundance for *AtAPS4*, *AtOAS-TL B*, *AtSULTR1;1*, *AtNRT2;1* and *AtNR2* were amongst the significantly (p<0.05) up regulated genes (Fig 4.10) (Fig 4.11).

### 4.6.3.3 Relative expression in plants grown under the –S-N treatment

In the leaf tissue harvested from *Arabidopsis* WT plants subjected to the –S-N treatment for 72 hours, the relative transcript abundance of all the genes investigated in leaves namely, *AtAPS3*, *AtAPS4*, *AtAPR2*, *AtSiR1*, *AtOAS-TL A*, *AtOAS-TL B*, *AtNR2* from and *AtNiR1* were significantly (p<0.05) down regulated when compared with *Arabidopsis* WT plants of the same age harvested from the control treatment. Compared to the relative expression in leaves under the –N treatment, the transcript abundance of *AtAPS3* and *AtAPS4* were significantly (p<0.05) up regulated (Fig 4.9).

In the leaf tissue harvested from the sir1-1 plants, the relative transcript abundance of only *AtAPR2* was significantly (p<0.05) down regulated when compared to plants grown in the control treatment. However, in comparison to the relative expression of genes in the –N treatment, *AtAPS3* was significantly (p<0.05) down-regulated (Fig 4.9).
In the root tissue harvested from the *Arabidopsis* WT plants, and compared with roots harvested from plants in control treatment, only two gene transcript levels, *AtAPR2* and *AtSiR1*, were significantly (p<0.05) down regulated under the -S-N treatment. However, in comparison to roots from plants subjected to the –N treatment, transcripts levels of *AtAPS3*, *AtAPS4*, *ATOAS-TL A*, *AtSULTR1;1* and *AtNiR1* were significantly (p<0.05) up regulated (Fig 4.10) (Fig 4.11).

In the root tissue harvested from the sir1-1 plants, *AtAPS4* and *AtOAS-TL B* transcripts were significantly (p<0.05) up regulated when compared to roots from the sir1-1 plants grown in the control treatment. However, the transcript levels of *AtAPR2* were significantly (p<0.05) down regulated when compared to roots from sir1-1 plants grown under the control treatment (Fig 4.10).
Figure 4.9 Transcriptional changes of genes in the S and N-assimilation pathways in response to S and/or N depletion of leaves of Arabidopsis WT and the sir1-1 line. As indicated, the transcript level for each gene relative to housekeeping genes AtUBC9 and At2g32170 was determined by qRT-PCR. Error bars represent mean ±SEM, of three biological replicates where each biological replicate comprises of tissues pooled from four individual plants. "*" indicates statistically significant (P<0.05) differential expression as compared to control plants of the genotype. "•" indicates statistically significant (P<0.05) difference as compared to N-depleted treatment for the genotype. AtAPS- adenosine 5’-triphosphate sulfurylase, AtAPR2- adenosine 5’ phosphosulfate reductase 2, AtSiR1- sulfite reductase 1, AtOAS-TL A and B- O-acetylserine thiolyase A and B, AtNR2- nitrate reductase 2, AtNiR1- nitrite reductase 1.
Figure 4.10 Transcriptional changes of genes in the S and N-assimilation pathways in response to S and/or N depletion of roots of Arabidopsis WT and the sir1-1 line. As indicated, the transcript level for each gene relative to housekeeping genes AtUBC9 and At2g32170 was determined by qRT-PCR. Error bars represent mean, ±SEM, of three biological replicates where each biological replicate comprises of tissues pooled from four individual plants. “*” indicates statistically significant (P<0.05) differential expression as compared to control plants of the genotype. “•” indicates statistically significant (P<0.05) difference as compared to N-depleted treatment for the genotype. AtAPS- adenosine 5’-triphosphate sulfurylase, AtAPR2- adenosine 5’ phosphosulfate reductase 2, AtSiR1- sulfite reductase 1, AtOAS-TL A and B- O-acetylserine thiolyase A and B.
Figure 4.11 Transcriptional changes of genes in the S and N-assimilation pathways in response to S and/or N depletion of root of Arabidopsis WT and the sir1-1 line. As indicated, the transcript level for each gene relative to housekeeping genes AtUBC9 and At2g32170 was determined by qRT-PCR. Error bars represent mean, ±SEM, of three biological replicates where each biological replicate comprises of tissues pooled from four individual plants. “*” indicates statistically significant (P<0.05) differential expression as compared to control plants of the genotype. “•” indicates statistically significant (P<0.05) difference as compared to N-depleted treatment for the genotype. *AtSULTR1;1*- sulfate transporter 1;1, *AtNRT2;1*- nitrate transporter 2;1, *AtNR2*- nitrate reductase 2, *AtNiR1*- nitrite reductase.
4.7 Discussion

4.7.1 Recombinant AcSiR1 and AcNiR1 can reduce both sulfite and nitrite in vitro

In both micro-organisms as well as eukaryotes, S and N are taken up as SO$_4^{2-}$ and NO$_3^-$ respectively and are reduced by a series of enzyme-catalysed steps. A common feature in these pathways is the reduction of SO$_3^{2-}$ and NO$_2^-$ by very similar enzymes, sulfite reductase (SiR) and the nitrite reductase (NiR) (Krueger & Siegel, 1982). In higher plants, some enzyme redundancy, albeit with preferential reduction of either SO$_3^{2-}$ and NO$_2^-$, has been shown in purified plant extracts of spinach (Krueger & Siegel, 1982). As discussed in the previous chapter (see section 3), in A. cepa, a preferentially sulfur accumulating species, the S-assimilation pathway does show a dependency on NO$_3^-$ availability. Given the inhibition of the S-assimilation pathway genes in roots by NO$_3^-$ depletion (Fig 3.4), post-translation the enzyme redundancy between AcSiR1 and AcNiR1 could have a further important role during N or S stress, where either enzyme is recruited to provide an increased reduction of SO$_3^{2-}$ or NO$_2^-$. To test this hypothesis directly, recombinant AcSiR1 and AcNiR1 enzymes were used to establish functional redundancy. As discussed in the results, both genes were initially expressed without the plastidic signal sequence in the E. coli expression system. However, recombinant AcNiR1 did not show any activity for either NO$_2^-$ or SO$_3^{2-}$ as substrates. Following this, the putative signal sequence was included and an active recombinant AcNiR1 with functional redundancy with recombinant AcSiR1 was then obtained. An explanation for this may be that some of the amino acids immediately upstream of the predicted signal peptide cleavage site may be a part of the mature protein instead and so essential for correct folding and the activity. Following this, only the precursor protein was used to express recombinant AcNiR1. Recombinant AcSiR1 was active even without the signal peptide and was able to reduce both SO$_3^{2-}$ and NO$_2^-$ (Table 4.1). The recombinant enzymes showed higher specific activity for their physiological substrate with a reduced activity for the secondary substrate in each case. This indicates that although functional redundancy exists in vitro, the reduction of the secondary substrate in each case may be affinity dependent (Table 4.1). Studies done using purified SiR and NiR from spinach have shown similar results with SoSiR1 having a much lower K$_m$ for SO$_3^{2-}$, at $1.2 \times 10^{-2}$ mM, compared to 3.3 mM for NO$_2^-$. Similarly, for SoNiR1, the K$_m$ for NO$_2^-$ was determined at $1.3 \times 10^{-3}$ mM and at 0.8 mM for SO$_3^{2-}$ (Crane & Getzoff, 1996).
Although similar kinetic parameters for AcSiR1 and AcNiR1 were not calculated in this study, such studies would help elucidate the possibility of cross-talk under changing SO$_4^{2-}$ and NO$_2^-$, \textit{in vivo}.

As the recombinant enzymes can reduce both the substrates, \textit{in vitro}, the next step was to determine if this functional redundancy occurs as an additional point of interaction between the S and the N-assimilation pathway.

Any interaction between AcSir1 and AcNiR1 could be either indirect in the form of enzyme recruitment under S or N depletion to cope with additional requirement of reduced SO$_4^{2-}$ and NO$_2^-$, or a direct interaction in the form of protein-protein complex formation.

The direct interaction was tested by studying protein-protein interactions \textit{via} a solid phase binding assay and Isothermal Titration Calorimetry (ITC) (see section 2.4.4)

The indirect interaction was tested at the:

1) Transcriptional level, as changes in \textit{AcSiR1} relative expression under the –N treatment and \textit{AcNiR1} relative expression under the –S treatment in the leaves and roots of plants grown under the respective treatments in the factorial experiment (Figs 3.4, 3.6). A previously characterized \textit{Arabidopsis SiR} T-DNA insertion line \textit{sir1-1}, with reduced \textit{SiR} expression as well as activity (Khan et al., 2010), was also used to determine changes in \textit{AtNiR1} relative expression in response to short-term SO$_4^{2-}$ deprivation (Fig 4.9 H, 4.10 D).

2) Translational level, as AcSiR1 and AcNiR1 protein accumulation in plants grown under the –N and the –S treatment in the factorial experiment, respectively (Figs 4.3, 4.4 B).

3) Post translational level as changes in enzyme activity of AcSiR1 and AcNiR1 in the leaves and roots of plants grown under the –N and the –S treatment respectively in the factorial experiment (Figs 4.3, 4.4 B).
4.7.2 The Direct interaction: AcSiR1 and AcNiR1 interaction might be redox regulated in *A. cepa*

Complex formation between enzymes of the same or different pathways has been shown as a regulatory mechanism in plants. For instance, enzymes catalysing the steps of the Calvin cycle can form a multienzyme complex comprising of five different enzymes: ribose-phosphate isomerase, phosphoribulokinase, ribulose-bisphosphae carboxylase/oxygenase, phosphoglycerate kinase and glyceraldehylde-phosphate dehydrogenase (Gontero et al., 1988). The complex regulation of the Calvin cycle is also brought about by individual enzymes interacting with other proteins inside the chloroplast, thus inducing the de-activation of phosphoribulokinase through interaction with a nuclear encoded chloroplast protein CP12 which further interacts with NAD(P)H-glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase to form a tri-enzyme complex (Wedel et al., 1997). Protein-protein interactions also mediate various protective mechanisms in plants such as the interaction of heat-shock proteins with their target substrate proteins to ensure correct folding and activity of the substrate protein under elevated temperatures (Wang et al., 2004). Within the N and S-assimilation pathway, the cysteine synthase complex is one such well characterized example, and the regulatory mechanism of this has been explained in detail in the Introduction (see section 1.4.2.4). In *A. cepa*, AcATPS1 and AcAPSR1 have also been shown to form a complex in vitro (Cumming et al., 2007), although the significance of such a complex in the regulation of the pathway, in vivo, has not yet been tested. Given that AcSiR1 and AcNiR1 exhibit substrate redundancy and presumably are co-localized in the plastids due to the presence of plastid localization transit peptides as predicted in silico (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TargetP/), the idea of a complex formation between the two enzymes as a possible regulatory step for either or both assimilatory pathways is possible.

To test for any direct interaction between AcSiR1 and AcNiR1, a solid phase binding assay, performed as described in Cumming et al. (2007), led to an increase in absorbance when increasing amounts of recombinant AcSiR1 were incubated over a constant amount of bound recombinant AcNiR1. The saturation in absorbance increase was reached between 0.6-0.1ug suggesting a 1:1 stoichiometry, beyond which no increase in absorbance was measured (Fig 4.5) (see section 2.4.5). To check if AcNiR1 binds non-specifically to proteins, AcOASTL2 replaced AcSiR1 but no increase in absorbance was noted. This suggests that the binding observed between AcSiR1 and AcNiR1 was not due to any non-specific protein
interaction (Fig 4.6). Following this, a more sensitive measurement was conducted using ITC which is a tag free technique that measures the subtle changes occurring due to heat loss on mixing two biomolecules in solution, with respect to a reference cell with constant temperature (see section 2.4.4).

Although the solid phase suggested a positive interaction between AcSiR1 and AcNiR1, the ITC showed very little heat loss on mixing of recombinant AcNiR1 and AcSiR1 indicating no interaction (Fig 4.6).

The solid phase technique relies on adhering of the primary protein to the inert surface of the wells through hydrophobic interactions of the nonpolar amino acids (Biesiadecki & Jin, 2011). As such, there is a chance of non-specific binding of the secondary protein directly to the inadequately blocked regions of the polystyrene surface. However, a blocking step with a generic milk protein, casein, was included throughout the experiment to avoid any non-specific binding of the secondary protein to the wells. Another negative control with no primary protein added but with blocking of the complete well was also set up to test the efficiency of blocking. Here, absorbance was recorded at background level with no increase upon increasing the secondary protein suggesting that the increase seen in the test experiment is indeed due to some form of specific interaction between the AcSiR1 and AcNiR1. However under the conditions of the ITC procedure, no binding was observed between the two proteins. It is possible that adhering of AcNiR1 to the assay well somehow facilitated the binding to AcSiR1 in a specific manner, as AcOASTL2 did not show any binding under the same conditions. Both the solid phase and ITC experiments were repeated to confirm the results. To resolve this contradictory result, protocols leading to sample preparation were checked to see if there was any difference in buffer composition used in the two experiments. Interestingly, dithiothreitol (DTT) was used in the sample preparation for solid phase but not for ITC (see section 2.4.4). Although the implication of this difference still needs to be tested, it may be that the AcSiR1-AcNiR1 complex formation is redox regulated and the presence of DTT in solid phase experiments led to the required modification in either or both of the proteins resulting in a positive interaction.

Redox regulation of proteins by post-translational modification is an essential mechanism by which plants regulate metabolism and respond to an ever changing environment. This is mediated largely by reactive oxygen (ROS), reactive nitrogen (RNS) and reactive sulfur species (RSS) (Couturier, Chibani, Jacquot, & Rouhier, 2013). A new class of redox
regulation increasingly gaining importance in metabolic regulation is the cysteine based redox regulation mediated by the modification of key cysteine residues (Klomsiri et al., 2011). The sulfhydryl group of the cysteine residues present in proteins represents the most reduced state of sulfur in proteins, and has increasingly been found to be oxidized post-translationally leading to a change in catalytic activity or protein interaction (Klomsiri et al., 2011). A class of proteins found in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes, which is also involved in redox-regulation of proteins by reducing the disulfide-bridges present in certain cysteine containing proteins, is the glutaredoxin-theoredoxin family. In plants these proteins have been shown to post-translationally modulate the activity of many plastid localized membrane proteins including the protein translocon protein TIC55, the precursor NADPH:protochlorophyllide oxidoreductase translocon protein PTC52, and the lethal leaf spot protein LLS1 (Bartsch et al., 2008). Translation, in silico of the AcSiR1 and AcNiR1 sequences reveal the presence of 10 and 11 cysteine residues, respectively, four of which are conserved across species between SiR and NiR and are the point of ligation for the 4Fe-4S cluster (Crane & Getzoff, 1996). But other than these, five other cysteine residues are largely conserved amongst the NiRs and four amongst the SiRs (Appendix 5). Hence the possibility of a cysteine based thioredoxin-glutaredoxin mediated redox regulation cannot be completely ruled out. Thus a parallel experiment run with and without DTT using both solid phase assay and ITC could remove ambiguity and confirm the possibility of a redox-regulated interaction between recombinant AcSiR1 and AcNiR1. In the longer term, confirmation of the AcSiR1-AcNiR1 complex in vivo, by imaging techniques such as bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) or Förster resonance energy transfer imagining (FRET imaging) may also be possible (Truong & Ikura, 2001; Bracha-Drori et al., 2004).
4.7.3 The Indirect interaction: AcNiR1 might be recruited into the S pathway under long term NO$_3^-$ depletion in *A. cepa*

The expression, protein accumulation and activity pattern of AcSiR1 and AcNiR1 in the S x N factorial experiment was quite complex and has been summarised in Fig 4.12. In terms of enzyme recruitment in the S-assimilation pathway, AcNiR1 activity would be expected to increase to compensate for reduced AcSiR1 activity under a given treatment. Conversely, for recruitment into the N pathway, AcSiR1 activity would increase to compensate for reduction of AcNiR1 activity. However, it is equally possible that the enzyme recruitment may not be reflected in the enzyme activity as under a given treatment post translational modification in either AcSiR1 or AcNiR1 may alter the substrate affinity in favour of the secondary substrate, thus showing little or no change in activity for the primary substrate.

The transcriptional study showed that the relative expression of *AcSiR1* did not change significantly across the treatments in leaves at the pre-bulbing stage, with only a slight but significant decline in relative expression in the –S-N treatment. However, in the leaves from the bulbing stage, there was a significant reduction in the relative expression of *AcSiR1* in the –S treatment, with a trend towards a higher relative expression in the –N and the –S-N treatment (although, this was only significant for the -N treatment) (Fig 3.4). This may support the possibility of enzyme recruitment into the N pathway to compensate for reduced *AcNiR1* expression and activity. However, for the bulbing stage, this pattern of expression did not agree with the AcSiR1 activity and protein accumulation which was significantly (p<0.05) reduced under all three treatments when compared with the control. Interestingly, at the pre-bulbing stage in leaves, higher AcSiR1 accumulation was observed under the –N and the –S-N treatment, again indicating that this may contribute to nitrite reduction in the N pathway under N depletion. However, AcSiR1 activity declined in the –N treatment and was similar to control levels in the –S-N treatment. As discord between protein accumulation and enzyme activity could be a result of post-translational modification without altering the substrate specificity, it is hard to uncouple the changes in protein accumulation and activity from recruitment, from the factorial experiment alone. However, possible enzyme recruitment may occur in the S-assimilation pathway via AcNiR1, specifically in the roots at the bulbing stage, where AcSiR1 accumulation is below the limit of detection (under the –N treatment) or very low (under the –S-N treatment), but a
dramatic increase in AcSiR1 activity is observed (Fig 4.3 B). Under the same treatment in the roots, AcNiR1 accumulation is only slightly reduced at the pre-bulbing stage and higher at the bulbing stage. However, reduced activity is observed under both the treatments (Fig 4.4 B).

A future exploration of the correlation between AcSiR1 and AcNiR1 relative expression, protein accumulation and activity as well as post-transcriptional regulation possibly through yet unreported microRNA would help dissect the complexity of the transcription and translational pattern exhibited. In plants, S assimilation is regulated at the post transcriptional level by the interplay between the transcription factor SLIM1 and microRNA 395 (mR395) by regulating transcript abundance of ATPS and SULTR2;1 (Kawashima et al., 2011). However, neither SiR nor NiR have been reported as a target for either SLIM1 or mir395 suggesting that it is an unlikely explanation for this inconsistency between protein accumulation and enzyme activity.

In this thesis, the possibility of a cross-talk between AcSiR1 and AcNiR1 regulated by the increased demand for reduced cysteine or ammonia under limited S or N availability has been tested. However, given the cytotoxic nature of sulfite and nitrite, it is equally possible that under conditions of excess intercellular sulfite or nitrite, the SiR-NiR redundancy may operate as a rescue mechanism to scavenge sulfite/nitrite. In the S-assimilation pathway, one such scavenger, sulfite oxidase (Z. Xia et al., 2012), has been characterized in detail, but no such scavenger for nitrite other than nitrite reductase has yet been discovered in plants.
### Figure 4.12 AcSiR1 and AcNiR1 gene expression, protein accumulation and activity under the S x N factorial experiment.

Statistically significant (p<0.05) changes are depicted with colours (refer colour key). For protein accumulation, numbers indicate relative intensity as calculated by ImageJ software with accumulation in the control treatment being one.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AcSiR1-Leaf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-S</td>
<td>-N</td>
<td>-S-N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>2.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AcSiR1 Root</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-S</td>
<td>-N</td>
<td>-S-N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AcNiR1-Leaf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-S</td>
<td>-N</td>
<td>-S-N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AcNiR1-Root</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-S</td>
<td>-N</td>
<td>-S-N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legend:**
- Red: Significantly high
- Yellow: No change
- Green: Significantly low
- ND: Not determined
It is important to note that the activity assays are not enzyme specific, and rather measure the cysteine made per unit time or NO$_2^-$ reduced per unit time, and so it is hard to determine if the activity measured is solely that of AcSiR1 and AcNiR1 respectively. As the specific activity is much higher for a particular substrate in each case (Table 4.1), assays should be specific enough, although a change in substrate affinity due to post-translational modification cannot be ruled out. The inter-changeability of substrate affinity has been clearly illustrated in the work done by Nakayama et al (2000), where a single amino acid substitution at Arg-193 associated with SO$_4^{2-}$ binding in recombinant maize SiR (ZmSiR), led to the inability of the enzyme to reduce SO$_4^{2-}$ and a subsequent increase in affinity for NO$_3^-$ reduction. No studies so far have looked to see if the same is true for NiRs as well, but it does raise an interesting question, given the structural and functional similarities between SiRs and NiRs. Would a post-translational modification in one or more of the conserved amino acids associated with nitrite binding in NiRs similarly lead to an increase in SO$_4^{2-}$ affinity and reduction? Although there has been no direct evidence yet for post-translational modification of NiRs in plants, a large scale identification of proteins binding 14-3-3 proteins did reveal NiR as one of the putative partners as identified by yeast two hybrid screening (Shin et al., 2011). However, this could not be confirmed by immunoprecipitation experiments. 14-3-3 proteins regulate cellular processes by recognizing and binding to phosphoserine phosphorylated proteins (Muslin, Tanner, Allen, & Shaw, 1996). Large-scale identification of the rice and Arabidopsis proteome however, did not identify nitrite reductase as a phosphorylated protein (Nakagami et al., 2010) which may suggest that the 14-3-3 binding to NiR could be a false positive. Interestingly, phosphorylation of the both the subunits of the heterodimeric NiR in Candida utilis has been shown to modify the activity of the enzyme in vivo (Sengupta et al., 1997) suggesting the post-translational modification, leading to modified activity of AcNiR1, may also occur in plants but only under specific conditions. Apart from phosphorylation, other known means of post translational modification such as S-nitrosylation, sumoylation and ubiquitylation could also modify NiR activity in plants (Lindermayr & Durner, 2009; Miura & Hasegawa, 2010).

A post-translational mechanism might also explain the anomalous behaviour observed in A. cepa roots under the –N and the –S-N treatment where no AcSiR1 protein could be detected at the pre-bulbing stage, but a 3- to 4-fold higher activity was observed (Fig 4.3 B). The trend for AcSiR1 activity was the same at the bulbing stage with no protein being
detected under the \textit{–N} treatment, although protein accumulation was observed under the
\textit{–S-N} treatment, but this was less than what was measured in the control. Interestingly,
when AcNiR1 activity and accumulation was measured in the same tissue, a significant drop
was observed in AcNiR1 activity but not in protein accumulation (Fig 4.4 B). It is however
counter-intuitive to think that AcNiR1, the transcripts of which are highly down-regulated
under the \textit{–S-N} treatment, would be recruited into the S-pathway for \(\text{SO}_4^{2-}\) reduction under
the \textit{–S} and the \textit{–S-N} treatment. As shown by the severe down-regulation of \textit{AcHAST1;1LIKE1}
and \textit{AcAPSR1} and decline in methionine pools in the roots under the \textit{–N} and the \textit{–S-N}
treatment, the S-assimilation pathway is more severely affected by \(\text{NO}_3^-\) depletion
compared to \(\text{SO}_4^{2-}\) depletion and this may serve as a premise for post translational
modification in AcNiR1 leading to higher \(\text{SO}_4^{2-}\) reduction (Fig 3.4, 3.6 A, C) (Fig 3.19).

A more direct means to resolve the occurrence of interaction between AcSiR1 and AcNiR1
would be to knock-down the genes for either of the enzymes and then determine if the
expression, accumulation and activity of the other is affected, especially under limiting
\(\text{SO}_4^{2-}\) or \(\text{NO}_3^-\) conditions. Unfortunately, this could not be achieved for \textit{A. cepa}
due to unpredictability of transformation in \textit{A. cepa} and limitations of time.

However, a T-DNA insertion \textit{SiR1} knock-down line in \textit{Arabidopsis} \textit{(sir1-1)} was recently
characterized by Khan et al., 2010 and was kindly gifted by Dr. Markus Wirtz. This served as
a convenient tool to further explore if limited S-supply through reduced \textit{AtSiR1}
transcription and translation would lead to an up-regulation of \textit{AcNiR1} expression or
protein accumulation. As enzyme recruitment may be a transient process, a short-term S
and/or N deprivation factorial experiment was set up where post 5 weeks of growth in
complete media, plants were transferred into media lacking S, N and both S and N for 72
hours and then harvested as shoots and roots (section 2.1). At the transcriptional level, a 72
hour S-deprivation \textit{(-S)} treatment did not elevate \textit{AtNiR1} expression in the \textit{sir1-1} line, (Fig
4.9 H, 4.11 D). In fact, there was no significant \((p<0.05)\) change in \textit{AtNiR1} expression under
any treatment. In contrast, no up-regulation was seen in \textit{AcSiR1} transcripts under the \textit{–N}
treatment in the \textit{sir1-1} line (Fig 4.9 D). This suggests that any recruitment, if occurring at all,
is not transcriptionally regulated. As antibodies raised against AcNiR1 also cross-reacted
with AtNiR1, protein accumulation of AtNiR1 was also determined in WT as well as \textit{sir 1-1}
line under all four treatments (Appendix 12). Contrary to the \textit{AtNiR1} expression in the WT
plants, where transcripts were reduced significantly \((p<0.05)\) under all three treatments,
\textit{AtNiR1} protein accumulated in all three treatments \{S, -N and \textit{–S-N}\}, when compared to
accumulation in the control treatment, suggesting some form of post-transcriptional
regulation. However, in the sir1-1 plants, although no change was observed in the AtNiR1 expression, accumulation of protein declined under the –S and the –S-N treatment suggesting a SO₄²⁻ deprivation specific response. However, the consequence of this in terms of AcNiR1 activity could not be determined due to limited tissue available (Appendix 4).

Apart from AtSiR1 and AtNiR1, the relative expression of other genes involved in the S and N-assimilation pathway was also investigated. This included AtSULTR1;1, AtATPS3, AtAPS4, AtAPR2, AtOAS-TLA, AtOAS-TLB in the S-assimilation pathway and AtNRT2;1 and AtNR2 in the N-assimilation pathway. Interestingly, the impact of S-deprivation was not very significant on the WT plants as AtSULTR1;1 and AtAPR2 transcripts did not show any up-regulation under the experimental conditions (Fig 4.9 C, Fig 4.11 A). However, a small but significant increase was observed in the AtSULTR1;1 transcripts in the roots, under the –S-N treatment (Fig 4.11 A). This is different to the expression profile observed in experiments done by Hirai et al., 2003 where three week old Arabidopsis plants were deprived of S for 48 h. This may be explained by the differences in the experimental setup between the two studies. In experiments done by Hirai et al., plants were grown for three weeks under long day conditions, whereas in this study Arabidopsis plants were grown under short-day conditions for five weeks before the onset of treatment. This difference in age and day-length may explain the dampened effect of S-deprivation. Having said that, Rouached et al. (2008) investigated the regulation of AtSULTR1;1 and AtSULTR1;2 under varying abiotic stresses and showed that AtSULTR1;1 transcripts accumulated approximately 4-fold in the first 72 hours of S-deprivation (Fig 4.11 A). The experimental conditions described in the paper are similar to those used in this study, but the experiment was conducted hydroponically whereas in this study a mixture of vermiculite: perlite mixture was used (Fig 4.7) (Section 2.1.2). Although care was taken to wash the vermiculite twice with the respective treatment media, it is possible that not all the media was completely replaced and so some S may still have been available to the plants. Interestingly, the sir1-1 line did seem to respond more rapidly when compared to WT plants in all the treatments, as AtSULTR1;1 was highly up-regulated in all the three treatments. However, under the –S treatment, this effect was not evident down-stream as no change was observed in the transcripts of AtAPS3, AtAPS4, AtAPR2 and AtSiR1 in the leaf tissue (Fig 4.11 A, Fig 4.9 A, B, C). Accumulation of OAS on S-deprivation positively regulates the response of AtSULTR1;1 and AtAPR2. This response might be dependent on a threshold accumulation of OAS, beyond which AtSULTR1;1 and AtAPR are up-regulated. In sir1-1 plants, as OAS accumulates even under sulfur sufficient conditions due to low AtSiR1 activity, it may already be at the
threshold limit and is therefore more sensitive to sulfate deprivation. However, there is no consequent up-regulation of AtAPR2 implying that the excess of sulfate may be directed to the PAPS pathway via AtAPSK (Fig 4.9 C). Measuring the expression of other AtAPR as well as AtAPSK via qRT-PCR would confirm if excess sulfate being taken up is being diverted to PAPS synthesis and then conversion to glucosinolates.

Although the results from the study do not establish conclusively if the interaction between SiR and NiR occurs in vivo, it does provide a basis for speculation as to how this interaction might work. Whether it would have any regulatory function in the S or N pathway under nutrient stress is yet to be investigated.
Chapter 5: General Discussion

The main objective of this thesis was to determine the extent of interdependency between the S and N-assimilation pathways at the transcriptional and the metabolite level by quantifying the expression of key genes, accumulation and activity of specific enzymes and accumulation of metabolites under S and/or N limiting conditions. A secondary objective of this thesis was to explore the specific cross-talk between the S and N-assimilation pathways as a direct or indirect interaction between AcSiR1 and AcNiR1.

The up-regulation of the transcript abundance of the genes associated with S-assimilation pathway genes in both the root and the shoot tissue under the –S treatment was observed to be dependent on NO₃⁻ availability (Fig 3.4-3.7). Moreover, the transcriptional up-regulation of the S-assimilation pathway was not dependent on OAS accumulation (Fig 3.19), suggesting a disconnect in the feed-back inhibition of the pathway commonly present in other plant species studied so far (Nakamura et al., 1999; Urano et al., 2000; Berkowitz et al., 2002).

Masking of this S limitation response via inhibition of up-regulation of the S-starvation marker genes AcHAST1;1LIKE1 and AcAPSR1 was also observed under the –S-N treatment in the roots which subsequently also led to a decline in S-containing metabolites in the leaf tissue as well as the pseudo-stem/bulb tissue(Fig 3.4-3.7; 3.19)(fig 3.17-18). The N-assimilation pathway was also affected by S limitation which was reflected in the exclusive amino acid accumulation in the pseudo-stem and bulb (Table 3.3-3.4).

A more specific investigation with regards to cross-talk between the S and N-assimilation pathway was also undertaken by measuring the redundancy and interaction between AcSiR1 and AcNiR1, in vitro. Both the recombinant enzymes were found to be functionally redundant with a higher specific activity for the physiological substrate in each case (Table 4.1). This corroborated the premise for enzyme recruitment between the S and N pathway under high reduced N or S demand. A direct interaction between the two recombinant proteins, however, was supported by the solid phase binding assay but not by ITC (Fig 4.5-4.6). This suggests that even the functional redundancy between AcSiR1 and AcNiR1 may lead to an indirect interaction between the S and N-assimilation pathway but not necessarily through a direct interaction between the two proteins.
Thus based on the results described in this thesis, a tentative model of S and N interactions in *A. cepa* under S or N limiting conditions has been proposed. The model suggests a feed-forward mechanism for cysteine accumulation which is driven by the N-assimilation pathway through a feedback insensitive/less sensitive SAT. There are a lot of known factors regulating the pathway such as microRNA395, transcription factor *SLIM1* and *HY5* and other *MYB* factors (Jonassen et al., 2008; Kawashima et al., 2011) that have not been added to the model for the sake of simplicity. The interactions proposed in the pathway may well be regulated by these factors. However, the extent of their regulation in *A. cepa* still needs to be determined.
Figure 5.1 Proposed model of the putative interactions between the S and N-assimilation pathways in A. cepa root and shoot under S limiting conditions. Higher relative accumulation of genes and metabolites is indicated in red and lower relative accumulation in green. Increased flux in a preferential direction is indicated by red arrow and decline by green. The proposed interactions at the transcriptional level are indicated in black discontinuous lines with the arrow indicating the direction of regulation. The proposed interactions at the enzyme activity level are indicated in blue discontinuous lines. Interaction lines ending in ( - ) indicates disruption in interaction.
Figure 5.2 Proposed model of the putative interactions between the S and N-assimilation pathways in *A. cepa* root and shoot under N limiting conditions. Higher relative accumulation of genes and metabolites is indicated in red and lower relative accumulation in green. Increased flux in a preferential direction is indicated by red arrow and decline by green. The proposed interactions at the transcriptional level are indicated in black discontinuous lines with the arrow indicating the direction of regulation. The proposed interactions at the enzyme activity level are indicated in blue discontinuous lines. Interaction lines ending in (-) Indicates disruption in interaction.
The proposed model (Fig 5.1, 5.2) describes the possibility of a feed-forward regulation, not under the regulation of OAS. However, SAT which forms a complex with OASTL, is also feedback regulated by cysteine, thus inhibiting the formation of excess OAS under non S-limiting conditions where otherwise a higher flux to cysteine would be achieved (Hawkesford & De Kok, 2006). As described by Hawkesford et al. (2006) under such a mechanism, no OAS accumulation would occur. Indeed no OAS accumulation was observed in the S x N factorial experiment under any of the treatments (Fig 3.19). Therefore, the feed-forward mechanism for cysteine biosynthesis predicts the existence of a cysteine insensitive or less sensitive SAT isoform. Interestingly, a SAT isoform has already been characterized for A. tuberosum which exhibits much less sensitivity to inhibition by cysteine (49.7 μM for 50% inhibition) compared to other plant species studied so far (ca. 5 μM) (Urano et al., 2000). Given that A. tuberosum species accumulates a much higher level of cysteine compared to other species such as Arabidopsis and tobacco, the SAT isoform characterized might be responsible for the high accumulation (Urano et al., 2000).

In A. cepa, one SAT isoform has been characterized to date which shares 70% identity with SAT5 from Arabidopsis and 97% identities with SAT1 from A. tuberosum (McManus et al., 2005). However, a cysteine inhibition assay showed 50% inhibition of activity at 3.1 μM cysteine for the A. cepa isoform, which is in a similar range as other feed-back sensitive SATs in other plant species (Urano et al., 2000). This indicates that there must be other less cysteine sensitive isoform(s) of SAT present in A. cepa genome. Indeed, screening the available Allium cepa CUDHLF2_13740 transcriptome assembly against the A. tuberosum SAT5 in the NCBI database revealed some potential candidates (Accession no: GBR001027229 and GBRN01068012) that can be further characterized to test cysteine inhibition dependency.

The apparent dependency of S assimilation on N availability also raises some interesting questions and speculations regarding the domestication history of this ancient crop. There is no clear indication as to when exactly domestication of A. cepa began, but its mention in the recorded history dates back to over 4000 years ago making it one of the earliest vegetables to be domesticated (Fritsch & Friesen, 2002). Over the past 4000 years, A. cepa has been extensively selected for bigger bulbs with more flavour, which at the biochemical level translates to an S-assimilation pathway with higher flux for cysteine and cysteine derived sulfoxides. However, the flavour precursors in A. cepa not only have sulfur, but also
nitrogen as a constituent. Therefore it is worth speculating if by selecting for bulbs rich in S and N containing flavour precursors, A. cepa has also been indirectly selected for an increasingly N-dependent S-assimilation pathway? Added to this, the increasing use of N-fertilizers in farming practices would have only reinforced this selection towards greater N-dependency and subsequently, lower N use efficiency. Indeed, an extensive study done by Vitousek et al., (1997), suggested that the increasing use of fossil fuels and N-fertilization in agriculture has led to an alteration of the natural N cycle leading in part to an accelerated loss of plant species adapted to efficient use of nitrogen (Vitousek & Aber, 1997). Other independent studies conducted across the globe, as summarized by Berendse et al have also found a negative correlation between N load and species diversity with more nitrophilous species replacing the more diverse N efficient species such as seen in the composition of herb layer in the forests of Central Europe and southern Sweden (Berendse et al., 1993).

Ideally, a comparison with ancestral parental species or wild un-cultivated relatives of A. cepa would reveal differences, if present, in the S-assimilation pathway regulation. Unfortunately, the evolutionary lineage of A. cepa is still highly debated and over collecting of the wild species has led to a severe loss of the wild relatives of this species. However, the subgenera Amerallium and Melanocrommyum are considered to be more ancient lines (Reinhard M Fritsch & Friesen, 2002) and may hold clues to the effect of domestication of the S-assimilation pathway of A. cepa and other commercially important members of this genus such as garlic, chives, leeks and bunching onions.

More work characterising the SAT-binding domain deficient OASTL (OASTL1), along with SAT-binding OASTL2 and OASTL3 is underway to determine the localization of these proteins as well as the role of SAT-binding domain OASTL in cysteine synthesis. A preliminary screening of Allium cepa CUDHLF2_13740 transcriptome assembly has revealed putative members of the SAT family, some of which are highly similar to the cysteine resistant A. tuberosum SAT. These would be further characterized to establish their sensitivity to cysteine and subsequent role in feed-forward mechanism (McManus et al, unpublished).
Tissues from the S x N factorial experiment were also used to generate an untargeted metabolome. This is currently being analysed to further resolve the intricacy of S and N interactions, along with mapping a global picture of different tissues in *A. cepa* under S and/or N depleted conditions and response to bulbing. Preliminary screening of the untargeted metabolome has shown the accumulation of a number of known beneficial bioactives and so more work cataloguing these nutritionally important metabolites and changes in their accumulation under S and/or N depletion would be done.

To resolve the SiR-NiR interaction, preliminary experiments will be done to understand the effect of DTT as a reducing agent on the protein-protein interaction. As AcNiR1 specific anti-body is now available, specificity of the interaction with increasing recombinant AcNiR1 incubation over bound recombinant AcSiR1 also needs to be determined. Fluorescence tag based interaction assay, may also be done to test for direct interaction *in vivo*. Kinetic parameters and substrate specificity of recombinant AcSiR1 and AcNiR1 for both sulfite and nitrite also need to be determine
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Appendices

Appendix 1: Full protein sequence alignment for AcSiR1 and AcNiR1 using the PROMALS server (http://prodata.swmed.edu/promals/promals.php). The blue denotes conserved $\alpha$-helix and the red colour denotes conserved $\beta$ sheet. Green lines denote the predicted transit peptide for each sequence.
Appendix 2: CLUSTAL alignment of SiR and NiR sequences from various monocots and dicots showing conserved residues (highlighted in red box) and conserved secondary structure designated as consensus_ss. In the secondary structure, the blue colour and letter e stands for \( \alpha \)-helix and the red colour and letter h for \( \beta \) sheet. The sequences are grouped into two based on sequence similarity with the group representative sequence ID in magenta.
# Appendix 3: Sequences of primers used for amplification of AcSiR1 and AcNiR1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer name</th>
<th>Forward</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiR-F</td>
<td>ATGGAAGCGATGGCGGCGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiR-R</td>
<td>TTAATTTTAGAACTATCCCACGTGCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIRmature-F</td>
<td>GTTATTACGGCTGTAGCTGTTCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiR3′RACE-F1</td>
<td>TGTCCTAATAGTTGCAGGGCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiR3′RACE-F2</td>
<td>ATATTGGGTATGAGGTGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiR-mature-F</td>
<td>GTCGAAGAAAGGGAAGGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIR-RT-Reverse</td>
<td>CAAACCTCTCGACCAAATAT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Appendix 4: Sequences of primers used for q-RT-PCR (A. cepa)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Forward</th>
<th>Reverse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cyclophilin</td>
<td>CAAACGCTGGACCTGGAAC</td>
<td>TCTCAATGGCTCGCACAAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>αTubulin</td>
<td>GATAAACTACCAGCCTCCGA</td>
<td>CAAACACTTCACGCCACACTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AcHAST1;1LIKE1</td>
<td>ACCTGTCACTGATATAGACACC</td>
<td>CATCACGCCTCATCAAICT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AcATPS1</td>
<td>CTGAGTTCTCTCAAACACTTCA</td>
<td>ACAAGAAAAACCTTTCTCTCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AcAPSR1</td>
<td>CGACTCAAAAGACAGCACC</td>
<td>GCTCTCCATAACCTGACAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AcAPSK1</td>
<td>CATACAGAAGAGACCGTGATGC</td>
<td>GTTCAATGGTTGCTCATAGGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AcSiR1</td>
<td>CTCTGCCTCTATGTCACTA</td>
<td>GCCATCAACAACAAACCTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AcSOX1</td>
<td>GCTGAGACAGGCAAACATC</td>
<td>CAGGAACAACAACAGCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AcOASTL1</td>
<td>CGAAAGAAGGATGGTTGCTC</td>
<td>GTGTAAGTTGTTCAGGGTTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AcOASTL2</td>
<td>TGACGCCTACCAGAAAGCAA</td>
<td>ATAACCCCTTTGAAAGTGCCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AcOASTL3</td>
<td>CCTACAAGCGGTAAACACG</td>
<td>GCAACCTTTGAT TCCCAATAA T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AcNRT2;1</td>
<td>CCAAATAGGGAAGTGAATGAAATG</td>
<td>CATTGCTCACACCATGTATCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AcNR1</td>
<td>CGTTGTTTATGCGAACC</td>
<td>TATCCTAGACCCACCCAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AcNiR1</td>
<td>ATCAACAACAGCGAGCAGACT</td>
<td>TCCATAATCTAGCAGACCATCAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AcFT4</td>
<td>TGAAATAGGAGGTACCAAAGAAT</td>
<td>TCCCGAAACTACCATCCATATTTTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AcCyclophilin</td>
<td>CAAACGCTGGACCTGGAAC</td>
<td>TCTCAATGGCTCGCACAAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AcαTubulin</td>
<td>GATAAACTACCAGCCTCCGA</td>
<td>CAAACACTTCACGCCACACTC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 5: Sequences of primers used for q-RT-PCR (A. thaliana)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Forward</th>
<th>Reverse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At2G32170</td>
<td>ATCGAGCTAAGTTTGGAGGATGTAA</td>
<td>TCTCGATCACAACCCAAAAATG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AtUBC9</td>
<td>TCACAATTCCAAGGTGCTGC</td>
<td>TATCTGGTTTGAGATCCTGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AtSULTR1;1</td>
<td>TGGTGGAATTGGCAAACTA</td>
<td>GACTCCTTTATTCAGCACG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AtAPS3</td>
<td>GCGGCGGATTTGCGAGAGT</td>
<td>CCCACGAAGGAGGACTAGCCAAAAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AtAPS4</td>
<td>AGCGAAGGCTGGGCAAGTCC</td>
<td>AGCGTCTTCAAGGCGGGAAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AtAPR2</td>
<td>AAAAGAGCTTCCACAGGCTAT</td>
<td>GAGATTTGGATTCATGTTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AtSiR1</td>
<td>GGGATCCCCACGACTTCTAAA</td>
<td>CTCTAGCCAAACCTGATAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AtOAS-TL1</td>
<td>ACAGAACCGAAAACGCTCAAGCTG</td>
<td>GCTTCCCACCGGATAATAGCAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATOAS-TL2</td>
<td>AGGAAGGCCTGGGCAAGGGGTTGGT</td>
<td>CTGGGAAAGCTGTTACGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AtNRT2;1</td>
<td>CCATGGGAGGAATGGGAGCAGGCCTG</td>
<td>GTGGGAGCTTCAAGTGAACCTGTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AtNR2</td>
<td>AACTCGCCGACGAAGAAGGTTGG</td>
<td>GGGTTGTGAAAAGCGTTGATGGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AtNiR1</td>
<td>CAACCCTCAGAAAAACAGAA</td>
<td>TTCTGTCTTGAGGGTTGAC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix 6: Sequences of primers used for genome walking for AcNiR1 amplification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer name</th>
<th>Forward</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GM1 (with NA46)</td>
<td>TGGTCAAGCCTGTCTTCGCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM3 (with NA47)</td>
<td>GCTAGGAATTTTGAGGAGAG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 7: Plasmid Vector map for p-GEM®-T EASY and p-GEX-6-P3
### Appendix 8: Sequences of primers used for pGEX cloning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer name</th>
<th>Forward</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pGEX-SiR-F</td>
<td>GACGACGAATTCATTGGAAGCGATGGCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGEX-SiR-R</td>
<td>GACGACGAATTCTTAATTTTTAGAACTATCCCCTTGCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGEX-NiR-F</td>
<td>GACGACGGATCCATGTCTCATCTCCTCTCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGEX-NiR-R</td>
<td>GACGACCGGCGGCGGCTTATCATCGTTCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGEX-NiR-2F (mature)</td>
<td>GACGACGGATCCGTCGAAGAAAGGAAGGA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix 9: Gene accession numbers for *A. cepa*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Accession no.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>AcHAST1;1LIKE1</em></td>
<td>AF458090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>AcATPS1</em></td>
<td>AF403295.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>AcAPSR1</em></td>
<td>AF212155.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>AcAPSK1</em></td>
<td>JR848333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>AcSiR1</em></td>
<td>JX020763.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>AcSOX1</em></td>
<td>JR845533.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>AcOASTL1</em></td>
<td>GBGJ01075644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>AcOASTL2</em></td>
<td>GBRO01036168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>AcOASTL3</em></td>
<td>GAAO01016265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>AcNRT2;1LIKE1</em></td>
<td>GAAO01014042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>AcNR1</em></td>
<td>GBGJ01079536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>AcNiR1</em></td>
<td>GBGJ01123998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>AαTubulin</em></td>
<td>JR845462.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 10: Heatmap of changes in response to the S x N treatments in the factorial experiment in 28 volatile metabolites identified in *A. cepa* leaf and bulb tissue. Values are calculated relative to control using the Metaboanalyst 2.0 online metabolomics data analysis suite.
Appendix 11: Raw Targeted metabolomics and volatile quantification data (refer CD attached) A: Bar plots denoting intensity of accumulation of targeted metabolites in leaf (L), pseudostem/bulb (B) and root (R) at pre-bulbing (Y) and bulbing stage (M). B: Raw data for targeted metabolomics detailing intensity of accumulation for each metabolite in different tissues at pre-bulbing and bulbing stage along with treatment key and KEGG ID list for the targeted metabolome. C: List of metabolites differing significantly (p<0.05) in intensity of accumulation when compared to control treatment for each tissue at pre-bulbing and bulbing stage. The list was subsequently used to generate Venn diagrams using BioVenn application.

Appendix 12: Protein accumulation of AtSiR1 and AtNiR1 in the WT and sir1-1 line of in leaf tissue as revealed by western analyses using anti-AtSiR1 and anti-AcNiR1 antibody respectively. Relative protein accumulation values are indicated as calculated by ImageJ software.
Appendix 13: Gene accession number for *A. thaliana*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Forward</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At2G32170</td>
<td>NM_179854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AtUBC9</td>
<td>NM_179131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AtSULTR1;1</td>
<td>NM_116931.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AtAPS3</td>
<td>U59738.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AtAPS4</td>
<td>AF110407.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AtAPR2</td>
<td>AF016283.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AtSiR1</td>
<td>NM_120541.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AtOAS-TL1</td>
<td>NM_117574.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATOAS-TL2</td>
<td>NM_001202816.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AtNRT2;1</td>
<td>NM_100684.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AtNR2</td>
<td>NM_103364.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AtNiR1</td>
<td>NM_127123.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>