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Abstract

This thesis describes a research work aimed at improving the interfaces of online discussion forums (ODFs) in relation to their functional support to enhance learning. These ODFs form part of almost all Learning Management Systems (LMSs) such as WebCT, Moodle and Blackboard, which are widely used in education nowadays. Although ODFs are identified as valuable sources to learning, their interfaces are limited in terms of providing support to students, such as in the areas of managing their postings as well as in facilitating them to quickly locate and obtain specified information. In addition, these systems lack features to support inter-institutional cooperation that could potentially increase knowledge sharing between students and educators of different institutions. The interface design objective of this study therefore was to explore and overcome the limitations identified as above, and enhance the effectiveness and efficiency of ODFs’ support to learning. Using a task centered design approach; the required features were developed, and implemented in a working prototype called eQuake (electronic Question answer knowledge environment). eQuake is a shared online discussion forum system developed as an add-on to a well-known open source e-learning platform (Moodle). This system was intended for use among inter-institutional students in New Zealand tertiary institutions that teach similar courses. The improved interface functionalities of eQuake are expected to enhance learning support in terms of widening communication among users, increasing knowledge base, providing existing matching answer(s) quickly to students, and exposing students to multiple perspectives. This study considers such improvements to ODF interfaces as vital to enable users to enjoy the benefits of technology-mediated environment. The perceived usefulness and ease-of-use of improved features in eQuake were evaluated using a quantitative experimental research method. The evaluation was conducted at three tertiary institutions in New Zealand, and the overall results indicated positive response, although some suggestions for improvement have been made in the evaluation. This thesis presents a review of the related literature, describes the design and development of a user interface, followed by its implementation in eQuake, and a description of the evaluation. The thesis concludes with recommendations for better interface design of ODFs and provides suggestions for future research in this area.
Acknowledgements

I thank my supervisor Professor Kinshuk for continued advice, support and constructive feedback throughout this project. I extend my thanks to the eQuake project team members, especially Oyvind Smested for the co-operation and team spirit shown; and to the Online Learning Systems, an external collaborator for their assistance with the graphical design in the implementation stage. I thank Michael Verhaart and Kathryn McCallum for timely help in reviewing drafts of this thesis.

I am thankful to my family members for their continued support all through my study.

Tertiary Education Commission (TEC) of New Zealand supported this research under the eLearning Collaborative Development Fund (eCDF) project program.
# ABSTRACT

# LIST OF FIGURES

# LIST OF TABLES

# LIST OF CHARTS

# CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 **INTRODUCTION**

1.2 **BACKGROUND**

1.2.1 **ONLINE DISCUSSION FORUMS (ODFs)**

1.3 **RESEARCH PURPOSE**

1.3.1 **RESEARCH QUESTIONS**

1.4 **STUDY METHOD**

1.5 **STUDY CONTEXT**

1.6 **PROJECT TEAM**

1.7 **SCOPE OF THE THESIS**

1.8 **POTENTIAL BENEFITS OF ENHANCED INTERFACE**

1.9 **EVALUATION**

1.10 **ORGANISATION OF THE THESIS**

# CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 **INTRODUCTION**

2.2 **PERCEPTION OF LEARNING IN TERTIARY EDUCATION**

2.2.1 **INTERACTION AMONG STUDENTS AS KEY FACTOR TO EFFECTIVE LEARNING**

2.2.2 **STUDENTS EXPOSURE TO MULTIPLE PERSPECTIVES**

2.2.3 **INTERACTIVITY DIMENSIONS IN RELATION TO LEARNING**

2.3 **COMPUTER SUPPORTED COLLABORATIVE LEARNING (CSCL)**
2.3.1 ONLINE DISCUSSION FORUMS (ODFs) IN EDUCATIONAL CONTEXT 17
2.3.2 ONLINE DISCUSSION FORUMS (ODFs) AS LEARNING TOOLS 18
2.3.3 ODF INTERFACE SUPPORT FUNCTIONS 20
2.3.4 DISPLAY OPTIONS TO VISUALISE DISCUSSION 21

2.4 LIMITATIONS OF EXISTING ODFS’ INTERFACE SUPPORT ------------------------------22
2.4.1 DELAY IN RESPONSE TO STUDENTS’ QUESTIONS 23
2.4.2 CONCEALED KNOWLEDGE 23
2.4.3 IDENTIFYING EXISTING QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS 23
2.4.4 FOLLOWING DISCUSSION CONTEXT 24

2.5 RECENT RESEARCH ---------------------------------------------------------------26
2.5.1 IDENTIFICATION OF THE CONTEXT OF DISCUSSION 27
2.5.2 IDENTIFICATION OF THE CONTRIBUTION TYPE 28
2.5.3 FACILITATION OF THE CONTENT ANALYSIS 30
2.5.4 IMPROVEMENTS IN THE RE-USE OF INFORMATION 30
2.5.5 QUESTION-ANSWERING (QA) SYSTEMS 31
2.5.6 ODFs AS QA PLATFORMS 31
2.5.7 SYSTEMS ADDRESSING THE NEEDS OF SPECIFIC STUDENT GROUPS 34

2.6 SUMMARY ------------------------------------------------------------------------35

CHAPTER 3: INTERFACE DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT --------------------------------------38
3.1 INTRODUCTION --------------------------------------------------------------------38
3.1.1 USER-INTERFACE AS PERCEIVED IN HUMAN COMPUTER INTERACTION (HCI) 39
3.1.2 DESIGNING USABLE AND EASY TO USE INTERFACES 39
3.1.3 FLEXIBLE INTERFACE DESIGN FRAMEWORK 39

3.2 USER INTERFACE DESIGN METHODOLOGY ---------------------------------------------40
3.2.1 GATHERING INTERFACE DESIGN FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS 41
3.2.2 USE CASES DESCRIBING USER REQUIREMENTS 43
3.2.3 USE CASE DIAGRAM SHOWING USERS AND PROCESSES INVOLVED 46
3.2.4 INTEGRATION OF INTERFACE IN EQUAKE AND TESTING 49

3.3 RATIONALE FOR USING MOODLE PLATFORM-------------------------------------------50
3.4 SUMMARY ------------------------------------------------------------------------51
List of Figures

FIGURE 2.1: C4P FRAME, ADOPTED FROM HOADLEY AND KILNER (2005, P.34) ..............14
FIGURE 3.1: USE CASE DIAGRAM IDENTIFYING ELEMENTS AND PROCESSES .............47
FIGURE 3.2: PROTOTYPE TESTING ..............................................................................49
FIGURE 3.3 SYSTEM TESTING AND IMPROVEMENT CYCLE ...................................50
FIGURE 4.1(A) EXISTING ODFS’ INTERFACES .........................................................52
FIGURE 4.1 (B): BROADENED INTERFACE STRUCTURAL DESIGN ................................53
FIGURE 4.2 (A): FORUM ACCESS VIA MASSEY UNIVERSITY’S LMS (WEBCT) .............54
FIGURE 4.2 (B): FORUM ACCESS VIA EIT’S LMS (MOODLE) .......................................54
FIGURE 4.3: CUSTOMISED INTERFACE FOR EDUCATORS ............................................55
FIGURE 4.4: CUSTOMISED INTERFACE FOR STUDENTS ..............................................56
FIGURE 4.5: OPTIONS FOR STUDENTS TO SELECT THE MESSAGE TYPE .....................57
FIGURE 4.6: OPTIONS FOR EDUCATORS TO SELECT THE TYPE OF REPLY ...................58
FIGURE 4.7: OPTION FOR EDUCATORS TO LINK TO FAQ ............................................58
FIGURE 4.8: OPTION FOR EDUCATORS TO CREATE FAQ .............................................59
FIGURE 4.9: MESSAGE MARKED AS SEEN ..................................................................60
FIGURE 4.10: ILLUSTRATION OF THREADED DISCUSSION STRUCTURE .....................60
FIGURE 4.11: SUBSCRIPTION FACILITIES .................................................................62
FIGURE 4.12: RATING FUNCTION ..............................................................................62
FIGURE 4.13: STAR ICON INDICATING THE MESSAGE AS MOST HELPFUL .....................63
FIGURE 4.14: DISPLAY SCREEN UPON STUDENT SELECTION THE QUESTION TYPE .......64
FIGURE 4.15: SCREEN DISPLAYING POSSIBLE ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS .................64
FIGURE 4.16: OPTION TO EDIT, POST QUESTIONS AND SUBSCRIBE TO RECEIVE ANSWERS ..65
FIGURE 5.1. TECHNOLOGY ACCEPTANCE MODEL, ADOPTED FROM DAVIS, BAGOZZI AND WARSHAW (1989, P. 985)
List of tables

**TABLE 2.1: SUMMARY OF INTERFACE SUPPORT FUNCTIONS OF EXISTING SYSTEMS** ........20
**TABLE 2.2: SUMMARY OF SEARCH FUNCTIONS OF EXISTING ODFS** .........................22
**TABLE 3.1: SUMMARY OF THE ADD-ON INTERFACE FUNCTIONS** ...........................48
**TABLE 5.1: USERS DATA** .....................................................................................71
**TABLE 5.2: MESSAGE TYPES USED IN THE INTER-INSTITUTIONAL INTERACTIONS** ....72
**TABLE 5.3: SUBSCRIPTION FEATURES** ..................................................................72
**TABLE 5.4: DESCRIPTIVE ANALYSES OF ITEMS: MEAN AND STANDARD VARIATION** ....73
**TABLE 5.5: A SUMMARY OF MEAN WITH STANDARD DEVIATION FOR EACH DETERMINANT** 74
**TABLE 5.6: CORRELATION INTENTION OF USE AND PERCEIVED EASE OF USE** ..........75

List of charts

**CHART 5.1: PARTICIPANTS POSTINGS BY MESSAGE TYPE** ........................................71
**CHART 5.2: PARTICIPATION FREQUENCY** ..................................................................76
**CHART 5.3: AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF RESPONDENTS FOR EACH OF THE SEVEN MAIN ITEMS** .................................................................79