

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**An investigation of nutrition risk
among hospitalised adults of advanced
age admitted to the AT&R wards at
North Shore and Waitakere Hospitals**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of
the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science
in
Nutrition and Dietetics

at Massey University, Albany
New Zealand

Amy Popman
2015

Abstract

Background: In line with the global trend of an ageing population, the number and proportion of New Zealanders aged 65 years and older is increasing. Those of advanced age (85 years and older) make up the fastest-growing demographic group within the aging population. In coming years it is projected almost a quarter of older adults in New Zealand will be aged 85 years and older. Advanced age adults are at an increased risk of poor nutrition status. Optimising nutritional wellbeing in advanced age is important as nutrition risk has been associated with longer hospital admissions, loss of independence due to disability and the need for a higher level of care.

Aim: The aim of this study was to establish the prevalence of nutrition risk among adults of advanced age (85 years and older) recently admitted to the Admission, Treatment and Rehabilitation (AT&R) wards at North Shore and Waitakere Hospitals.

Method: Participants were recruited into this cross-sectional study within five days of admission to the AT&R wards at North Shore and Waitakere Hospitals. Sociodemographic and health characteristics were established using an interviewer administered questionnaire. Anthropometric measures including body mass, muscle mass, and muscle strength were also taken. Nutrition risk was assessed using a validated screening tool, the Mini Nutritional Assessment-Short Form (MNA-SF). The validated 10-item Eating Assessment Tool was used to assess dysphagia risk and the validated Montreal Cognitive Assessment was used to determine level of cognition. Data were analysed using descriptive statistics. Pearson Chi-Square and Fisher's Exact tests were used to examine differences between MNA-SF nutrition status groups. A p -value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results: Of the 88 participants, 43.2% were at high risk of malnutrition and 28.4% were malnourished. The majority of malnourished participants were widowed (64.0%), received the pension as their only source of income (76.0%), were taking more than five medications (76.0%), wore dentures (64%), had below normal cognitive function (92.3%), received regular support services (72.0%), and required daily help (76.0%). Participants who were malnourished were significantly more likely to be at risk of

dysphagia (52.0%, $p=0.015$),). The MNA-SF score was positively correlated with body mass index ($r=0.484$, $p<0.001$); grip strength in the dominant hand ($r=0.250$, $p=0.026$), and negatively correlated with dysphagia risk score ($r=-0.383$, $p<0.001$).

Conclusion: Nutrition risk and malnutrition is highly prevalent among hospitalised adults of advanced age. Ensuring routine nutrition screening is carried out on admission to an AT&R ward is an important first step to identify those at nutrition risk. These findings also highlight the importance of screening for dysphagia risk alongside nutrition risk among advanced age adults. Screening on admission to hospital can help to identify those in need of further assessment and can help to shape the interventions to improve nutrition status.

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my sincere gratitude and appreciation to numerous people for their support while I was undertaking this research. Firstly, I would like to acknowledge the 88 participants involved in this study. Thank you for giving me insight into your lives, without your participation this study would not have been possible.

Dr Carol Wham, my academic supervisor, thank you for the continued support and encouragement over the past two years. Your extensive knowledge and passion for gerontology nutrition has fuelled my interest in the field, and for this I am truly grateful. I am also very thankful to Dr Marilize Richter for providing me with invaluable reassurance and statistical guidance.

A big thank you goes out to Owen Mugridge and PC Tong for organising the equipment required for completing this research. I would also like to thank Darshan Patel and Stacey King for all of your effort in helping me to recruit participants.

To Dr Jacqueline Allen, Dr Cheryl Johnson, Teresa Stanbrook, and the rest of the team at Waitemata DHB, thank you for allowing this study to take place.

The biggest thank you goes out to my family and friends for the unconditional love and support you have given to me. Mum and dad, I love you and I hope what I have achieved makes you proud. To Vince, thank you for teaching me to believe in myself. To my friends, thank you for the amazing adventures which took my mind off the overwhelming task of completing this research.

Dedication

This thesis is dedicated to my brother Andrew who taught me to never give up and inspired me to do great things.

I love you buddy.

02/05/1989 - 18/01/2012

Table of Contents

ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
DEDICATION	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	v
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF APPENDICES	xi
ABBREVIATIONS	xii
CHAPTER 1.0 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 BACKGROUND	1
1.2 PURPOSE OF THE STUDY	4
1.3 AIM AND OBJECTIVES.....	4
1.3.1 AIM	4
1.3.2 OBJECTIVES.....	4
1.4 THESIS STRUCTURE	5
1.5 RESEARCHERS' CONTRIBUTIONS.....	5
CHAPTER 2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 AGEING IN NEW ZEALAND.....	6
2.1.1 AGEING IN THE WAITEMATA DISTRICT HEALTH BOARD CATCHMENT.....	7
2.1.2 HEALTH CARE SERVICE AND COST IMPLICATIONS.....	8
2.1.3 HEALTH OF OLDER PEOPLE STRATEGY	9
2.2 HEALTH OF OLDER ADULTS.....	10
2.2.1 CHRONIC DISEASE	10
2.2.2 BODY COMPOSITION CHANGES WITH AGE	12
2.2.2.1 SARCOPENIA.....	12
2.2.2.1.1 MEASURING MUSCLE MASS	14
2.2.2.1.2 MEASURING MUSCLE STRENGTH	15
2.2.2.2 FALLS, RELATED FRACTURES AND OSTEOPOROSIS.....	16

2.2.3 MENTAL HEALTH	18
2.2.3.1 MOOD AND ANXIETY DISORDERS	18
2.2.3.2 COGNITIVE CHANGES WITH AGE	19
2.2.3.2.1 DEMENTIA.....	20
2.2.3.2.2 MEASURING LEVEL OF COGNITION	20
2.2.4 PERCEIVED STATE OF HEALTH.....	22
2.3 NUTRITIONAL HEALTH OF OLDER ADULTS	22
2.3.1 NUTRITIONAL REQUIREMENTS OF OLDER ADULTS	23
2.3.1.1 ENERGY	23
2.3.1.2 MACRONUTRIENTS	24
2.3.1.2.1 PROTEIN	24
2.3.1.2.2 FAT	25
2.3.1.2.3 CARBOHYDRATE.....	25
2.3.1.3 MICRONUTRIENTS.....	26
2.3.1.3.1 IRON	26
2.3.1.3.2 ZINC.....	26
2.3.1.3.3 SELENIUM.....	27
2.3.1.3.4 CALCIUM	28
2.3.1.3.5 VITAMIN D.....	28
2.3.1.3.6 VITAMIN C.....	29
2.3.2 MALNUTRITION.....	29
2.3.2.1 OVER-NUTRITION	29
2.3.2.2 UNDER-NUTRITION	30
2.3.3 NUTRITION SCREENING AND TOOLS.....	31
2.3.3.1 THE MINI NUTRITIONAL ASSESSMENT	32
2.3.3.1.1 THE MINI NUTRITIONAL ASSESSMENT-SHORT FORM	32
2.3.4 NUTRITION RISK IN HOSPITALISED OLDER ADULTS	33
2.3.5 PREVALENCE OF NUTRITION RISK IN NEW ZEALAND	34
2.4 FACTORS AFFECTING THE NUTRITION STATUS OF OLDER ADULTS.....	38
2.4.1 SOCIODEMOGRAPHIC INFLUENCES	39
2.4.1.1 MARITAL STATUS AND LIVING ARRANGEMENT	39
2.4.1.2 SOURCED INCOME	40

2.4.1.3 EDUCATION LEVEL.....	41
2.4.2 HEALTH INFLUENCES.....	41
2.4.2.1 POLYPHARMACY	41
2.4.2.2 ORAL HEALTH	42
2.4.2.3 SWALLOWING IMPAIRMENT	43
2.4.2.3.1 SCREENING FOR DYSPHAGIA	44
2.4.2.4 SENSORY CHANGES	45
2.5 SUMMARY	45
CHAPTER 3.0 METHODS	47
3.1 STUDY DESIGN	47
3.2 ETHICS APPROVAL.....	47
3.3 SETTING	47
3.4 PARTICIPANTS.....	47
3.4.1 PARTICIPANT RECRUITMENT	48
3.5 ANTHROPOMETRIC MEASURES	49
3.5.1 WEIGHT	49
3.5.2 HEIGHT	49
3.5.3 BODY MASS INDEX	50
3.5.4 MUSCLE MASS.....	50
3.5.5 MUSCLE STRENGTH.....	51
3.6 QUESTIONNAIRE	51
3.6.1 DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS	51
3.6.2 MINI NUTRITIONAL ASSESSMENT-SHORT FORM.....	53
3.6.3 10-ITEM EATING ASSESSMENT TOOL.....	53
3.6.4 MONTREAL COGNITIVE ASSESSMENT.....	54
3.7 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS	54
CHAPTER 4.0 RESULTS.....	55
4.1 PARTICIPANT SOCIODEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS	55
4.2 PARTICIPANT ANTHROPOMETRIC CHARACTERISTICS	56
4.3 PARTICIPANT HEALTH CHARACTERISTICS.....	57

4.4 PARTICIPANT SOCIAL SUPPORT CHARACTERISTICS	60
4.5 DYSPHAGIA RISK STATUS	60
4.6 NUTRITION RISK STATUS.....	61
4.7 FACTORS RELATED TO NUTRITION RISK.....	63
CHAPTER 5.0 DISCUSSION	67
CHAPTER 6.0 CONCLUSION.....	77
6.1 SUMMARY OF THE STUDY.....	77
6.2 CONCLUSION	78
6.3 STRENGTHS	78
6.4 LIMITATIONS	79
6.5 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE STUDIES	81
REFERENCES.....	82
APPENDICIES.....	116

List of Tables

TABLE 1.1 Researchers' Contributions	5
TABLE 2.1 Objectives of the Health of Older People Strategy (Ministry of Health, 2003).....	10
TABLE 2.2 Nutrition Screening Tools for Hospitalised Older Adults	36
TABLE 2.3 Prevalence of Nutrition Risk among Older Adults in New Zealand.....	38
TABLE 3.1 WHO International BMI Classification.....	50
TABLE 4.1 Participant Sociodemographic Characteristics.....	55
TABLE 4.2 Participant Anthropometric Characteristics	57
TABLE 4.3 Health Conditions Experienced by Participants	57
TABLE 4.4 Prescribed Medications Taken by Participants	58
TABLE 4.5 Dental Status of Participants.....	59
TABLE 4.6 Cognitive Function of Participants Determined by the MoCA.....	60
TABLE 4.7 Support Services Received by Participants.....	60
TABLE 4.8 Daily Help Required by Participants.....	60
TABLE 4.9 Dysphagia Risk Status of Participants Determined by the EAT-10.....	61
TABLE 4.10 Nutrition Risk Status of Participants Determined by the MNA-SF	61
TABLE 4.11 MNA-SF Questionnaire Item Scores.....	63
TABLE 4.12 Nutrition Risk Status and Participant Sociodemographic, Health, and Support Service Risk Factors	64
TABLE 4.13 One-Way ANOVA Comparison of Nutrition Risk Status and Participant Characteristics	66
TABLE 4.14 Correlations between Nutrition Risk Status and Participant Characteristics	66

List of Figures

FIGURE 2.1 New Zealand Population Aged 65 Years and Older, 1981-2068 (Statistics New Zealand, 2015)	6
FIGURE 2.2 Factors Affecting the Nutrition Status of Older Adults (Ministry of Health, 2013).....	39
FIGURE 4.1 Dysphagia Risk Status Represented by Nutrition Risk Status	65

List of Appendices

APPENDIX A Information Sheet	116
APPENDIX B Consent Form	118
APPENDIX C Demographics Questionnaire	119
APPENDIX D Mini Nutritional Assessment-Short Form	124
APPENDIX E 10-Item Eating Assessment Tool	125
APPENDIX F Montreal Cognitive Assessment Form	126

Abbreviations

AMDR	Acceptable Macronutrient Distribution Range
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
ANSI	Australian Nutrition Screening Initiative
AT&R	Admission, Treatment & Rehabilitation
BIA	Bioelectrical Impedance Analysis
BMI	Body Mass Index
CC	Calf Circumference
Cm	Centimetre
CT	Computed Tomography
DHB	District Health Board
DXA	Dual-Energy X-Ray Absorptiometry
EAT-10	10-Item Eating Assessment Tool
GI	Gastrointestinal
GP	General Practitioner
Kg	Kilogram
2008/09 NZANS	2008/09 New Zealand Adult Nutrition Survey
m	Metre
MMSE	Mini Mental State Examination
MNA	Mini Nutritional Assessment
MNA-SF	Mini Nutritional Assessment-Short Form

MoCA	Montreal Cognitive Assessment
MRI	Magnetic Resonance Imaging
NRV	Nutrient Reference Value
RDI	Recommended Daily Intake
SCREEN II	Seniors in the Community: Risk Evaluation for Eating and Nutrition, Version II
SD	Standard Deviation
WDHB	Waitemata District Health Board
WHO	World Health Organisation

